

NEW ZEALAND HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT (NZHTA)  
THE CLEARING HOUSE FOR HEALTH OUTCOMES AND  
HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT

Department of Public Health and General Practice  
Christchurch School of Medicine, Christchurch, New Zealand

---

# Effectiveness of therapeutic agents in the treatment of asthma

*A critical appraisal of the literature*

Peter Day  
Rob Weir

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

---

This review was commissioned by the Asthma Working Group of the New Zealand Guidelines Group.

The staff of NZHTA developed this review. It was prepared by Mr Peter Day (Researcher) and Dr Robert Weir (Researcher) and supported by Dr Ray Kirk (Director), Ms Susan Bidwell (Information Specialist), Ms Cecilia Tolan (Administrator) and Dr Phil Hider. Ms Tracey Smitheram, Miss Becky Mogridge, Mrs Carol Davison and Mrs Joan Downey provided additional administrative assistance.

The Canterbury Medical Library provided invaluable assistance with the retrieval of articles used in this report.

NZHTA is a Research Unit of the University of Otago funded under contract to the Ministry of Health.

This report was commissioned by the asthma working group of the New Zealand Guidelines Group.

## **DISCLAIMER**

---

NZHTA takes great care to ensure the information supplied within the project timeframe is accurate, but neither NZHTA nor the University of Otago can accept responsibility for any errors or omissions. The reader should always consult the original database from which each abstract is derived along with the original articles before making decisions based on a document or abstract. All responsibility for action based on any information in this report rests with the reader. NZHTA and the University of Otago accept no liability for any loss of whatever kind, or damage, arising from reliance in whole or part, by any person, corporate or natural, on the contents of this report. This document is not intended to be used as personal health advice. People seeking individual medical advice are referred to their physician. The views expressed in this report are those of NZHTA and do not necessarily represent those of the University of Otago.

## **CONTACT DETAILS**

---

New Zealand Health Technology Assessment  
The Clearing House for Health Outcomes and Health Technology Assessment  
Department of Public Health and General Practice  
Christchurch School of Medicine  
P O Box 4345  
Christchurch  
New Zealand  
Tel: +64 3 364 1152      Fax: +64 3 364 1152

E-mail: [nzhta@chmeds.ac.nz](mailto:nzhta@chmeds.ac.nz)

Web Site: <http://nzhta.chmeds.ac.nz>

---

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

$\Delta$	=	change in
%PV	=	% of predicted value
AUC	=	area under curve
av	=	average
bd	=	twice daily
BDP	=	Budesonide
BEC	=	Beclomethasone
BMB	=	Bambuterol
cf	=	compared with
CFC	=	chlorofluorocarbon propellant
CIR	=	Circulaire™
CNV	=	Conventional nebuliser
CO	=	randomised controlled trial, cross over design
CON	=	Continuous dose
COPD	=	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CYC	=	Cyclohaler
D/A	=	Diskus/Accuhaler
D-inh	=	diskhaler
DPI	=	Dry powder inhaler
DU	=	Diskus
ED	=	Emergency department
FEV <sub>1</sub>	=	Forced expiratory volume in one second
FNS	=	Flunisolide
FP	=	Fluticasone
FRM	=	Formoterol
HFA	=	hydrofluoroalkane propellant
ICS	=	Inhaled corticosteroids
ICU	=	Intensive care unit

---

IM	=	intramuscular
inh	=	inhaler
int	=	Intermittent dose
IPR	=	Ipratropium
ITT	=	intention to treat
IV	=	intravenous
MA	=	meta-analysis
mane	=	once in morning
MDI	=	metered dose inhaler
mg	=	milligrams
MNT	=	Montelukast
N/A	=	Not applicable or not available
neb	=	nebuliser
NNH	=	numbers needed to harm using the drug of least efficacy to achieve one unfavourable outcome over a period of time (study duration in this report)
NNT	=	numbers needed to treat using the drug of efficacy to achieve one favourable outcome over a period of time (study duration in this report)
nocte	=	once at night
<i>n.s.</i>	=	not statistically significant
OCS	=	Oral corticosteroids
PC <sub>20</sub>	=	challenge (usually methacholine or histamine) concentration that caused a 20% fall in FEV <sub>1</sub>
PEFR	=	Peak expiratory flow rate
po	=	oral
PRD	=	Prednisone
PRN	=	as required
q4h	=	every four hours
qd	=	once daily
Qid	=	four times daily
QoL	=	Quality of life
RCT	=	randomised controlled trial

---

ROT	=	Rotahaler
se	=	standard error
SLB	=	Salbutamol
SLM	=	Salmeterol
SOB	=	shortness of breath
SR	=	systematic review
TAA	=	Triamcinolone
TBH	=	Turbuhaler
TEB	=	Terbutaline
THP	=	Theophylline
µg	=	micrograms
URTI	=	upper respiratory tract infection
ZAF	=	Zafirlukast

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	I
DISCLAIMER .....	I
CONTACT DETAILS .....	I
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	V
LIST OF TABLES .....	VII
<b>SCOPE OF SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF ASTHMA PHARMACEUTICALS</b>	<b>1</b>
SEARCH METHODOLOGY .....	1
<i>Search strategy</i> .....	1
<i>Principal sources of information</i> .....	1
<i>Major search terms used</i> .....	2
STUDY INCLUSION CRITERIA .....	2
STUDY EXCLUSION CRITERIA .....	3
THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS.....	3
PATIENT OUTCOMES .....	3
STUDY SELECTION.....	4
EVIDENCE TABLES.....	4
<b>STUDY LIMITATIONS</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>EVIDENCE TABLES</b>	<b>8</b>
ANTICHOLINERGIC: .....	8
<i>Acute asthma</i> .....	8
CROMOGLYCATE.....	16
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	16
IMMUNOTHERAPY .....	17
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	17
LEUKOTRIENE ANTAGONISTS .....	18
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	18
LONG-ACTING BETA-AGONISTS .....	23
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	23
SHORT-ACTING BETA-AGONISTS.....	56
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	56
<i>Acute asthma</i> .....	68
STEROIDS .....	78
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	78
<i>Acute asthma</i> .....	121
THEOPHYLLINE .....	123
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	123
INHALER DEVICES .....	127
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	127
<i>Acute asthma</i> .....	132
PROPELLANTS .....	133
<i>Chronic asthma</i> .....	133
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>APPENDIX 1</b>	<b>151</b>
SEARCH STRATEGIES FOR ASTHMA THERAPEUTICS .....	151
<i>Medline One</i> .....	151
<i>Medline Two</i> .....	152
<i>Embase</i> .....	153
<i>Embase Two</i> .....	154
<i>Embase Three</i> .....	155
<i>Cinahl</i> .....	155
<i>Current Contents</i> .....	156
<i>Combined Premedline/Medline/Embase/Current Contents Cinahl - broad New Zealand search</i> .....	156

---

<i>Cochrane Library Controlled Trials Register .....</i>	<i>157</i>
<i>Review databases: Cochrane Library Systematic Reviews &amp; Protocols, DARE, HTA, NHS EED,</i>	
<i>Best Evidence .....</i>	<i>157</i>
<i>Other databases and sources .....</i>	<i>157</i>

## **APPENDIX 2 158**

<b>EVIDENCE GRADING FORMATS .....</b>	<b>158</b>
<i>Intervention.....</i>	<i>158</i>
<i>Mode of delivery.....</i>	<i>158</i>
<i>Dose frequency.....</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Criteria for inclusion and exclusion .....</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Inclusion.....</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Exclusion .....</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Results/outcomes.....</i>	<i>159</i>
<i>Comments .....</i>	<i>159</i>

## **APPENDIX 3 160**

<i>Methodology Checklist 1: Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses.....</i>	<i>160</i>
<i>Methodology Checklist 2: Randomised Controlled Trials.....</i>	<i>162</i>

## LIST OF TABLES

---

Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma .....	8
Table 2: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (cromoglycate versus inhaled steroid) in chronic asthma .....	16
Table 3: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (immunotherapy with placebo) in chronic asthma .....	17
Table 4: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma .....	18
Table 5: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma .....	20
Table 6: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (leukotriene antagonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma .....	22
Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma .....	23
Table 8: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus theophylline) in chronic asthma .....	40
Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma .....	42
Table 10: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus long-acting $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma .....	51
Table 11: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma .....	54
Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma .....	56
Table 13: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus short-acting $\beta$ -agonist) in chronic asthma .....	65
Table 14: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting $\beta$ -agonists regular versus PRN treatment) in chronic asthma .....	67
Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma .....	68
Table 16: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting $\beta$ -agonists dose or administration methods) in acute asthma .....	76
Table 17: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus theophylline) in chronic asthma .....	78
Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma .....	80

---



Table 19: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma .....	97
Table 20: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus short-acting beta-agonist) in chronic asthma .....	99
Table 21: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (cromoglycate versus inhaled steroid) in chronic asthma .....	101
Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma .....	102
Table 23: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus oral steroids) in chronic asthma .....	119
Table 24: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (steroids) in acute asthma.....	121
Table 25: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting $\beta$ -agonists versus theophylline) in chronic asthma .....	123
Table 26: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus theophylline) in chronic asthma .....	125
Table 27: Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in chronic asthma.....	127
Table 28: Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in acute asthma.....	132
Table 29: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma .....	133

# Scope of systematic review of asthma pharmaceuticals

The development of this systematic review protocol involved extensive consultation between the NZHTA and the Pharmaceuticals sub-committee of the Asthma Working Group of the New Zealand Asthma Guideline Group.

## SEARCH METHODOLOGY

---

### ***Search strategy***

Searches were restricted to information published from 1st January 1997 onwards, in all languages. Original searches were carried out in December 2000. An update of Pre-Medline, Current Contents and the Science Citation Index was performed in March 2001.

An additional very broad combined search of the Pre-Medline, Medline, Embase, Current Contents, and Cinahl databases for papers on any aspect of asthma in New Zealand was also completed in March 2001.

### ***Principal sources of information***

The following databases were searched using the search strategies outlined in Appendix 1:

#### Bibliographic databases

- Medline
- Embase
- Current Contents
- Science Citation Index
- Cinahl
- Cochrane Library Controlled Trials Register
- Index New Zealand

#### Review databases

- Cochrane Library Systematic Reviews & Protocols
- Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness
- NHS Economic Evaluation Database
- Best Evidence

#### Library catalogues

- New Zealand Ministry of Health library
- New Zealand Bibliographic database - Te Puna
- US National Library of Medicine
- World Health Organisation

#### Websites

- Health Canada
  - US Centers for Disease Control
  - British Thoracic Society
  - EGuidelines (UK)
  - University of Dundee Asthma Research Unit
  - UK General Practice Airways Group
  - UK Department of Health publications
  - Meta-register of Controlled Trials
-

- TRIP - Turning Research into Practice
- Health Evidence Bulletins Wales
- OMNI - Organised Medical Networked Information
- European Federation of Asthma and Allergy Associations
- GINA - Global Information Network on Asthma
- Canadian Office for Health Technology Assessment
- Canadian Network for Asthma Care
- Canadian Lung Association
- Canadian Thoracic Society
- Asthma Society of Canada
- ClinicalTrials.gov
- American Academy of Allergy Asthma and Immunology
- JAMA Asthma Information Center - Physicians Section
- US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
- US Asthma Clinical Research Network
- US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
- Thoracic Society of New Zealand and Australia
- Australian Department of Health & Aged Care
- Ministerial Asthma Working Party

Note: hand searching of journals, contacting of manufacturers, or contacting of authors for unpublished research was not undertaken during the search process.

### ***Major search terms used***

- index terms from Medline (MeSH headings): asthma, asthma-drug therapy, anti-asthmatic agents, bronchodilator agents, randomized controlled trials, controlled clinical trials, meta-analysis, guidelines, practice guidelines, double-blind method, single-blind method, comparative study, treatment outcome
- index terms from Embase: asthma, asthma-drug therapy, randomized controlled trial, drug comparison, double-blind procedure, single-blind procedure, meta-analysis
- additional keywords used (not standard index terms): systematic review, systematic overview, *effectiv\**, *efficacy*
- keywords used for exclusions: *child\**, *infan\**, *pediatric\**, *paediatric\** *as title words* when *adult\** was *not also* in the title; *copd*, *chronic obstructive*, *rhinitis*, *as title words* when asthma was *not also* in the title

Search filters used to identify randomized controlled trials, meta-analyses, and guidelines in the literature were adapted from those produced by the Center for Reviews and Dissemination at the University of York.

The complete search strategies are given in Appendix 1.

## **STUDY INCLUSION CRITERIA**

---

Studies published in English, French and German language from 1997 onwards (final search completed March 2001) are included. The population of interest is defined as adults with acute or chronic asthma, including aspirin and exercise induced asthma. A strict definition of adult based on age inclusion criteria has been avoided. Where both children and adults make up the study population these studies have been included.

Studies conducted in hospital, emergency department, outpatient clinic, general practitioner and pharmaceutical usage settings are included. Only randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews and meta-analyses (as a subset of systematic reviews) of randomized controlled trials are included. Systematic reviews were only included if the researchers searched Medline and at least one other database.

Studies conducted using double blinding are included. If no double blinding studies in a particular class comparison were available then studies conducted using single blinding were included or open if no double blinding or single blinding studies were available in a particular class comparison.

---

Only studies with an enrollment sample size of 30 patients or more have been included.

Only drugs available in New Zealand were included in the review.

## **STUDY EXCLUSION CRITERIA**

---

Studies were excluded if they included:

- any patients with Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
- only children (classified as 12 years or younger or an article using the term ‘child’ or ‘children’ or ‘paediatric’ or ‘pediatric’)
- any patients who were pregnant
- a pharmacoeconomic analysis alone
- a comparison between efficacy drug and placebo alone. Exclusions: Immunotherapy
- letters, non-systematic reviews, editorials and comments were also excluded.

## **THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTIONS**

---

Following is a list of the main systematic review comparisons between different classes of asthma medications:

Anticholinergics combined with short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists

Long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists

Long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists

Long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v inhaled steroids

Long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v leukotriene antagonist

Long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v oral  $\beta$ -agonists

Long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v theophylline

Short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists

Short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v inhaled steroids

Short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v theophylline

Short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists v nedocromil

Inhaled steroids v inhaled steroids

Inhaled steroids v oral steroids

Inhaled steroids v leukotriene antagonists

Inhaled steroids v theophylline

Leukotriene antagonists v cromoglycate

Leukotriene antagonists v theophylline

Acupuncture v other treatment

Immunotherapy v placebo

Device v device

CFC propellant v HFA propellant

Several relevant interventions have been subjected to Cochrane Reviews. Topics covered include immunotherapy, acupuncture, antileukotrienes compared to inhaled corticosteroids, corticosteroids in acute and post-acute asthma, holding chambers versus nebulisers in acute asthma, long-acting beta-agonists versus theophylline and nedocromil for preventing exercise induced bronchoconstriction. The studies covered in these reviews have been excluded from individual appraisal. The Cochrane systematic reviews themselves have been included as high quality appraised literature. Only studies meeting the following criteria have been appraised in intervention areas covered by these Cochrane reviews:

- sample size greater than 100
- published after the latest substantial amendment to those Cochrane reviews.

## **PATIENT OUTCOMES**

---

Studies with all or some of the following patient outcome endpoints have been included. These relate to commonly assessed POEM (Patient Oriented Evidence that Matters) endpoints.

---

Endpoints:

- relapse
- rescue medicine use
- symptom ratings
- quality of life
- spirometric outcomes (e.g. FEV<sub>1</sub>, PEFr)
- methacholine challenge
- exercise challenge
- time to onset of effect and maximal effect
- safety endpoints – cortisol, bone density, pulse, BP, tremor
- health care usage – hospital admission, ED attendance, length of stay

## **STUDY SELECTION**

---

Studies were selected for appraisal using a two-stage process. Initially the titles and abstracts (where available) identified from the search strategy were scanned and excluded as appropriate. The full text articles were retrieved for the remaining studies and these were appraised if they fulfilled the study selection criteria outlined above.

There were 1292 studies identified by the search strategy (see appendix 1). Two hundred and ninety two full text articles were obtained after excluding studies based on examination of the search titles and abstracts. A further 164 of these full text articles did not fulfil the inclusion criteria. Therefore 128 articles were fully appraised and are included in this report.

## **EVIDENCE TABLES**

---

Key information summaries include study reference, study design, study grading, treatment arms, patient inclusion and exclusion criteria, number of patients randomized, primary and secondary efficacy results with p-values and/or 90%/95% confidence intervals and comments on internal validity issues arising from the study appraisal. Number needed to treat (NNT) was calculated when sufficient information was presented to allow calculation of this statistic.

Unless otherwise stated the drug(s) of efficacy are presented in the left-hand column of the results section. P-values unless otherwise stated relate to between treatment group comparisons. Refer to Appendix 2 for detailed description of table items.

All studies were appraised using modified SIGN (Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network) methodology checklists (see Appendix 3). The evaluation criteria are defined by a series of questions covering study internal validity. These questions addressed the quality of the study research question(s), randomisation methods, study population demographics, concealment and blinding methods, type of outcome measures, study group treatment regimens, patient dropout rates and statistical power adequacy, intention to treat (ITT) analysis methodology and the degree of industry support.

For systematic reviews and meta-analyses appraisal questions addressed the review methodology, literature search rigour, potential benefits and harms of intervention and the synthesis and conclusions drawn by combining studies in the review.

The final grading (1++, 1+ or 1-) code was allocated based upon the study design and study quality.

For a study to receive a 1++ grading the following criteria needed to be fulfilled:

- clearly defined study question
  - a clear description of an adequate randomisation process
  - absence of baseline differences in demographic variables, markers of asthma severity and other potential confounding variables between intervention groups post-randomisation
  - an adequate concealment method and use of double blinding
  - outcomes measured in a standard, valid and reliable way
-

- all study arms treated equally
- at least 80% of the sample randomised were included in the presented analyses
- an ITT analysis was presented
- pharmaceutical company involvement was restricted to either funding alone or no involvement.

Factors that automatically consigned studies to a 1- grading included:

- open study
- study groups were not treated equally
- ITT analysis not presented
- significant omissions or errors in patient demographic information and outcome results.

Combinations of two or more of the following also resulted in a 1- grading:

- baseline study differences, single blind, less than 80% of the participant's randomised were analysed, and pharmaceutical company staff were included at authorship level.

All other studies were graded as 1+.

# Study limitations

Systematic reviews are limited by the quality of the studies included in the review and the methodology of the systematic review.

In the review of asthma therapeutic agents the quality of the included articles was such that there were no studies graded as 1++. Ninety-one of 128 (71%) were graded as 1+ and 37 of 128 (29%) as 1-. Ordinarily 1- studies would be excluded from a systematic review. They were included on this occasion so the therapeutics arm of the asthma guidelines group could assess them for relevance to the development of the New Zealand based guideline. However, the results of these studies should be viewed with considerable caution.

Common limitations to the study designs included:

- lack of description on the study methodology
- differences between study groups post randomisation or a lack of comparison between key variables post randomisation (particularly in studies using the cross over design)
- use of open and single blind designs
- inadequate power
- not using an ITT analysis
- pharmaceutical company involvement in all aspects of the study.

Individual study limitations are described in the comments section of the evidence tables.

Limitations to the review methodology that need to be considered in developing an asthma therapeutics guideline include restriction to:

- articles published from 1997 onwards
- the published literature
- English, French and German language articles
- reviewing each study by one researcher only
- study evaluation criterion did not cover aspects of statistical methodology such as the appropriateness of the data collected and the statistical tests used to analyse this.

In developing a guideline for asthma therapeutics consideration will need to be given to studies published pre 1997. The vast majority of articles of interest were published in the pre 1997 time period so methods should be developed by the guidelines group to assess whether the new evidence presented in this review is sufficient to alter any recommendations included in previous evidence based guidelines.

Restriction to the published literature is likely to lead to bias since the unpublished literature tends to consist of studies not identifying a significant result.

Restriction by language may result in study bias but the direction of this bias cannot be determined.

Although two researchers appraised the articles included in this review they did not cross validate the data extraction and appraisal process.

The majority of articles appraised were not set in New Zealand. Therefore, the generalisability of these studies to the New Zealand setting needs to be considered.

The studies were initially selected by examining the abstracts of these articles. Therefore, it is possible that some studies were inappropriately excluded prior to examination of the full text article.

There is a limitation on space in evidence tables. Therefore, study details have been summarised rigorously. In particular, inclusion and exclusion criteria listed in the tables represent a small proportion of the criteria most articles provided. In general, data about the basis of the diagnosis of asthma has not been included and common exclusion criteria such as pregnancy have not been included in the tables.

---

The comment “pharmaceutical limitations” indicates the presence of restrictions on pharmaceutical agents used by study participants but the reader is referred to the individual studies for more detail as required. The phrase “pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial” is commonly encountered in the comments section. This indicates pharmaceutical companies have provided both financial support and investigational support in the form of staff inclusion among the study authors.

This review was conducted over a limited time frame (November 2000 – April 2001).



# Evidence Tables

## Anticholinergic:

### Acute asthma

Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma

Study Source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Rodrigo and Rodrigo 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Uruguay	Salbutamol mdi 120 $\mu$ g/puff + Ipratropium mdi 21 $\mu$ g/puff in a dose of 4 puffs at 10 min intervals (480 $\mu$ g/84 $\mu$ g) V Salbutamol mdi 120 $\mu$ g/puff in a dose of 4 puffs at 10 min intervals (480 $\mu$ g)  3 hours of treatment (24 puffs or 2,880 $\mu$ g salbutamol and 504 $\mu$ g ipratropium per hour)	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-50 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> /PEFR < 50% PV	180		<u>SLB+IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>  <u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 35 and female 37%, PEFR 32%PV in SLB+IPR group; mean age 33 and female 34%, PEFR 33%PV in SLB group</li> <li>Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li> </ul>
				Improvement over salbutamol control group % PEFR (95%CI)			 +20% (2.6,38.4)  P=.02	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> (95%CI)			+48% (19.8,76.4)  P=.001	
				Hospital admissions n (%)	18 (20%)	36 (39%)	P=.01	5
				Pre ED use of $\beta$ -agonists by ipr patients: increase in FEV <sub>1</sub>				
				previous use	N/A	N/A	P=.01	
				no previous use	N/A	N/A	P=.03	
				Symptoms				
				24+ hours	N/A	N/A	P=.01	
				< 24 hours	N/A	N/A	P=.09	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> $\leq$ 30PV%	N/A	N/A	P=.001	
				> 30PV%	N/A	N/A	P=.60	

#### Study design abbreviations

RCT = Randomised controlled trial, parallel design

CO = randomised controlled trial, cross over design

MA = meta-analysis

SR = Systematic review

Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Weber et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	All patients received prednisone po 60 mg  Salbutamol neb 10 $\mu$ g/hour + Ipratropium neb 1.0 $\mu$ g/hour V  Salbutamol neb 10 $\mu$ g/hour + Ipratropium neb 1.0 $\mu$ g/hour  $\leq 3$ hours of treatment	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> /PEFR < 70% PV after 2.5 mg Salbutamol in 3 mL normal saline	67		<u>SLB+IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>
				Improvement over salbutamol control group % PEFR (95%CI) Adjusted for baseline PEFR	+6.3% (-1.5,27%)		<i>n.s.</i>
				Median length of stay (minutes) Adjusted for baseline PEF	210 N/A	245 N/A	<i>P=.03</i> <i>P=.26</i>
				Hospital admissions n (%) Adjusting for baseline PEF OR (95%CI)	8 (23%) 0.88 (0.28, 2.8)	13 (39%)	N/A
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 46 and female 75%, PEFR 50%PV and smoking history 48% in SLB+IPR group; mean age 49 and female 66%, PEFR 40%PV and smoking history 74% in SLB group</li> <li>• Significant <i>P</i>&lt;.05 baseline differences in PEFR%PV and smoking history. <i>P</i>&lt;.10 differences in previous hospitalization and intubation</li> <li>• Results have been adjusted for baseline differences</li> <li>• Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical (producer of ipratropium) involvement in supply of ipratropium and pharmacy costs</li> </ul>

Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Lin et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol 2.5 mg + Ipratropium 0.5 mg (first dose only) then every 20 min 2 more doses of salbutamol only (total 3 doses) neb  V  Salbutamol 2.5 mg + placebo (first dose only) then every 20 min 2 more doses of salbutamol only (total 3 doses) neb  Treatment period 1 hour	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18+ yrs PEFR <200 L/min  <u>Exclusion:</u> 20 pack years+ smoker $\beta$ -agonists preceding 4 hours	55		<u>SLB+IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 40 and female 54% in SLB + IPR group, mean age 41 and female 59% in SLB group</li> <li>Acute asthma. Convenience sample selected from Emergency Department</li> </ul>
				Number of patients admitted to hospital n (%)	3 (11%)	10 (36%)			
				Difference and 95%CI of proportion admitted	25% (3%,46%)		P=.03	4 <sup>1</sup>	
				PEFR L/min mean			Over 1 hour period		
				BL	131	142			
				20 min	197	197			
				40 min	252	218			
				60 min	269	228	P=.001		
				PEFR L/min %PV mean					
				BL	28	29	Over 1 hour period		
				20 min	42	37			
				40 min	53	45			
				60 min	57	47	P<.001		

<sup>1</sup> NNT calculated using the formula  $NNT = 1/\text{Absolute risk reduction}$ . Therefore, the number needed to treat to avoid one admission was 1/0.25 (ie. 4) for combination therapy of one hour duration compared with salbutamol alone for one hour.

Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Rodrigo et al. 1999)  MA  Grade 1+	Ipratropium inh 0.5 $\mu$ g (single dose) plus $\beta$ -agonists (Salbutamol 8 studies, fenoterol 2 studies) after arrival in ED  V $\beta$ -agonists alone  First 90 minutes of treatment	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16+ yrs English language studies RCT, double blind Patients with acute asthma, treated in ED with $\beta$ -agonists <u>Search:</u> 1978-1999 (April) MEDLINE, Science Citation Index, Current Contents, reviews (articles and primary research), experts	1483	Overall effect size (std units) cf. control group FEV <sub>1</sub> (95%CI)	<u><math>\beta</math>-agonist + IPR</u>  0.14 (0.04,0.24) (10%) (2%, 18%)	<u>P value</u>  P=.008  P>.5  N/A  N/A  N/A	<u>NNI</u>         18
				Homogeneity test			
				Study specific effects	(0.03-0.63)	N/A	
				Mean FEV <sub>1</sub> at admission <35%PV (4 studies) (95%CI)	0.38 (0.09,0.67)	N/A	
				Use of corticosteroids (7 studies) (95%CI)	0.14 (0.00,0.28)	N/A	
				Hospital admissions (5 studies) (Odds Ratio, 95%CI)	0.62 (0.44,0.88)	P=.007	18
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10 studies included, acute asthma, mean age 32 <math>\pm</math> 13 years and female 64%</li> <li>Mean methodology quality score 0.66 (max = 1)</li> <li>Criteria for discharge and admission not clearly defined</li> <li>Reported adverse effects not assessed nor delivery devices</li> </ul>



Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study Source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Garrett et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: New Zealand	All patients received IV hydrocortisone 200 mg within 15 minutes of treatment start  (Combivent) <sup>TM</sup> Ipratropium neb 1.5 mg in a dose + Salbutamol neb 2.5 mg V Salbutamol neb 2.5 mg  Duration 90 minutes	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-55 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> < 70% PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoking history of 10+ pack years Complicating illness eg COPD	338	Mean absolute difference over salbutamol group	<u>SLB + IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>  <u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 30 and % female not specified, FEV<sub>1</sub> 40%PV in SLB+IPR group; mean age 30, % female not specified, FEV<sub>1</sub> 40%PV in SLB group</li> <li>Overall % female 61%, 17% Maori, 24% Pacific Island ethnicity</li> <li>13% of patients reported using OCS, 32% ICS, 80% inh <math>\beta</math>-agonist within 6 hours of presentation to ED</li> <li>Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li> <li>No intention to treat based analysis, 58 patients (27 in combivent<sup>TM</sup> group and 31 in salbutamol group) withdrawn after treatment received (no FEV<sub>1</sub> recorded).</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company supported study</li> </ul>
				Baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> ml (se) <sup>1</sup>	40 (57)			
				45 minutes	93 (24)		P=.4485	
				90 minutes (primary efficacy)	113 (18)		P=.03	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> < 1 L at baseline:			P=.02	
				$\Delta$ FEV <sub>1</sub> (mls)	22		n.s.	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 1 L/min at baseline:				
				$\Delta$ FEV <sub>1</sub> (mls)	176		P<.005	
				Hospital admissions (%)	15.3%	22.3%		14

<sup>1</sup>se = standard error

Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(FitzGerald et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Canada	All patients received oxygen and IV bolus of 125 mg methyl- prednisone (within 15 minutes of nebulization)  (Combination) Ipratropium neb 0.5 mg in a dose + salbutamol neb 3.0 mg V Salbutamol neb 3.0 mg  Duration 90 minutes	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-55 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> < 70% PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoking history of 10+ pack years COPD or significant other medical illness	342	Mean change from baseline	<u>SLB+IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>NNT</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 31 and female 60%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.62L in SLB+IPR group; mean age 30, % female 64%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.53L in SLB group</li><li>• Prior asthma medication in combination and salbutamol alone groups: 12% and 12% of patients reported using OCS, 46% and 40% ICS, 88% and 90% inh β-agonist within 24 hours of presentation to ED</li><li>• Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li><li>• Poor description of randomisation, concealment and blinding methodology</li><li>• Not strict intention to treat based analysis</li><li>• Criteria for discharge and admission not clearly defined</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company partly funded study</li></ul>
				Baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> L (se)	1.62 (0.05)	1.53 (0.05)	n.s.		
				45 minutes	0.58 (0.04)	0.52 (0.04)	n.s.		
				90 minutes (primary efficacy)	0.61 (0.04)	0.52 (0.04)	n.s.		
				Hospital admissions (%)	5.9%	11.2%		19	

Table 1: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Kamei et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Japan	Fenoterol 1 puff (200 µg/puff) every 1 minute for 5 minutes, total 1000 µg + Oxitropium bromide 2 puffs (100 µg/puff) every 1 minute for 5 minutes, total 1000 µg mdi with InspirEase™ holding chamber V  Fenoterol 1 puff (200 µg/puff) every 1 minute for 5 minutes, total 1000 µg  Treatment duration 60 minutes	<u>Inclusion:</u> FEV <sub>1</sub> < 70% PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Pulmonary emphysema Complicating drugs	69	At 60 minutes  Mean value cf. baseline  PEFR L/min (se)  From baseline PEFR % impr 1 min  15 min  30 min  60 min	<u>FRM + OTB</u>   261 (18)  N/A N/A N/A N/A	<u>FRM</u>   210 (17)  N/A N/A N/A N/A	<u>P value</u>   <i>P</i> <0.05  <i>P</i> <0.02 <i>P</i> <0.01 <i>P</i> <0.02 <i>P</i> <0.001	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 55 and female 46%, best FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.81L in FRM+OTB group; mean age 56and female 65%, best FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.86L in FRM group</li><li>• Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li><li>• Open study, no detail on randomisation nor statistical power</li><li>• Intention to treat based analysis not evident, 31/34 and 33/35 in respective randomised groups analyzed for efficacy</li><li>• Some data results for primary efficacy outcome not reported</li></ul>



## Cromoglycate

### Chronic asthma

Table 2: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (cromoglycate versus inhaled steroid) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Hoshino et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Japan	Ketotifen po 1 mg bd V Disodium cromoglycate (DSCG) inh 2 mg Qid V Beclomethasone inh 100 µg Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-50 yrs 20+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> or PEFr Daily/as needed β-agonists with no I/OCS previous 6 months  <u>Exclusion:</u> FEV <sub>1</sub> PV < 50% Smoker I/OCS or DSCG in previous 4 months	32	12 weeks  Asthma symptoms  Median FEV <sub>1</sub> (%PV) Before After  Median PEFr(L/min) Before After	<u>Ketotifen</u>   72.0 80.0 <i>n.s.</i>  450 500 <i>n.s.</i>	<u>DSCG</u>   63.2 72.5 <i>P&lt;.05</i>  350 450 <i>P&lt;.01</i>	<u>BDP</u>   66.0 74.3 <i>P&lt;.05</i>  425 475 <i>P&lt;.01</i>	<u>P value</u>   DSCG v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.01</i> BDP v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.05</i>  DSCG v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.05</i> BDP v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.05</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N=13, mean age 27 and female 46%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 72%PV, PEFr(l/min) 450 in ketotifen group; N=9, age 26 and female 33%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 63%PV, PEFr(l/min) 350 in DSCG group; N=9, age 30 and female 30%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV, PEFr(l/min) 425 in BEC group</li> <li>Small numbers in study treatment arms. Baseline differences in FEV<sub>1</sub> PV% and PEF</li> <li>The study also included analysis of fiberoptic bronchoscopy and immunohistochemistry data</li> </ul>

## Immunotherapy

### Chronic asthma

Table 3: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (immunotherapy with placebo) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Bousquet et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: France	Sublingual immunotherapy with standardized <i>Dermato-phagoides pteronyssinus</i> (DP)- <i>D. farinae</i> (DF) 50/50 extract Progressive dosage from 1, 10, 100, 300 IR/ml daily for 4 weeks then 3 times a week for 24 months V Placebo          25 months	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 7-42 years FEV <sub>1</sub> > 70%PV Clinical history of allergy to house dust-mites  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients on ICS >1000 µg daily, I/O β-agonists > 4 times daily Sensitization to animal danders Presence of a household pet	85	AM PEFR L/min (base) Mean (last entry)   PM PEFR L/min (base) Mean (last entry)   Nighttime asthma (base) Mean (last entry)   Daytime asthma (base) Mean (last entry)   QoL assessment scores Mental health Perception of health Physical pain  Safety assessment Adverse events (no. pat/%)	<u>IMM</u> 404.1 426.3 <i>P</i> =.01  414.1 433.2 <i>P</i> =.03  0.20 0.17 <i>n.s.</i>  0.32 0.17 <i>P</i> =.02  79.7 76.5 86.2  15/42 (35.7%)	<u>Placebo</u> 415.7 424.3 <i>n.s.</i>  429.6 437.7 <i>n.s.</i>  0.26 0.11 <i>n.s.</i>  0.37 0.19 <i>n.s.</i>  60.7 56.8 68.3  14/43 (32.6%)	<u>P value</u>  <	

## Leukotriene antagonists

### Chronic asthma

Table 4: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Villaran et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 12 countries	Salmeterol inh 50 $\mu$ g bd V Montelukast po 10 mg daily  8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 15-45 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> improved by $\geq 12\%$ post $\beta$ -agonist PC <sub>20</sub> 0 in FEV <sub>1</sub> in response to $\leq 4$ mg/ml methacholine or histamine $\leq 20$ pack years smoking <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma requiring emergency care – past month	197	Maximal % fall in FEV <sub>1</sub> post exercise (cf. baseline)	<u>MNT</u> 17.2%	<u>SLM</u> 10.7%	<u>P value</u> $P < .001$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 27 and female 50%</li> <li>Exercise induced bronchoconstriction</li> <li>Pharmaceutical sponsored trial (producers of montelukast)</li> <li>Similar study design, authors and sponsor to next study</li> </ul>
				Recovery time to within 5% of prechallenge level at 8 weeks compared with pre intervention (min)	-23.9	-11.3	$P = .002$	
				Adverse respiratory events (SLM cf. MNT)	39%	54%	$P < 0.05$	<u>NNH</u> 7
(Edelman et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol inh 50 $\mu$ g bd V Montelukast po 10 mg nocte  8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 15-45 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq 65\%$ PV <15 pack-years smoking <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma or URTI requiring emergency care – past month	191	Maximal % challenge fall in FEV <sub>1</sub>	<u>MNT</u> 57.2%	<u>SLM</u> 33.0%	<u>P value</u> $P = .002$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 26 and female 50%</li> <li>Mod-severe activity limitation in 60% in past month at baseline</li> <li>No baseline ethnicity data presented</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company input (producers of montelukast) in funding, design, conduct and analysis of trial</li> </ul>

Table 4: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Busse et al. 1999a)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol inh 42 µg bd V Zafirlukast po 20 mg bd  4 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> >10 pack years tobacco smoking	289	Improvement in am PEFR (L/min)	<u>SLM</u> 29.6	<u>ZAF</u> 13.0	<u>P value</u> <i>P</i> ≤ 0.001	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 38 and female 80%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV</li><li>• 80% on inhaled steroid pre-study</li><li>• Exercise induced bronchoconstriction</li><li>• Unknown proportion of participants identified through advertisements – may limit generalisability</li></ul>
				Symptom free days	22.4%	8.8%	<i>P</i> ≤ 0.001	7	
				% days with no rescue medication use	30.5%	11.3%	<i>P</i> ≤ 0.001	5	

Table 5: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Malmstrom et al. 1999)	Beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 15 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV	895		<u>BEC</u>	<u>MNI</u>	<u>95%CI (diff)</u>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Median age 35 and female 61%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Baseline differences in gender mix between interventions which were of uncertain significance</li> <li>Unclear whether ITT analysis was used</li> </ul>
RCT	V	Nonsmoker		% Δ am FEV <sub>1</sub>	13.1	7.4	3, 8.5		
Grade 1+	Montelukast po 10 mg nocte	<u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations		% Δ daytime symptom score	-0.62	-0.41	-0.33, -0.09		
Country: Multinational	V Placebo			% Δ nocturnal awakenings (n/wk)	-2.4	-1.7	-1.08, -0.32		
	12 weeks			Asthma attacks (% patients)	10.1	15.6	<u>P value</u> P<0.05	18	
(Bleecker et al. 2000)	Fluticasone inh 88 µg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV	451		<u>EP</u>	<u>ZAF</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 41 and female 50%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 68%PV</li> <li>Supported by fluticasone producers</li> </ul>
RCT	V	<u>Exclusion:</u> History of life threatening asthma		Δ am FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.42	0.2	P<0.001		
Grade 1+	Zafirlukast po 20 mg bd	Use of tobacco in past year or >10 pack-years total		Δ symptom free days (%)	28.5	15.6	P<0.001	8	
Country: United States	12 weeks	Resp infection within 2 weeks of screening Pharmaceutical limitations		Δ rescue free days (%)	40.4	24.2	P<0.001	6	
				Δ nights with no awakenings (%)	21.2	8.0	P<0.001	8	

Table 5: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Westbroek and Pasma 2000)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: Netherlands	Fluticasone inh 100 µg bd V Zafirlukast po 20 mg bd  2 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV Non smoker <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection or asthma admission within a month of screening Pharmaceutical limitations	30	PC <sub>20</sub> histamine (mg/ml) AM PEFR (L/min)	<u>EP</u> 1.61 409	<u>ZAF</u> 0.99 391	<u>95%CI (diff)</u> 0.05, 1.50 -0.08, 35.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 45 and female 70%</li> <li>• Financial support from producers of fluticasone</li> <li>• No comparison of asthma severity at baseline</li> <li>• Adequate concealment could not be determined</li> </ul>
(Laviolette et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 18 countries	Montelukast po 10 mg nocte + beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd V Beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd V Montelukast po 10 mg nocte V Placebo	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥15 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV Non-smoker <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection within 3 weeks of screening Pharmaceutical limitations	642	% Δ am FEV <sub>1</sub> Δ daytime asthma symptom score Δ night awakenings (n/week) Asthma attacks (% patients)	<u>BEC/MNT</u> 5.08 -0.13 -1.04 6.2	<u>BEC</u> 0.72 -0.02 -0.45 12.0	<u>Pvalue</u> <i>P</i> < 0.001 <i>P</i> = 0.04 <i>P</i> = 0.01 <i>P</i> = 0.06	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 39 and female 51%</li> <li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 72%PV</li> <li>• Other study comparisons not presented in full</li> <li>• Baseline differences in placebo group from other interventions</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 6: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (leukotriene antagonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Dockhorn et al. 2000)	Montelukast po 10 mg V	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 15-56 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV	51	<u>IV MNT</u>	<u>PO MNT</u>	<u>Diff in means (LS)<sup>1</sup></u>	<u>95% CI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 30 and female 44%</li> <li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 64%PV</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company producing montelukast funded and supported trial</li> <li>• Limited baseline data between initial randomised groups (FEV<sub>1</sub> comparison only)</li> <li>• 90% power to detect a 6.5% point difference in FEV<sub>1</sub> between montelukast groups</li> <li>• <sup>1</sup>LS = Least squares</li> </ul>
CO	Montelukast IV 7 mg V	Current non-smoker (history < 7 pack-years)		% Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> AUC (conc.time)	20.7	15.7	5.8	
Grade 1+	Placebo	<u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations		Rescue medication required (%)	18	24	N/A	
Country: United States	24 hours each						<u>P value</u> n.s.	

## Long-acting beta-agonists

### Chronic asthma

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention Comparison And study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Shrewsbury et al. 2000)  MA  Grade 1+	Salmeterol + inhaled steroids (Beclo-methasone 5 studies or fluticasone 4 studies) V At least doubling dose of inhaled steroids (Beclo-methasone 5 studies or fluticasone 4 studies)  12+ weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12+ yrs RCT, double blind All languages Patients with symptomatic asthma, treated on current dose of inhaled steroids Search: 1985-1999 (September 1999 last search) MEDLINE, EMBASE, GlaxoWellcome databases	3685	Treatment with salmeterol plus inhaled steroids versus increased dose inhaled steroids (double+ dose) Mean difference (95% CI) in lung function	<u>3 months</u>	<u>6 months</u>	<u>P value</u> <u>3 months</u>	<u>P value</u> <u>6 months</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>9 studies included</li> <li>No evidence of heterogeneity between studies for PEFR and FEV<sub>1</sub> and exacerbation analysis. For symptoms and rescue comparison <math>P &lt; .10</math> in all cases. Comparison of 95% CI under fixed and random effects model cited by authors as small and clinically unimportant (data not provided)</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company supported meta-analysis</li> </ul>
				AM PEFR L/min	22.4 (15,30)	27.7 (19,38)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				AM FEV <sub>1</sub> L	0.10 (0.04, 0.16)	0.08 (0.02, 0.14)	$P < .001$	$P < .01$	
				Mean % difference (95% CI)					
				Days without symptoms	11% (8,15)	15% (11,19)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Nights without symptoms	5% (2,8)	6% (3,9)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Days without rescue treatment	16% (13,20)	19% (14,24)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Nights without rescue treatment	9% (5,12)	9% (5,13)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Difference (95% CI) in mean numbers of patients with exacerbation:					
				Any exacerbation	2.73 (0.43, 5.04)		$P = .02$		
				Moderate/severe exacerbation	2.42 (0.24, 4.60)		$P = .03$		





Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)[illegible]

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Condemni et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Fluticasone mdi 88 $\mu$ g bd + Salmeterol mdi 42 $\mu$ g bd  V  Fluticasone mdi 220 $\mu$ g bd  2-4 weeks pre-trial: Fluticasone 88 $\mu$ g bd and as needed salbutamol  Treatment 24 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12-75 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-65%PV or 65-85%PV plus one or more of: ≥4 puffs salbutamol daily, 2 days AM/PM PEFR variance ≥20%, 2+ nights asthma awakenings, 2+ days symptom score ≥2  <u>Exclusion:</u> Current tobacco use Hospital asthma admission previous month	437	24 weeks (cf. baseline) (se) (Change)	<u>FP plus SLM</u>	<u>FP</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 37 and female 62%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 2.12L in FP + SLM group; mean age 37 and female 60%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 2.14L in FP group</li> <li>No detail on randomisation methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Study drop out rate 9% in FP + SLM group 14% in FP group</li> </ul>
				AM PEFR L/min	+46.5(3.5)	+23.8(3.2)	P<.001	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.43 (0.04)	0.33 (0.03)	P=.013	
				Symptom free days %	+26%	+10%	P<.001	
				Rescue salbutamol use (Mean no. puffs daily)	-2.51(0.17)	-1.55(0.15)	P<.001	





Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Jenkins et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 9 countries	Salmeterol disk inh 50 $\mu$ g + Fluticasone disk inh 250 $\mu$ g + placebo turb inh bd V Budesonide turb inh 800 $\mu$ g + placebo disk inh bd  24 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 14-80 yrs 4+ weeks pre-study: FEV <sub>1</sub> or PEFR $\geq$ 50-85%PV 15+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> or mean AM PEFR $\leq$ 85% after $\beta$ -agonist Salbutamol 2+ times daily 2+ daytime symptom score on 4+/7 days  <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma requiring hospitalization previous 4 weeks Smoker $\geq$ 10 pack years smoker	353	24 weeks adjusted mean over treatment period (se)  AM PEFR L/min PM  Median % symptom-free days  Adverse events related to treatment (% patients)	<u>FP plus SLM</u>  406 (3.67) 416 (3.14)  60%  14%	<u>BDP</u>  380 (3.81) 398 (3.25)  34%  19%	<u>P value</u>  $P < .001$ $P < .001$  $P \leq .001$  N/A	<u>NNI</u>       <u>NNH</u>  4  20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 45 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> (mean% PV) 68 in FP + SLM group; mean age 48 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> (mean% PV) 72 in BDP group</li> <li>International based study (9 countries)</li> <li>Little detail on randomisation, blinding and concealment methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Pearlman et al. 1999)	Placebo mdi bd V	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12-62 yrs	136	4 weeks	<u>Baseline</u> <u>FEV<sub>1</sub> (L)</u>	<u>Endpoint</u> <u>mean</u> <u>change</u> <u>FEV<sub>1</sub> (L)</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Poor comparative baseline demographics between groups</li> <li>Placebo N=23, mean age 35 and female 57%, Non-Caucasian 9%</li> <li>SLM (42µg ) N=21, mean age 29 and female 33%, Non-Caucasian 0%</li> <li>FP (88µg) N=23, mean age 27 and female 26%, Non-Caucasian 0%,</li> <li>FP (220µg), N=23, mean age 32 and female 43%, Non-Caucasian 9%</li> <li>SLM (42µg) + FP (88µg) N=25, mean age 33 and female 60%, Non-Caucasian 16%</li> <li>SLM (42µg) + FP (220µg) N=21, mean age 26 and female 33%, Non-Caucasian 19%</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Study drop out rate 4% in FP low dose + SLM group, 7% in FP high dose group</li> <li>Several key study results lack data detail</li> </ul>
RCT	Salmeterol mdi 42 µg bd V	FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV 15+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> 15 min after 180 µg		Placebo	2.39 (0.13)	0 (0.09)		
Grade 1-	Fluticasone mdi 88 µg bd V	(2 puffs) salbutamol Daily or as needed β-agonists previous 6 months		SLM (42µg )	2.75 (0.1)	0.29 (0.12)		
Country:	Fluticasone mdi 220 µg bd V			FP (88µg)	2.91 (0.13)	0.27 (0.07)		
United States	Fluticasone mdi 220 µg bd V	<u>Exclusion:</u> Smoker previous 12 months		FP (220µg),	2.52 (0.14)	0.30 (0.09)	P≤.05*	
	Salmeterol mdi 42 µg bd +	>10 pack years		SLM (42µg) + FP (88µg)	2.31 (0.12)	0.59 (0.10)	P<.05*^	
	Fluticasone mdi 88 µg bd V	O/I/IVCS previous 1 month		SLM (42µg) + FP (220µg)	2.62 (0.12)	0.75 (0.16)	P<.05*^	
	Fluticasone mdi 88 µg bd V	Daily OCS previous 6 months		* vs placebo				
	Salmeterol mdi 42 µg bd +			^ vs SLM 42µg, FP 88µg and FP220µg				
	Fluticasone mdi 220 µg bd				<u>Baseline</u>	<u>Mean</u> <u>change</u>		
	4 weeks			Asthma symptom score				
				Placebo				
				SLM (42µg )	1.3 (0.2)	-0.2 (0.2)		
				FP (88µg)	1.3 (0.2)	-0.5 (0.1)		
				FP (220µg),	1.2 (0.1)	-0.1 (0.1)	P<.05*	
				SLM (42µg) + FP (88µg)	1.3 (0.2)	-0.3 (0.1)		
				SLM (42µg) + FP (220µg)	1.2 (0.2)	-0.8 (0.2)		
					1.1 (0.2)	-0.4 (0.1)	P<.05*^	
				* vs placebo				
				^ vs FP 88µg				

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Weersink et al. 1997)	Salmeterol mdi 50 µg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-45 yrs Circadian variation in PEF <sub>R15</sub> +% BHR to MCh PC <sub>20</sub> <9.6 mg/ml IgE elevated to house dust mite (RAST≥2) Short-acting β-agonist on demand previous 4 weeks  <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoker Acute asthma exacerbation, OCS previous 8 weeks ICS previous 4 weeks	50	6 weeks	<u>SLM</u>	<u>FP</u>	<u>SLM+FP</u>	<u>P Value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 28 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 77%PV, in SLM group; mean age 28 and female 56%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 88%PV, in FP group; mean age 26 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 83%PV, SLM + FP group</li> <li>Baseline differences post-randomisation</li> <li>Patients recruited from 1 outpatient clinic and newspaper advertisements</li> <li>No intention to treat analysis, 4 treated patients not included in analysis</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
RCT	Fluticasone mdi 250 µg bd			Mean Circadian PEFR variation 100*(highest-lowest 24-h value)/mean 24-h value per day over 3 days				Between n.s.	
Grade 1-	V			Baseline	22.4%	24.0%	24%	Within P<.0001	
Country: Netherlands	Fluticasone mdi 250 µg bd + salmeterol mdi 50 µg bd			End of period	9.1%	7.9%	10%		
				FEV <sub>1</sub> (L) (%PV increase cf. baseline)				Between P=.2	
	Methacholine challenge (MCh)			4 PM (se)	+10.8% (2.4)	+7.6% (3.4)	+5.9% (3.8)	Within P=.0001	
	Or Adenosine 5' mono-phosphate challenge (AMP)			4 AM (se)	+19.6% (3.6)	+15.9% (3.0)	+17.9% (3.8)	Between times P=.0005	
	To FEV <sub>1</sub> PC <sub>20</sub> or MCh (19.6mg/ml) or AMP (640mg/ml)			PC <sub>20</sub> MCh (se)				PC <sub>20</sub> MCh Between P=.04	
				4 PM	+1.5(0.5)	+2.1(0.5)	2.5(0.6)	Within P<.0001	
	6 weeks			4 AM	+2.4(0.5)	+3.0(0.5)	2.9(0.8)	Between times P=.1	



Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Murray et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone mdi 168 $\mu$ g bd + salmeterol mdi 42 $\mu$ g bd  V Beclomethasone mdi 336 $\mu$ g bd  24 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-82 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 45-80%PV 12+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> PV with 180 $\mu$ g salbutamol Symptomatic while taking 336 $\mu$ g beclomethasone or 800 $\mu$ g triamcinolone daily Constant immunotherapy ok if 12+ weeks  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients on other asthma medication except theophylline, as needed salbutamol and asthma exacerbation medication	514	24 weeks	<u>BDP + SLM</u>	<u>BDP</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 42 and female 59%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV in BDP + SLM group; mean age 42 and female 55%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 64%PV in BDP dose group</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Several key study results (salbutamol use, drug safety) lack data detail</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat analysis used</li> </ul>
				FEV <sub>1</sub> (L) baseline	2.30	2.31		
				24 weeks	2.68	2.54	$P < .05$	
				(cf. BDP)				
				Symptom score (mean change cf. baseline at 24 weeks)				
				Wheeze	-0.49	-0.27	$P \leq .05$	
				Shortness of breath	-0.71	-0.25	$P \leq .05$	
				Chest tightness	-0.62	-0.33	$P \leq .05$	
				Asthma exacerbations % patients	17%	18%	<i>n.s.</i>	

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Kelsen et al. 1999)	Beclomethasone mdi 168 µg bd + Salmeterol mdi 42 µg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-77 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 45%-80%PV ≥12% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> after 180 µg salbutamol	483	24 weeks (mean change over treatment period) Mean AM PEFR L/min	<u>BEC+</u> <u>SLM</u>	<u>BEC</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Mean age 42 and female 57%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV in BEC+SLM group; mean age 42 and female 65%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 64%PV in BEC group</li><li>Of the 483 patients randomized 20.1% did not complete study. Dropout rates 20% in each treatment arm. Results use ITT based analysis</li><li>Some primary PEFR data values are not reported, only <i>p</i> values given</li><li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li></ul>
RCT	180 µg salbutamol	≥3/7 days symptoms, rescue medication in previous week		Baseline L/min	389.7	390			
Grade 1+	Beclomethsone mdi 336 µg bd	ICS 336 µg daily BDP or 800 µg daily 2 weeks prior		Treatment period L/min	N/A	N/A	<i>P</i> <.001		
Country: United States	Run-in: 2 weeks	Non-smoker		Mean PM PEFR L/min					
				Baseline L/min	N/A	N/A			
				Treatment period L/min	37.5	14.8	<i>P</i> <.001		
	Treatment: 24 weeks			% of symptom free days	23.6%	12.5%	<i>P</i> ≤.05	9	
				Mean change over 24 weeks (se)					
				% nights with no awakenings (baseline) (se)	67.0 (2.3)	68.0 (2.2)	N/A		
				mean change	18.8 (1.7)	13.4 (1.6)	<i>P</i> ≤.05		
				% nights no salbutamol use baseline (se)	60.2 (2.5)	60.4 (2.4)	N/A		
				mean change	23.2 (2.0)	14.7 (1.9)	<i>P</i> ≤.05		
				n and % patients reporting exacerbations	38 (16%)	44 (18%)	N/A		
				n and % patients with drug related adverse events	26 (11%)	34 (14%)	N/A		

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Nathan et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol mdi 42 µg bd V Beclomethasone mdi 84 µg Qid V Placebo mdi bd  Methacholine challenge (MCh) To FEV <sub>1</sub> PC <sub>20</sub> or MCh 75 (mg/ml) in neb  26 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 65-90%PV 12+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> within 30 min of 180 µg salbutamol mdi Daily/as needed β-agonists with no I/OCS previous 6 months  <u>Exclusion:</u> Decline in FEV <sub>1</sub> of ≥ 15% after saline inhalation Asthma requiring hospitalization previous 4 weeks	386	26 weeks mean increase (se) over treatment period FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)  Salbutamol free nights (mean % increase)  Mean increase PD <sub>20</sub> MCh (se) Week 14 Week 26	<u>SLM</u>      0.28 (0.04)  23%  1.39 (0.24) 1.29 (0.26)	<u>BEC</u>      0.23 (0.04)  23%  1.57 (0.26) 1.42 (0.24)	<u>Placebo</u>      0.08 (0.04)  9%  0.16 (0.22) 0.24 (0.29)	<u>P value of placebo</u>      P≤.014  P≤.014  P<.001 P≤.033	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Mean age 31 and female 54%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 79%PV, AM PEFR(L/min) 405 in SLM group; mean age 30 and female 57%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 78%PV, PEFR(L/min) 394 in BDP group; mean age 29 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 81%PV, PEFR(L/min) 417 in placebo group</li><li>Significantly higher baseline AM PEFR in placebo group</li><li>ITT analysis but 81/368 (22%) withdrawals. Across treatment arms 23% SLM, 18% BEC, 22% PLC groups</li><li>Data for some primary outcomes not shown</li><li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Vermetten et al. 1999)	Beclomethasone D-inh 200 µg bd + Salmeterol D-inh 50 µg bd V	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-66 yrs 6+ weeks prior: ICS BEC/BDP 200-400 µg daily	233	12 weeks (average of last 2 weeks of treatment period)	<u>BEC+</u> <u>SLM</u>	<u>BEC</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 42 and female 47%, AM PEFR(l/min) 404, PM PEFR 431 in BEC+SLM group; mean age 42 and female 62%, AM PEFR(l/min) 390, PM PEFR 413 in BEC group</li><li>• Differences in baseline demographics for % female and AM/PM PEFR values</li><li>• Of the 233 patients randomized 202 completed study. Whether or not ITT analysis not specified. No detail on randomisation, blinding and concealment methodology.</li><li>• Treatment endpoints are averages over the last 2 weeks.</li><li>• Some primary PEFR data values are not reported, only <i>p</i> values given</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company supported trial</li></ul>
RCT				Mean AM PEFR L/min				
Grade 1-	Beclomethasone diskinh	≥15% reversibility in PEF		Baseline L/min	404	390		
Country: Netherlands	400 µg bd			Treatment period L/min	N/A	N/A	<i>P</i> =.066	
		<u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma exacerbation requiring change to medication in run-in period		Mean PM PEFR L/min				
	Run-in: 2 weeks			Baseline L/min	431	413		
	Treatment: 12 weeks			Treatment period L/min	N/A	N/A	<i>P</i> =.036	
				Diurnal variation in PEFR%(se)				
				Baseline	9.5% (0.8)	8.8% (0.6)		
				Treatment period	6.6% (0.6)	7.2% (0.7)	<i>n.s.</i>	
				(proportion of days with) Asthma symptoms				
				Day Baseline period	0.56 (0.04)	0.54 (0.03)		
				Day Treatment period	0.37 (0.04)	0.38 (0.04)	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Night Baseline period	0.43 (0.04)	0.41 (0.03)		
				Night Treatment period	0.33 (0.04)	0.34 (0.04)	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Av. No. of blisters per day of rescue medication-baseline	0.88 (0.09)	0.84 (0.09)		
				Treatment	0.48 (0.07)	0.61 (0.10)	<i>P</i> <0.05	
				Av. No. of blisters per night of rescue medication-baseline	0.47 (0.06)	0.47 (0.05)		
				Treatment	0.30 (0.06)	0.37 (0.06)	<i>n.s.</i>	

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Pauwels et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 9 countries	Run-in period: Budesonide inh 800 $\mu$ g bd terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh as needed  Treatment: Budesonide inh 100 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 100 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd V Budesonide inh 400 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 400 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd  terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh rescue medication  Run-in: 4 weeks Treatment: 52 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs Patients with FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 50%PV and 15+% increase from baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> after inhalation of 1 mg terbutaline  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients taking 2000+ $\mu$ g daily beclomethasone or 1600+ $\mu$ g daily mdi or 800+ $\mu$ g daily budesonide inh 3+ courses OCS or asthma requiring hospitalization previous 6 months	852	52 weeks  Yearly rate of asthma exacerbations (no/patient/yr) Severe Mild  Episode free days (mean % of year)  Asthma symptom score Day Night Rescue medication during day no of inhalations  Yearly rate of asthma exacerbations (no/patient/yr) Severe Mild  Episode free days (mean % of year)  Asthma symptom score Day Night Rescue medication during day (no of inhalations)	<u>Low dose</u> BDP + placebo  0.91 35.4  41.7% 0.57 0.37 0.91  0.57 0.46 0.31 0.53 0.38 0.82 0.44  P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<u>Low dose</u> BDP + FRM  0.67 21.3  51.1% 0.46 0.31 0.57  P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<u>High dose</u> BDP + placebo  0.46 22.3  45.7% 0.53 0.38 0.82 0.44  P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<u>High dose</u> BDP+ FRM  0.34 13.4  54.8% 0.33 0.20 0.44  P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 42 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76 in low BDP + placebo group; mean age 41 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76 in low BUD + FRM group; mean age 44 and female 52%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76 in high BDP + placebo group; mean age 42 and female 53%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76%PV high BUD + FRM group</li> <li>International based study (9 countries)</li> <li>Post-randomisation, 158 patients did not complete study, 44 of these did not fulfil the entry criteria and were incorrectly randomised. Whether ITT analysis not clearly defined</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Juniper et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: 5 countries	Run-in period: Budesonide inh 800 $\mu$ g bd terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh as needed  Treatment: Budesonide inh 100 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 100 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd V Budesonide inh 400 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 400 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd  Terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh rescue medication  Run-in: 4 weeks Treatment: 52 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs Patients with FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 50%PV and 15+% increase from baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> after inhalation of 1 mg terbutaline IGS previous 3+ months with patients taking <2000 $\mu$ g daily beclomethasone or <1600 $\mu$ g daily mdi or <800 $\mu$ g daily budesonide inh	470	52 weeks  AQLQ total score change	<u>High dose</u> <u>BDP+ FRM</u>  0.21	<u>P Value</u> <u>Cf. other</u> <u>groups</u>  $P=.028$	<u>NNI</u> (provided Result)  11.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 42 and female 51% in low BDP + placebo group; mean age 42 and female 48% in low BDP + FRM group; mean age 44 and female 51% in high BDP + placebo group; mean age 44 and female 59% in high BDP + FRM group</li> <li>• International based study (9 countries)</li> <li>• Earlier study acknowledgement to (Pauwels et al. 1997) This study's primary outcome measure is asthma quality of life assessment using AQLQ. Clinical results previously reported in earlier study</li> <li>• Post-randomisation, 114/470 (24%) of patients did not complete study after 52 weeks. 4/470 patients did not fill in AQLQ at baseline are excluded from analysis</li> <li>• Insufficient data detail for analysis of AQLQ results</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Kips et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Canada, England, Belgium	Run-in period: Budesonide inh 800 µg bd terbutaline 0.25 mg inh as needed  Budesonide 100 µg inh bd + formoterol inh 12 µg bd V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + placebo inh bd  Run-in: 4 weeks Treatment: 52 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs Patients with FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV and 15+% increase from baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> after inhalation of 1 mg terbutaline  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients taking 2000+ µg daily beclomethasone or 1600+ µg daily mdi or 800+ µg daily budesonide inh or 800+ µg daily fluticasone inh 3+ course OCS or asthma requiring hospitalization previous 6 months	60	52 weeks  Yearly rate of asthma exacerbations (no/patient/yr) Severe (se) Mild (se)  Episode free days (mean % of year) (se)  Mean AM PEFR L/min Mean PM L/min	<u>BDP + FRM</u>  0.29 (0.14) 18.3 (6.92)  41.3% (7.0)  N/A N/A	<u>BDP + placebo</u>  0.47 (0.24) 14.6 (5.42)  30.4% (6.0)  N/A N/A	<u>P value</u>  <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i> <i>P&lt;.05</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 35 and female 59%, ICS µg daily 676, FEV<sub>1</sub> L start run-in 2.87, end run-in 2.93 in BDP + FRM group; mean age 38 and female 61%, ICS µg daily 706.5, FEV<sub>1</sub> L start run-in 2.52, end run-in 2.71 in BDP + placebo group</li><li>• Differences in baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> (lower in BDP+ placebo group), ICS usage higher here also. Not significant according to study</li><li>• International based study (3 countries)</li><li>• Primary efficacy outcome markers of airway inflammation in induced sputum. Only secondary outcomes appraised. Lung function data detail not reported</li><li>• Whether ITT analysis not clearly defined. No information on study drop out rates during treatment</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 7: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Crompton et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 3 European countries	Bambuterol po 10 mg nocte (1 week) 20 mg nocte (5 weeks) + placebo mdi V  Salmeterol mdi 50 µg bd + placebo  Run-in: 2 weeks  Treatment: 6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18+ yrs 4+ weeks prior: ICS BDP/BEC 800-2000 µg daily other than with turbuhaler or FP/BUD 400-2000 µg daily via turbuhaler or OCS such as prednisone at ≤ 20 mg daily ≥1 nocturnal/early morning awakening req rescue medication ≥15% decr in overnight PEFR on 3/7 days preceding entry  <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma requiring hospitalization Previous 4 weeks	135	6 weeks Median AM PEFR L/min Baseline L/min Treatment period L/min Change from baseline  Median change (cf. baseline) Evening PEFR L/min Percent overnight fall in PEFR% Percent nights an awakening % No. puffs rescue medication during day No. puffs rescue medication during night Asthma symptoms, day night	<u>BMB</u>   276 310 50  12 -11% -21% -0.33 -0.28 -0.17 -0.32	<u>SLM</u>   272 327 55  3 -14% -29% -0.57 -0.26 -0.11 -0.32	<u>P value</u>   N/A N/A P=.53  P=.82 P=.17 P=.22 P=.24 P=.88 P=.48 P=.57	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 41 and female 60%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV in BMB group; mean age 41 and female 56%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 68%PV in SLM group</li> <li>• International based study (3 countries)</li> <li>• Of the 135 patients randomized 118 completed study. Of the total, 126 patients were considered valid and are included in the analysis. Not strict ITT analysis</li> <li>• Treatment endpoints are calculated during weeks 3 to 6 Both median and mean values calculated and appropriate statistical measures used</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>



Table 8: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus theophylline) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Pollard et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol mdi (42 µg bd) V Theophylline po (Individual dose titrated slo-bd) V Placebo Inh and po  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV 15+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> with salbutamol inh  <u>Exclusion:</u> Other bronchodilators	484	12 weeks Mean change AM PEFr(cf. baseline) (L/min)  Mean change (cf. baseline) Nighttime awakenings/wk Asthma symptom score Salbutamol use puffs/day  Drug related adverse event	<u>SLM</u> +10.3  -0.7 -0.11 -1.1  9%	<u>IHP</u> -4.5  -0.1 0.01 0.06  19%	<u>P value</u> <i>P</i> ≤.02  <i>P</i> <.02 <i>P</i> <.02 <i>P</i> <.02  <i>P</i> <.05	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 31 and female 52% in salmeterol group; mean age 30 and 54% in theophylline group</li><li>• 54% patients on concurrent ICS therapy</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li><li>• Combined results of two identical trials</li></ul>

Table 8: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus theophylline) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention Comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Nutini et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Italy	Salmeterol inh 50 $\mu$ g bd V Theophylline po 50 dose titrated bd  12 weeks (drug efficacy) 52 weeks (drug safety)	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV 15+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> with 200 $\mu$ g salbutamol inh  <u>Exclusion:</u> Variable smoking history Asthma requiring emergency care – past month	112	12 weeks Symptom free Days Nights  Days with no rescue medication use Days Nights  QOL index score  Adverse drug events	<u>SLM</u>  65.7% 65.7%  68.7% 70.1%  9.7 9	<u>THP</u>  56.8% 60.2%  57.2% 63.0%  8.6 18	<u>P value</u>  $P<.005$ $P<.01$  $P<.001$ $P<.001$  N/A N/A	<u>NNI</u>  11 18  9 14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 46 and female 44%, mean FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) 2.21 in SLM group; mean age 48 and 32% and mean FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) 2.10 in THP group</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Open study design</li> <li>Intention to treat analysis</li> </ul>



Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Boulet et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Canada	Salmeterol inh 50 µg bd V Salbutamol mdi 200 µg Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12-76 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 15% 15 minutes after inh of 200 µg salbutamol  <u>Exclusion:</u> Other β-agonists and OCS ICS, IMM for at least 1 month pre-study	228	Between treatment groups: Mean improvement over baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> AM postdose time point 3-6 hours 10-12 hours (day1, weeks 4, 8, 10, 12)  Mean post-dose changes in FEV <sub>1</sub> %  Mean improvement in AM PEFR(l/min)  % days no symptoms % nights no awakenings	<u>SLM</u>  N/A N/A  8.1% 35 29% 14%	<u>SLB</u>  N/A N/A  9.7% -3 15% -1%	<u>P value</u>  P<.001 P≤.012  N/A P<.001 P=.012 P<.001	<u>NNI</u>       7 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 37 and female 44%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV in SLM group; age 40 and female 43%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV in SBM group</li><li>• Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li><li>• Inadequate data results reported for appraisal of primary FEV<sub>1</sub> measure of efficacy</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded trial</li></ul>

Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Martin et al. 1999)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol po (extended release) 4mg AM, 8 mg PM bd  V  Salmeterol inh 42 µg bd  Treatment period(s) 3 weeks each  7-9 day washout	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> > 12% after inh salbutamol Stable asthma for previous 30 days  <u>Exclusion:</u> Systemic CS β-agonists other than rescue salbutamol Theophylline (sustained release)	47	Adjusted means FEV <sub>1</sub> (l) PEFR L/min AM % overnight change PEF % overnight change FEV <sub>1</sub> Rescue salbutamol (no. of inhalations/day) % of no nighttime awakenings	<u>SLM</u>  2.70 420 -7.9 -5.1 2.20 84.6%	<u>SLB</u>  2.71 414 -7.2 -4.0 2.98 79.4%	<u>P value</u>  <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>P</i> =.001 <i>P</i> =.021	<u>NNT</u>      22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 35 and female 48%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 67%PV, 61% of patients on ICS pre-study, 27% tobacco use history.</li><li>• No baseline demographics for randomized treatment groups</li><li>• Nocturnal asthma defined as ≥ 15% decrease in AM and night FEV<sub>1</sub> on 3/7 nights prior to randomization</li><li>• 46/47 patients included in analysis, 1 lost to follow-up</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Ekstrom et al. 1998b)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 3 Scandinavian countries	Formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 9 $\mu$ g ) bd + placebo V Terbutaline inh 0.5 mg Qid V Placebo inh Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-82 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 15% 15 minutes after inh of 0.5 mg turbutaline	343	Mean difference in improvement over baseline PEFR L/min AM PM Asthma symptoms – night Rescue medication (no. of inhalations) Day Night  <u>P value</u> PEFR L/min AM PM Asthma symptoms – night Rescue medication (no. of inhalations) Day Night	<u>FRM vs placebo</u>  14.6 16.2 -0.20  -0.53 -0.67   P=.0022 P=.0001 P=.0025  P=.013 P=.0042	<u>FRM vs TEB</u>  21.9 16.7 -0.16  -0.10 -0.11   P=.0001 P=.0001 P=.019  n.s. n.s.	<u>TEB vs Placebo</u>  -7.2 -0.6 -0.05  -0.43 -0.56   n.s. n.s. n.s  P=.043 P=.015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 49 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 62%PV , current/past smoker 62% in SLM group; mean age 48 and female 59%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 61%PV, current/ex smoker 57% in TEB group; mean age 47 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 60%PV, current/ex-smoker 63% in PLC group</li> <li>89% of patients on ICS pre-study. Patients allowed to use ICS and terbutaline as rescue medication</li> <li>International based study (3 countries)</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Lipworth et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Scotland	Formoterol inh 6 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 4.5 $\mu$ g ) bd + placebo V Formoterol inh 24 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 9 $\mu$ g -2 puffs) bd + placebo V Formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g daily (delivered dose 9 $\mu$ g) + placebo V Terbutaline inh 500 mg Qid V Placebo inh Qid  Methacholine challenge (MCh) 3.125 $\mu$ g - 6400 $\mu$ g to FEV <sub>1</sub> PD <sub>20</sub>  2 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 60%PV PD <sub>20</sub> (MCh) $\leq$ 1000 $\mu$ g Constant dosage of ICS $\leq$ 2000 $\mu$ g BUD, BDP or FP  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients on OCS previous 4 weeks Smoker previous 12 months	72	Methacholine protection ratios compared with placebo  Formoterol 24 $\mu$ g bd First dose 14 days Formoterol 12 $\mu$ g bd First dose 14 days Formoterol 6 $\mu$ g bd First dose 14 days Terbutaline 500 $\mu$ g Qid First dose 14 days  Pre-challenge FEV <sub>1</sub> 1 hour after use of medication After 14 days of treatment (as % ratio versus placebo) Formoterol 24 $\mu$ g bd Formoterol 12 $\mu$ g bd Formoterol 6 $\mu$ g bd Terbutaline 500 $\mu$ g Qid	<u>Geometric Mean fold Protection Ratio</u>  10.2 1.4  6.4 1.5  5.5 1.6  3.4 1.9   109% 111% 109% 105%	<u>95% CI</u>  4.6 – 22.5 0.6 – 3.4  2.9 – 14.1 0.6 – 3.8  2.5 – 12.3 0.6 – 4.0  1.5 – 7.8 0.8 – 4.9   103,116% 105, 118% 102, 115% 99, 112%	<u>P value</u>  N/A N/A  N/A N/A  N/A N/A  N/A N/A  <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 33 and female 47%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 90%PV FRM 24 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 36 and female 53%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 87%PV in FRM 12 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 39 and female 71%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 85%PV in FRM 6 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 42 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 88%PV in TEB 500 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 38 and female 43%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 86%PV in placebo group</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Seberova and Andersson 2000)	5 study visits, with single doses: minimum 2 days washout	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-64 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 40%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 1.51 FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 15% 15 minutes after inh of 0.5 mg terbutaline	36	FEV <sub>1</sub> at 3 minutes after inhalation	<u>% Increase in FEV<sub>1</sub> (cf. baseline)</u>	<u>P value cf. placebo</u>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 34 and % female unknown, mean FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) 2.75</li> <li>No baseline demographics for randomized treatment groups</li> <li>Treatment period undefined</li> <li>Randomized at each study visit</li> <li>No power analysis for study sample size</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
CO	Formoterol inh 4.5 $\mu$ g or 9 $\mu$ g	<u>Exclusion:</u> If FEV <sub>1</sub> not within $\pm$ 12% of baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> patients asked to return another day Oral, inh long-acting $\beta$ -agonists, GCS during study		Salbutamol 100 $\mu$ g	+10.0%	P<.001	<u>Between groups</u>	
Grade 1-	V			Salbutamol 200 $\mu$ g	+11.4%	P<.001		
Country: Czech Republic	Salbutamol mdi 100 $\mu$ g or 200 $\mu$ g			Formoterol 4.5 $\mu$ g	+11.7%	P<.001		
	V			Formoterol 9.0 $\mu$ g	+11.8%	P<.001	n.s.	
	Placebo							



Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Lipworth et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Scotland	Formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g mane V Formoterol inh 6 $\mu$ g bd V Formoterol inh 24 $\mu$ g bd V Terbutaline inh 500 $\mu$ g Qid  2 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-45 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 60%PV PD <sub>20</sub> in FEV <sub>1</sub> response to methacholine challenge of 1000 $\mu$ g and 2-doubling dose protection in response to a single test dose of formoterol inh 24 $\mu$ g <u>Non-smoker</u>	38	Mean values	<u>FRM</u> <u>6 <math>\mu</math>g bd</u>	<u>FRM</u> <u>12 <math>\mu</math>g daily</u>	<u>FRM</u> <u>24 <math>\mu</math>g bd*</u>	<u>TEB</u> <u>500 <math>\mu</math>g Qid</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N=8, mean age 39 and female 38%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 80%PV in FRM 6 <math>\mu</math>g group; N=10, age 41 and female 40%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 84%PV in FRM 12 <math>\mu</math>g group; N=11, age 32 and female 45%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 91%PV in FRM 24 <math>\mu</math>g group; N=11, age 36 and female 44%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 87%PV in TEB group</li> <li>Small numbers in each treatment group, no significant demographic differences between groups</li> <li>All patients on regular ICS therapy. On demand <math>\beta</math>-agonists</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li> <li>Results presented are only secondary outcome measures. Primary outcome bronchoprotective sensitivity</li> <li>Pharmaceutical support in provision of inhalers for trial</li> </ul>
				AM PEFR(L/min)(se) run-in Treatment	395 (55) 415 (59)	367 (44) 391 (21)	447 (30) 490 (24)	429 (46) 428 (45)	
				PM PEFR(L/min)(se) run-in Treatment	398 (50) 433 (59)	417 (31) 421 (25)	471 (27) 502 (24)	435 (51) 445 (46)	
				* $P < .05$ difference between run-in and treatment only in formoterol 24 $\mu$ g bd group					

Study Source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Tattersfield 2001)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 4 European countries	As needed basis: Formoterol inh 4.5-54 µg daily V  Terbutaline inh 0.5-6 µg daily  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-75 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV ICS mean dose 870 µg daily previous 4 weeks Requirement of β-agonists average 3/8 times during run-in period  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients inh 12+ rescue medication	362	Number at risk (remaining w/o severe asthma exacerbation)	<u>FRM</u>	<u>TEB</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 46 and female 52%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 74%PV in FRM group; mean age 48 and female 62%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 74%PV in TEB group</li> <li>• Severe exacerbations defined by need for OCS or fall in PEFR of 30% from baseline on 2 consecutive days</li> <li>• International study (4 countries)</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
				Days since randomization				
				0	182	180		
				20	173	159		
				40	165	144		
				60	153	132	P= .013	
				80	146	127		
				Exacerbations: no. of patients/no. of exacerbations	26 / 29	43 / 48		
				Need for OCS	21/26 (81%)	31/43 (72%)		
				Mean difference in change over treatment (FRM-TEB)				
				AM PEFR(L/min)(95% CI)	11 (3,20)		P= .009	
				PM PEFR(L/min)(95% CI)	8 (0,15)		P= .043	
				Diurnal variation %	-0.4 (-1.4,0.6)		P= .44	
				Symptom score – Day	-0.04		P= .54	
				Night	-0.02		P= .68	

Table 9: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study Source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Ekstrom et al. 1998a)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: 4 European countries	Formoterol inh 6 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 4.5 $\mu$ g ) bd V Terbutaline inh 0.5 mg Qid V Placebo inh Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-79 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ +15% and 200+ ml after inh of 0.5 mg terbutaline	397	PEFR L/min  AM PM FEV <sub>1</sub>  Symptoms: Days Nights  Rescue no. of inhalations: Day-time Night-time	<u>FRM vs TEB</u>  10.9 8.0 0.13  -0.09 -0.11  -0.14 -0.15	<u>95%CI</u>  2.3, 19.5 -4.0, 16.5 0.05, 0.21  -0.18,-0.01 -0.20,-0.02  -0.36,-0.08 -0.31,-0.01	<u>P value</u>  $P=$ .014 $P=$ .061 $P<$ .0017  $P=$ .038 $P=$ .015  $P=$ .19 $P=$ .074	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 49 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 62%PV FRM group; mean age 46 and female 59%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 63%PV in TEB group; mean age 48 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 62%PV in PLC group</li> <li>• Pre-study 86% of patients on ICS, 78% inh <math>\beta</math>-agonists. ICS maintained 4 weeks prior and during study</li> <li>• Proportion of male/female in terbutaline group differs from others</li> <li>• 54 patients in treatment group not included in baseline demographic analysis</li> <li>• Undetermined number of patients included in analysis of results</li> <li>• International based study (4 countries)</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 10: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma

Study Source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Campbell et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United Kingdom and Ireland	Eformoterol Turbo inh 12 µg bd V Salmeterol Accu inh 50 µg bd V Salmeterol mdi 50 µg bd  Run-in 7-14 days  Treatment: 8 weeks  Crossover: 4 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV β-agonist 10+% increase in PEFr or SA β-agonist bd on 4 of previous 7 days β-agonist 15+% in PEFr or 9+% increase than patient %PV on entry  <u>Exclusion:</u> Use of LA β-agonist – past month	469	Results are for 8 week period	(A) <u>Eformoterol</u>	(B) <u>SLM inh</u>	(C) <u>mdi</u>	<u>P value Between treatments</u>   <



Table 10: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Wallaert et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: France	Bambuterol po 20mg nocte + placebo V Salmeterol p-mdi 50 $\mu$ g bd + placebo  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs Previous 4 weeks: I/OCS 800-2000 $\mu$ g daily of BUD, FLN, BDP mdi Or 400-2000 $\mu$ g daily BUD inh and/or oral PDN 20 mg daily FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-85%PV PM PEFR fall of $\geq$ 15% in run-in 3/7 nights Nocturnal awakening requiring rescue medication  <u>Exclusion:</u> Exacerbation in asthma previous 4 weeks	117	6 weeks  (change cf. run-in) AM PEFR L/min  PM  Mean fall in overnight PEFR%  % symptom free days (mean)	<u>BMB</u>  +28 P<.05  +20 P<.05  -8.3% P<.001  +23%	<u>SLM</u>  +29 P<.01  +23 P<.001  -6.8% P<.001  +14%	<u>P value</u>  P<.86  P<.73  N/A  P<.55	<u>NNI</u>        11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 45 and female 56%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 64%PV (mean L) 64.1 non-smokers 66% in BMB group; mean age 46 and female 55%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV 65, non-smokers 73% in SLM group</li> <li>Treatment period efficacy variables calculated over last 4 weeks</li> <li>No intention to treat analysis</li> <li>21 patients discontinued prematurely from study, treated dropped out patients not included in results, analysis accounts for n=48 in BMB group and n=57 in SLM group</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company supported trial in manuscript preparation</li> </ul>

Table 11: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Villaran et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 12 countries	Salmeterol inh 50 $\mu$ g bd V Montelukast po 10 mg daily  8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 15-45 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> improved by $\geq 12\%$ post $\beta$ -agonist PC <sub>20</sub> 0 in FEV <sub>1</sub> in response to $\leq 4$ mg/ml methacholine or histamine $\leq 20$ pack years smoking <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma requiring emergency care – past month	197	Maximal % fall in FEV <sub>1</sub> post exercise (cf. baseline)	<u>MNT</u> 17.2%	<u>SLM</u> 10.7%	<u>P value</u> $P < .001$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 27 and female 50%</li> <li>Exercise induced bronchoconstriction</li> <li>Pharmaceutical sponsored trial (producers of montelukast)</li> <li>Similar study design, authors and sponsor to next study</li> </ul>
				Recovery time to within 5% of prechallenge level at 8 weeks compared with pre intervention (min)	-23.9	-11.3	$P = .002$	
				Adverse respiratory events (SLM cf. MNT)	39%	54%	$P < 0.05$	<u>NNH</u> 7
(Edelman et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol inh 50 $\mu$ g bd V Montelukast po 10 mg nocte  8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 15-45 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq 65\%$ PV $< 15$ pack-years smoking <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma or URTI requiring emergency care – past month	191	Maximal % challenge fall in FEV <sub>1</sub>	<u>MNT</u> 57.2%	<u>SLM</u> 33.0%	<u>P value</u> $P = .002$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 26 and female 50%</li> <li>Mod-severe activity limitation in 60% in past month at baseline</li> <li>No baseline ethnicity data presented</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company input (producers of montelukast) in funding, design, conduct and analysis of trial</li> </ul>

Table 11: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Busse et al. 1999a)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol inh 42 µg bd V Zafirlukast po 20 mg bd  4 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> >10 pack years tobacco smoking	289	Improvement in am PEFR (L/min)	<u>SLM</u> 29.6	<u>ZAF</u> 13.0	<u>P value</u> <i>P</i> ≤ 0.001	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 38 and female 80%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV</li><li>• 80% on inhaled steroid pre-study</li><li>• Exercise induced bronchoconstriction</li><li>• Unknown proportion of participants identified through advertisements – may limit generalisability</li></ul>
				Symptom free days	22.4%	8.8%	<i>P</i> ≤ 0.001	7	
				% days with no rescue medication use	30.5%	11.3%	<i>P</i> ≤ 0.001	5	



## Short-acting beta-agonists

### Chronic asthma

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Kemp et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol inh (breath activated device) 50 µg bd + placebo mdi Qid V  Salbutamol mdi 180 mg Qid + placebo mdi bd V  Placebo mdi Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-79 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ +15% after 30 minutes inh of 180 µg salbutamol  <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoker	451	Mean Area Under Curve AUC for FEV <sub>1</sub> (L/hrs) Day 1 Week 12 (12-hour serial pulmonary function testing)  Mean FEV <sub>1</sub> %PV from Day 1 Week 12  Treatment related adverse events % of patients  Baseline v Weeks 1-12 Mean % of nights without awakening (se)  Mean asthma symptoms score  Mean puff/d of rescue salbutamol use	<u>SLM</u>  5.5 6.1   81% 84%  10%  63 (2.9) 85 (1.9)  1.2 (0.07) 0.8 (0.06) 4.3 (0.2) 1.6 (0.2)	<u>SLB</u>  4.6 3.4   85% 82%  9%  68 (2.7) 71 (2.5)  1.0 (0.06) 0.9 (0.05) 4.3 (0.2) 2.2 (0.2)	<u>P value</u>   <i>P</i> <.001     <i>P</i> <.001  <i>N/A</i>    <i>P</i> <.001   <i>P</i> <.01  <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 31 and female 39%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV, %race non-white 3% in SLM group; mean age 31 and female 43%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV, race non-white 12% in SLB group; mean age 31 and female 43%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV, race non-white 9% in PLC group</li> <li>Significant difference in ethnic mix across groups</li> <li>Unchanged ICS or cromolyn usage previous 3 months</li> <li>All patients on "as needed" salbutamol use</li> <li>Two identically designed trials with pooled data results</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology used and number of patients included in analysis</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Boulet et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Canada	Salmeterol inh 50 µg bd V Salbutamol mdi 200 µg Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12-76 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 15% 15 minutes after inh of 200 µg salbutamol  <u>Exclusion:</u> Other β-agonists and OCS ICS, IMM for at least 1 month pre-study	228	Between treatment groups: Mean improvement over baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> AM postdose time point 3-6 hours 10-12 hours (day1, weeks 4, 8, 10, 12)  Mean post-dose changes in FEV <sub>1</sub> %  Mean improvement in AM PEFR(l/min)  % days no symptoms % nights no awakenings	<u>SLM</u>  N/A N/A  8.1% 35 29% 14%	<u>SLB</u>  N/A N/A  9.7% -3 15% -1%	<u>P value</u>  <i>P</i> <.001 <i>P</i> ≤.012  N/A <i>P</i> <.001 <i>P</i> =.012 <i>P</i> <.001	<u>NNI</u>      7 7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Mean age 37 and female 44%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV in SLM group; age 40 and female 43%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV in SBM group</li><li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li><li>Inadequate data results reported for appraisal of primary FEV<sub>1</sub> measure of efficacy</li><li>Pharmaceutical company funded trial</li></ul>

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Martin et al. 1999)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol po (extended release) 4mg AM, 8 mg PM bd  V  Salmeterol inh 42 µg bd  Treatment period(s) 3 weeks each  7-9 day washout	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> > 12% after inh salbutamol Stable asthma for previous 30 days  <u>Exclusion:</u> Systemic CS β-agonists other than rescue salbutamol Theophylline (sustained release)	47	Adjusted means FEV <sub>1</sub> (l) PEFR L/min AM % overnight change PEF % overnight change FEV <sub>1</sub> Rescue salbutamol (no. of inhalations/day) % of no nighttime awakenings	<u>SLM</u>  2.70 420 -7.9 -5.1 2.20 84.6%	<u>SLB</u>  2.71 414 -7.2 -4.0 2.98 79.4%	<u>P value</u>  <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>P</i> =.001 <i>P</i> =.021	<u>NNI</u>      22	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 35 and female 48%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 67%PV, 61% of patients on ICS pre-study, 27% tobacco use history.</li><li>• No baseline demographics for randomized treatment groups</li><li>• Nocturnal asthma defined as ≥ 15% decrease in AM and night FEV<sub>1</sub> on 3/7 nights prior to randomization</li><li>• 46/47 patients included in analysis, 1 lost to follow-up</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Ekstrom et al. 1998b)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 3 Scandinavian countries	Formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 9 $\mu$ g ) bd + placebo V Terbutaline inh 0.5 mg Qid V Placebo inh Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-82 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 15% 15 minutes after inh of 0.5 mg turbutaline	343	Mean difference in improvement over baseline PEFR L/min AM PM Asthma symptoms – night Rescue medication (no. of inhalations) Day Night  <u>P value</u> PEFR L/min AM PM Asthma symptoms – night Rescue medication (no. of inhalations) Day Night	<u>FRM vs placebo</u>  14.6 16.2 -0.20  -0.53 -0.67   P=.0022 P=.0001 P=.0025  P=.013 P=.0042	<u>FRM vs TEB</u>  21.9 16.7 -0.16  -0.10 -0.11   P=.0001 P=.0001 P=.019  n.s. n.s.	<u>TEB vs Placebo</u>  -7.2 -0.6 -0.05  -0.43 -0.56   n.s. n.s. n.s  P=.043 P=.015	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 49 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 62%PV , current/past smoker 62% in SLM group; mean age 48 and female 59%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 61%PV, current/ex smoker 57% in TEB group; mean age 47 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 60%PV, current/ex-smoker 63% in PLC group</li> <li>89% of patients on ICS pre-study. Patients allowed to use ICS and terbutaline as rescue medication</li> <li>International based study (3 countries)</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Lipworth et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Scotland	Formoterol inh 6 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 4.5 $\mu$ g ) bd + placebo V Formoterol inh 24 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 9 $\mu$ g -2 puffs) bd + placebo V Formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g daily (delivered dose 9 $\mu$ g) + placebo V Terbutaline inh 500 mg Qid V Placebo inh Qid  Methacholine challenge (MCh) 3.125 $\mu$ g - 6400 $\mu$ g to FEV <sub>1</sub> PD <sub>20</sub>  2 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 60%PV PD <sub>20</sub> (MCh) $\leq$ 1000 $\mu$ g Constant dosage of ICS $\leq$ 2000 $\mu$ g BUD, BDP or FP  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients on OCS previous 4 weeks Smoker previous 12 months	72	Methacholine protection ratios compared with placebo  Formoterol 24 $\mu$ g bd First dose 14 days Formoterol 12 $\mu$ g bd First dose 14 days Formoterol 6 $\mu$ g bd First dose 14 days Terbutaline 500 $\mu$ g Qid First dose 14 days  Pre-challenge FEV <sub>1</sub> 1 hour after use of medication After 14 days of treatment (as % ratio versus placebo) Formoterol 24 $\mu$ g bd Formoterol 12 $\mu$ g bd Formoterol 6 $\mu$ g bd Terbutaline 500 $\mu$ g Qid	<u>Geometric Mean fold Protection Ratio</u>  10.2 1.4  6.4 1.5  5.5 1.6  3.4 1.9   109% 111% 109% 105%	<u>95% CI</u>  4.6 – 22.5 0.6 – 3.4  2.9 – 14.1 0.6 – 3.8  2.5 – 12.3 0.6 – 4.0  1.5 – 7.8 0.8 – 4.9   103,116% 105, 118% 102, 115% 99, 112%	<u>P value</u>  N/A N/A  N/A N/A  N/A N/A  N/A N/A  <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 33 and female 47%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 90%PV FRM 24 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 36 and female 53%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 87%PV in FRM 12 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 39 and female 71%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 85%PV in FRM 6 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 42 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 88%PV in TEB 500 <math>\mu</math>g group; mean age 38 and female 43%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 86%PV in placebo group</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Seberova and Andersson 2000)	5 study visits, with single doses: minimum 2 days washout	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-64 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 40%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 1.51 FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 15% 15 minutes after inh of 0.5 mg terbutaline	36	FEV <sub>1</sub> at 3 minutes after inhalation	<u>% Increase in FEV<sub>1</sub> (cf. baseline)</u>	<u>P value cf. placebo</u>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 34 and % female unknown, mean FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) 2.75</li> <li>No baseline demographics for randomized treatment groups</li> <li>Treatment period undefined</li> <li>Randomized at each study visit</li> <li>No power analysis for study sample size</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
CO	Formoterol inh 4.5 $\mu$ g or 9 $\mu$ g	<u>Exclusion:</u> If FEV <sub>1</sub> not within $\pm$ 12% of baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> patients asked to return another day Oral, inh long-acting $\beta$ -agonists, GCS during study		Salbutamol 100 $\mu$ g	+10.0%	$P < .001$	<u>Between groups</u>	
Grade 1-	V			Salbutamol 200 $\mu$ g	+11.4%	$P < .001$		
Country: Czech Republic	Salbutamol mdi 100 $\mu$ g or 200 $\mu$ g			Formoterol 4.5 $\mu$ g	+11.7%	$P < .001$		
	V			Formoterol 9.0 $\mu$ g	+11.8%	$P < .001$	<i>n.s.</i>	
	Placebo							

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Lipworth et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Scotland	Formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g mane V Formoterol inh 6 $\mu$ g bd V Formoterol inh 24 $\mu$ g bd V Terbutaline inh 500 $\mu$ g Qid  2 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-45 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 60%PV PD <sub>20</sub> in FEV <sub>1</sub> response to methacholine challenge of 1000 $\mu$ g and 2-doubling dose protection in response to a single test dose of formoterol inh 24 $\mu$ g <u>Non-smoker</u>	38	Mean values	<u>FRM</u> <u>6 <math>\mu</math>g bd</u>	<u>FRM</u> <u>12 <math>\mu</math>g daily</u>	<u>FRM</u> <u>24 <math>\mu</math>g bd*</u>	<u>TEB</u> <u>500 <math>\mu</math>g Qid</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N=8, mean age 39 and female 38%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 80%PV in FRM 6 <math>\mu</math>g group; N=10, age 41 and female 40%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 84%PV in FRM 12 <math>\mu</math>g group; N=11, age 32 and female 45%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 91%PV in FRM 24 <math>\mu</math>g group; N=11, age 36 and female 44%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 87%PV in TEB group</li> <li>Small numbers in each treatment group, no significant demographic differences between groups</li> <li>All patients on regular ICS therapy. On demand <math>\beta</math>-agonists</li> <li>Unclear if intention to treat methodology</li> <li>Results presented are only secondary outcome measures. Primary outcome bronchoprotective sensitivity</li> <li>Pharmaceutical support in provision of inhalers for trial</li> </ul>
				AM PEFR(L/min)(se) run-in Treatment	395 (55) 415 (59)	367 (44) 391 (21)	447 (30) 490 (24)	429 (46) 428 (45)	
				PM PEFR(L/min)(se) run-in Treatment	398 (50) 433 (59)	417 (31) 421 (25)	471 (27) 502 (24)	435 (51) 445 (46)	
				* $P < .05$ difference between run-in and treatment only in formoterol 24 $\mu$ g bd group					

Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Tattersfield 2001)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 4 European countries	As needed basis: Formoterol inh 4.5-54 µg daily V  Terbutaline inh 0.5-6 µg daily  12 weeks	Inclusion: Age 18-75 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV ICS mean dose 870 µg daily previous 4 weeks Requirement of β-agonists average 3/8 times during run-in period  Exclusion: Patients inh 12+ rescue medication	362	Number at risk (remaining w/o severe asthma exacerbation)	FRM	TEB	P value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 46 and female 52%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 74%PV in FRM group; mean age 48 and female 62%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 74%PV in TEB group</li> <li>• Severe exacerbations defined by need for OCS or fall in PEFR of 30% from baseline on 2 consecutive days</li> <li>• International study (4 countries)</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
				Days since randomization				
				0	182	180		
				20	173	159		
				40	165	144		
				60	153	132	P=.013	
				80	146	127		
				Exacerbations: no. of patients/no. of exacerbations	26 / 29	43 / 48		
				Need for OCS	21/26 (81%)	31/43 (72%)		
				Mean difference in change over treatment (FRM-TEB)				
				AM PEFR(L/min)(95% CI)	11 (3,20)		P= .009	
				PM PEFR(L/min)(95% CI)	8 (0,15)		P= .043	
				Diurnal variation %	-0.4 (-1.4,0.6)		P= .44	
				Symptom score – Day	-0.04		P= .54	
				Night	-0.02		P= .68	



Table 12: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Ekstrom et al. 1998a)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: 4 European countries	Formoterol inh 6 $\mu$ g (delivered dose 4.5 $\mu$ g ) bd V Terbutaline inh 0.5 mg Qid V Placebo inh Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-79 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ +15% and 200+ ml after inh of 0.5 mg terbutaline	397	PEFR L/min  AM PM FEV <sub>1</sub>  Symptoms: Days Nights  Rescue no. of inhalations: Day-time Night-time	<u>FRM vs TEB</u>  10.9 8.0 0.13  -0.09 -0.11  -0.14 -0.15	<u>95%CI</u>  2.3, 19.5 -4.0, 16.5 0.05, 0.21  -0.18,-0.01 -0.20,-0.02  -0.36,-0.08 -0.31,-0.01	<u>P value</u>  $P=$ .014 $P=$ .061 $P<$ .0017  $P=$ .038 $P=$ .015  $P=$ .19 $P=$ .074	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 49 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 62%PV FRM group; mean age 46 and female 59%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 63%PV in TEB group; mean age 48 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 62%PV in PLC group</li> <li>Pre-study 86% of patients on ICS, 78% inh <math>\beta</math>-agonists. ICS maintained 4 weeks prior and during study</li> <li>Proportion of male/female in terbutaline group differs from others</li> <li>54 patients in treatment group not included in baseline demographic analysis</li> <li>Undetermined number of patients included in analysis of results</li> <li>International based study (4 countries)</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 13: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus short-acting beta-agonist) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Hancox et al. 1999b)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: New Zealand	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Placebo  6 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 9-64 yrs <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or ex cigarette smoker (>5 pack years) Pharmaceutical limitations	61	Δ AM PEFR (cf. BDP) (95%CI) Δ am PEFR (cf. TER) (95%CI) Night awakening (%) P value Rescue medication use (puffs/day) P value	<u>BDP/TEB</u> 14 (5,23) 27 (17,37) 1.9 n.s. 0.3 n.s.	<u>BDP</u>   3.4 n.s. 0.5 n.s.	<u>TEB</u>   4.0 n.s. 0.5 n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 27 and female 69%</li> <li>Participants able to tolerate the withdrawal of inh steroid</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company involved in supplying inhalers and supporting the lead author</li> <li>No baseline comparison post-randomisation</li> </ul>
(Aldridge et al. 2000)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: New Zealand	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Placebo  6 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-64 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or ex-cigarette smoker (>5 pack years) Pharmaceutical limitations	34	AM PEFR (L/min)  Daytime wheeze (% days present)  Nighttime wheeze (% days present)  Rescue med use (Median % days used)  <sup>1</sup> Combined versus BDP, <sup>2</sup> combined versus TEB, <sup>3</sup> BDP versus TEB	<u>BDP/TEB</u> 491 P = .0011 P < .0012 4 n.s. <sup>1</sup> P = .02 <sup>2</sup> 3 n.s. <sup>1</sup> P = .003 <sup>2</sup> 0 P = .05 <sup>1</sup> n.s. <sup>2</sup>	<u>BDP</u> 469 P = 0.043 9 n.s. <sup>3</sup> 4 n.s. <sup>3</sup> 0 n.s. <sup>3</sup>	<u>TEB</u> 450  18  12  0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 39 and female 47%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 90%PV</li> <li>No baseline comparison post-randomisation</li> <li>82% of participants randomised were analysed</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company involved in supplying inhalers and supporting the lead author</li> <li>Selected from participants in (Hancox et al. 1999b)</li> </ul>

Table 13: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus short-acting beta-agonist) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Hancox et al. 1999a)  CO  Grade 1-  Country: New Zealand	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Placebo  6 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-64 yrs  <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or ex-cigarette smoker (>5 pack years) Pharmaceutical limitations	34	% fall FEV <sub>1</sub> post methacholine (95%CI)	<u>BDP/TEB</u> 25.9 (22.2, 28.2)	<u>BDP</u> 26.1 (22.3, 28.3)	<u>TEB</u> 26.9 (24.4, 28.5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Female 47%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 90%PV</li> <li>No baseline comparison post-randomisation</li> <li>76% of participants randomised were analysed</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company involved in supplying inhalers and supporting the lead author</li> <li>Selected from participants in (Hancox et al. 1999b)</li> </ul>

Table 14: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists regular versus PRN treatment) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Richter et al. 2000)	Salbutamol/ Fenoterol Qid and PRN	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-75 yrs	80	Asthma attack (n/day)	<u>PRN</u> 0.58	<u>Reg</u> 0.45	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 48 and female 74%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 74%PV</li><li>• Single blind</li><li>• Analysed 91% of participants randomised</li><li>• No data on baseline comparison post-randomisation</li></ul>
CO	V Salbutamol/ Fenoterol PRN	All patients on regular β-agonist and inhaled steroid for at least 2 years		Night awakening (n/night)	0.15	0.09	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Symptom score	1.46	1.40	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Rescue med use (puffs/night)	0.34	0.26	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Absence from work/school (n)	16	9	<i>n.s.</i>	
				ED treatment (n)	12	5	<i>n.s.</i>	
Grade 1+				FEV <sub>1</sub> %PV	85.2	81.2	<i>P</i> = 0.003	
Country: Germany	24 weeks each							

## Acute asthma

Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Rodrigo and Rodrigo 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Uruguay	Salbutamol mdi 120 $\mu$ g/puff + Ipratropium mdi 21 $\mu$ g/puff in a dose of 4 puffs at 10 min intervals (480 $\mu$ g/84 $\mu$ g) V  Salbutamol mdi 120 $\mu$ g/puff in a dose of 4 puffs at 10 min intervals (480 $\mu$ g)  3 hours of treatment (24 puffs or 2,880 $\mu$ g salbutamol and 504 $\mu$ g ipratropium per hour)	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-50 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> /PEFR < 50% PV	180		<u>SLB+IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u> <u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 35 and female 37%, PEFR 32%PV in SLB+IPR group: mean age 33 and female 34%, PEFR 33%PV in SLB group</li> <li>Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li> </ul>
				Improvement over salbutamol control group % PEFR (95%CI)			P=.02	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> (95%CI)	+20% (2.6,38.4) +48% (19.8,76.4)		P=.001	
				Hospital admissions n (%)	18 (20%)	36 (39%)	P=.01	5
				Pre ED use of $\beta$ -agonists by ipr patients: increase in FEV <sub>1</sub>				
				previous use	N/A	N/A	P=.01	
				no previous use	N/A	N/A	P=.03	
				Symptoms				
				24+ hours	N/A	N/A	P=.01	
				< 24 hours	N/A	N/A	P=.09	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> $\leq$ 30PV%	N/A	N/A	P=.001	
				> 30PV%	N/A	N/A	P=.60	

Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Weber et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	All patients received prednisone po 60 mg  Salbutamol neb 10 $\mu$ g/hour + Ipratropium neb 1.0 $\mu$ g/hour V  Salbutamol neb 10 $\mu$ g/hour + Ipratropium neb 1.0 $\mu$ g/hour  $\leq 3$ hours of treatment	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> /PEFR < 70% PV after 2.5 mg Salbutamol in 3 mL normal saline	67		<u>SLB+IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>
				Improvement over salbutamol control group % PEFR (95%CI) Adjusted for baseline PEFR	+6.3% (-1.5, 27%)		<i>n.s.</i>
				Median length of stay (minutes) Adjusted for baseline PEF	210 N/A	245 N/A	<i>P</i> = .03 <i>P</i> = .26
				Hospital admissions n (%) Adjusting for baseline PEF OR (95%CI)	8 (23%) 0.88 (0.28, 2.8)	13 (39%)	N/A
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 46 and female 75%, PEFR 50%PV and smoking history 48% in SLB+IPR group; mean age 49 and female 66%, PEFR 40%PV and smoking history 74% in SLB group</li> <li>• Significant <i>P</i> &lt; .05 baseline differences in PEFR%PV and smoking history. <i>P</i> &lt; .10 differences in previous hospitalization and intubation</li> <li>• Results have been adjusted for baseline differences</li> <li>• Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical (producer of ipratropium) involvement in supply of ipratropium and pharmacy costs</li> </ul>



Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Rodrigo et al. 1999)  MA  Grade 1+	Ipratropium inh 0.5 $\mu$ g (single dose) plus $\beta$ -agonists (Salbutamol 8 studies, fenoterol 2 studies) after arrival in ED  V $\beta$ -agonists alone  First 90 minutes of treatment	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16+ yrs English language studies RCT, double blind Patients with acute asthma, treated in ED with $\beta$ -agonists <u>Search:</u> 1978-1999 (April) MEDLINE, Science Citation Index, Current Contents, reviews (articles and primary research), experts	1483	Overall effect size (std units) cf. control group FEV <sub>1</sub> (95%CI)	<u><math>\beta</math>-agonist + IPR</u>  0.14 (0.04,0.24) (10%) (2%, 18%)	<u>P value</u>  P=.008  P>.5  N/A  N/A  N/A	<u>NNI</u>         18
				Homogeneity test			
				Study specific effects	(0.03-0.63)	N/A	
				Mean FEV <sub>1</sub> at admission <35%PV (4 studies) (95%CI)	0.38 (0.09,0.67)	N/A	
				Use of corticosteroids (7 studies) (95%CI)	0.14 (0.00,0.28)	N/A	
				Hospital admissions (5 studies) (Odds Ratio, 95%CI)	0.62 (0.44,0.88)	P=.007	18
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10 studies included, acute asthma, mean age 32 <math>\pm</math> 13 years and female 64%</li> <li>Mean methodology quality score 0.66 (max = 1)</li> <li>Criteria for discharge and admission not clearly defined</li> <li>Reported adverse effects not assessed nor delivery devices</li> </ul>



Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes	Comments
(Stoodley et al. 1999)  MA  Grade 1+  Country: Canada	Ipratropium plus $\beta$ -agonists mdi or neb after arrival in ED  V  $\beta$ -agonists alone  30-90 minutes duration of treatment	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18+ yrs RCT (double blinding not necessary but all included studies double blind) Patients with acute asthma, treated in ED or similar with $\beta$ -agonists <u>Search:</u> MEDLINE (1966-97), EMBASE (1980-97), CINAHL (1982-97), Biological Abstracts (1990-97), Cochrane database and Current Contents (1996-97)	1377	<p><u><math>\beta</math>-agonist + IPR</u></p> <p>Overall effect size (std units) cf. control group PEFR/ FEV<sub>1</sub> (95%CI) 0.38 (0.27,0.48)</p> <p>% improvement in FEV<sub>1</sub> (4 studies) 7.3% (3.8%, 10.9%)</p> <p>% improvement PEFR (5 studies) 22.1% (11.0%, 33.2%)</p> <p>Hospital admissions (3 studies, n=1064) (Relative Risk, 95%CI) .73 (.53, .99)</p> <p>Effect size of studies with IV steroids (4 studies) to all enrolled patients .25 (.10,.40)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>10 studies included, acute asthma, mean age range for treatment group 29.5-50.4 years, control group 29.6-53.2.</li> <li>Study quality assessment limited to randomization, double blinding and inclusion of data for dropouts and withdrawals</li> <li>Dosages of ipratropium not specified</li> <li>Changes in airflow assessment FEV<sub>1</sub> (4 studies) PEFR (5 studies) both (1 study)</li> <li>Significant Cochran Q test for homogeneity (<math>p=.047</math>) suggesting included trials were a heterogeneous group. One trial had much lower baseline PEFR values. Removal resulted in Q test <math>P=.37</math>, summary effect value 0.35 (.24,.47). Study results reported including 10 studies.</li> </ul>

Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Garrett et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: New Zealand	All patients received IV hydrocortisone 200 mg within 15 minutes of treatment start  (Combivent) <sup>TM</sup> Ipratropium neb 1.5 mg in a dose + Salbutamol neb 2.5 mg V Salbutamol neb 2.5 mg  Duration 90 minutes	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-55 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> < 70% PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoking history of 10+ pack years Complicating illness eg COPD	338	Mean absolute difference over salbutamol group	<u>SLB + IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>  <u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 30 and % female not specified, FEV<sub>1</sub> 40%PV in SLB+IPR group; mean age 30, % female not specified, FEV<sub>1</sub> 40%PV in SLB group</li> <li>Overall % female 61%, 17% Maori, 24% Pacific Island ethnicity</li> <li>13% of patients reported using OCS, 32% ICS, 80% inh <math>\beta</math>-agonist within 6 hours of presentation to ED</li> <li>Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li> <li>No intention to treat based analysis, 58 patients (27 in combivent<sup>TM</sup> group and 31 in salbutamol group) withdrawn after treatment received (no FEV<sub>1</sub> recorded).</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company supported study</li> </ul>
				Baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> ml (se)	40 (57)			
				45 minutes	93 (24)		P=.4485	
				90 minutes (primary efficacy)	113 (18)		P=.03	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> < 1 L at baseline:			P=.02	
				$\Delta$ FEV <sub>1</sub> (mls)	22		n.s.	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 1 L/min at baseline:				
				$\Delta$ FEV <sub>1</sub> (mls)	176		P<.005	
				Hospital admissions (%)	15.3%	22.3%		14

Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(FitzGerald et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Canada	All patients received oxygen and IV bolus of 125 mg methyl- prednisone (within 15 minutes of nebulization)  (Combination) Ipratropium neb 0.5 mg in a dose + salbutamol neb 3.0 mg V Salbutamol neb 3.0 mg  Duration 90 minutes	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-55 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> < 70% PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoking history of 10+ pack years COPD or significant other medical illness	342	Mean change from baseline	<u>SLB+IPR</u>	<u>SLB</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>NNT</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 31 and female 60%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.62L in SLB+IPR group; mean age 30, % female 64%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.53L in SLB group</li><li>• Prior asthma medication in combination and salbutamol alone groups: 12% and 12% of patients reported using OCS, 46% and 40% ICS, 88% and 90% inh β-agonist within 24 hours of presentation to ED</li><li>• Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li><li>• Poor description of randomisation, concealment and blinding methodology</li><li>• Not strict intention to treat based analysis</li><li>• Criteria for discharge and admission not clearly defined</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company partly funded study</li></ul>
				Baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> L (se)	1.62 (0.05)	1.53 (0.05)	n.s.		
				45 minutes	0.58 (0.04)	0.52 (0.04)	n.s.		
				90 minutes (primary efficacy)	0.61 (0.04)	0.52 (0.04)	n.s.		
				Hospital admissions (%)	5.9%	11.2%		19	

Table 15: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists + ipratropium versus short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments	
(Kamei et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Japan	Fenoterol 1 puff (200 µg/puff) every 1 minute for 5 minutes, total 1000 µg + Oxitropium bromide 2 puffs (100 µg/puff) every 1 minute for 5 minutes, total 1000 µg mdi with InspirEase™ holding chamber V  Fenoterol 1 puff (200 µg/puff) every 1 minute for 5 minutes, total 1000 µg  Treatment duration 60 minutes	<u>Inclusion:</u> FEV <sub>1</sub> < 70% PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Pulmonary emphysema Complicating drugs	69	At 60 minutes	<u>FRM + OTB</u>	<u>FRM</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 55 and female 46%, best FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.81L in FRM+OTB group; mean age 56and female 65%, best FEV<sub>1</sub> 1.86L in FRM group</li><li>• Acute asthma, Emergency Department setting</li><li>• Open study, no detail on randomisation nor statistical power</li><li>• Intention to treat based analysis not evident, 31/34 and 33/35 in respective randomised groups analyzed for efficacy</li><li>• Some data results for primary efficacy outcome not reported</li></ul>	
				Mean value cf. baseline					
				PEFR L/min (se)	261 (18)	210 (17)	P<0.05		
				From baseline PEFR % impr 1 min	N/A	N/A	P<0.02		
				15 min	N/A	N/A	P<0.01		
				30 min	N/A	N/A	P<0.02		
				60 min	N/A	N/A	P<0.001		

Table 16: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists dose or administration methods) in acute asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Nouira et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Tunisia	Salbutamol neb 10 mg V 5 mg  30 minutes	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age > 16 years PEFR < 50%PV and $\geq 2$ of: pulse > 100, Resp rate > 30, accessory muscle use, pulsus paradoxus > 20. <u>Exclusion:</u> Incapable of performing PEFR Intubated Age > 65 years and history of severe cardiopulmonary disease	40	% $\Delta$ PEFR	<u>5 mg</u> 12	<u>10 mg</u> 11	<u>P value</u> n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 41 and female 40%</li> <li>Mean PEFR 29%PV</li> <li>All patients received hydrocortisone 100 mg IV</li> <li>90% power to detect a 20% difference in PEFR at the 5% significance level</li> </ul>
(Emerman et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol neb 2.5 mg (3 doses) V Salbutamol neb 7.5 mg (3 doses)	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-50 yrs Presenting to ED for acute asthma Able to perform spirometry	160	FEV <sub>1</sub> %PV Admitted (%) Relapse within 7 days (%) Side effects attributable to salbutamol (%)	<u>Low dose</u> 50.6 43 17.1 49.4	<u>High dose</u> 56.3 39 16.7 44.2	<u>P value</u> P = 0.06 P = 0.65 P = 0.70 P = 0.51	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 37 and female 77%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 40%PV</li> <li>80% power to detect 17% difference in increase in FEV<sub>1</sub> from baseline at 5% significance level</li> <li>Unclear whether ITT analysis was used</li> </ul>
(Karpel et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol mdi + Spacer 540 $\mu$ g at time: 0, 30, 60, 90 mins V 0, 60 mins V 0 mins 2 hours	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-55 years FEV <sub>1</sub> $\leq$ 60%PV Cigarette smoking $\leq$ 10 pack-years Able to perform pulmonary function tests	100	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)  Additional $\beta$ -agonist use (%)  Received systemic steroid (%) Hospitalised (%)	<u>4 dose</u> 2.2  20.6 32.4 3.0	<u>2 dose</u> 2.2 23.5 20.6 5.9	<u>1 dose</u> 1.7 42.4 21.2 3.0  <u>P value</u> P < .05 (4 V 1 dose, 2 V 1 dose) P < .05 (4 V 1 dose, 2 V 1 dose) n.s. n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 32 and female 73%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 42%PV</li> <li>Financial support from pharmaceutical company</li> <li>Randomisation process not described</li> </ul>

Table 16: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (short-acting  $\beta$ -agonists dose or administration methods) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Besbes-Ouanes et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Tunisia	Salbutamol neb 27.5 mg over 6 hours:  Continuous V  Intermittent   6 hours	<u>Inclusion:</u> PEFR < 50%PV and 2 of pulse $\geq$ 120, resp rate $\geq$ 30, pulsus paradoxus $\geq$ 15 mm Hg, accessory muscle use, SaO <sub>2</sub> < 92% (room air), PaCO <sub>2</sub> > 42 mm Hg	42	<u>INT</u> $\Delta$ PEFR (%) $\Delta$ clinical severity score Required supplemental treatment (%)	<u>CON</u> 32 -6.1 14	<u>P value</u> n.s. n.s. n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 40 and female 60%</li> <li>Mean PEFR 24%PV</li> <li>All patients received IV hydrocortisone</li> <li>Some doubts about the success of double blinding</li> <li>80% power to detect a 13% difference in PEFR at the 5% significance level</li> </ul>
(Bradding et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United Kingdom	Salbutamol neb 5 mg q4h V Salbutamol 2.5-5 mg PRN  24 hrs post-hosp admission to 24 hrs prior to discharge	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 17-65 yrs Presenting to ED for acute asthma	46	<u>PRN</u> Length of stay (days) Number of nebs Patients with tremor Patients with palpitations	<u>q4h</u> 3.7 7.0 4 1	<u>P value</u> P = 0.04 P = .003 P = 0.06 P = 0.05	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 30 and female 70%</li> <li>Open study</li> <li>Inadequate randomisation method</li> </ul>
(Hoffman and Smithline 1997)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United States	Circulaire™ nebuliser V Conventional nebuliser (Airlife Misty-Neb™)  Single dose	<u>Inclusion:</u> Presenting to ED requiring $\beta$ -agonist nebuliser  <u>Exclusion:</u> Incapable of performing PEFR	134	Median PEFR (L/min)	<u>CIR</u> 80	<u>CNV</u> 30  <u>P value</u> P < .001	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 45 and female 45%</li> <li>Single blind</li> <li>Baseline difference in diastolic BP</li> <li>Circulaire nebuliser provided by pharmaceutical company</li> </ul>

## Steroids

### Chronic asthma

Table 17: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus theophylline) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Reed et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone inh 84 µg Qid V Theophylline  1 year	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 6-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> >50%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> >5 pack years tobacco smoking Resp infection in past 3 weeks Pharmaceutical limitations	747	Moderately severe or worse symptoms <sup>1</sup>  Systemic steroid use over course of study	<u>BEC</u> 25.8%  20%	<u>THP</u> 30.5%  29%	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>  <i>P</i> = .009	<u>NNT</u>   11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Female 51%</li><li>• 45% previously taken theophylline, 4% beclomethasone</li><li>• 75% study completion rate</li><li>• Theophylline dose determined by response</li><li>• Study supported by pharmaceutical company producing beclomethasone</li><li>• No baseline data on mean age in two study groups</li><li>• <sup>1</sup>For any day in the 12th month. There were significant differences in earlier months that favoured beclomethasone.</li></ul>
(Ukena et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Germany, Hungary, Austria	Beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd + Theophylline V Beclomethasone inh 400 µg bd  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV Asthma not controlled on beclomethasone 400 µg daily or equivalent <u>Exclusion:</u> Severe asthma attack or lower resp infection within 1 month Current smoker Pharmaceutical limitations	133	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>Combined</u> 0.26	<u>BEC</u> 0.19	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Median age 48 and female 44%</li><li>• FEV<sub>1</sub> 75%PV</li><li>• Data not presented but no significant difference in rescue med use between groups</li><li>• ITT analysis not presented in paper but results similar to per protocol analysis presented</li><li>• Producers of theophylline funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 17: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus theophylline) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Evans et al. 1997)	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + theophylline po 250 mg (if < 80 kg) or 375 mg (if ≥ 80 kg) bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV	62		<u>THP + BDP</u>	<u>BDP</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 39 and female 60%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 75%PV</li><li>• Supported by pharmaceutical company that produces theophylline</li></ul>
RCT		<u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations		Improvement in FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.21	0.11	P = 0.03	
Grade 1+	V			Improvement in FVC (L)	0.26	0.18	P = 0.03	
	Budesonide inh 800 µg bd	Asthma exacerbation within 3 weeks of run in		Day time symptom scores	N/A	N/A	P = 0.26	
Country:				Night time symptom scores	N/A	N/A	P = 0.59	
United Kingdom and Sweden	3 months			Daytime rescue med use	N/A	N/A	P = 0.57	
			Nighttime rescue med use	N/A	N/A	P = 0.97		



Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention Comparison And study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Shrewsbury et al. 2000)  MA  Grade 1+	Salmeterol + inhaled steroids (Beclo-methasone 5 studies or fluticasone 4 studies) V At least doubling dose of inhaled steroids (Beclo-methasone 5 studies or fluticasone 4 studies)  12+ weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12+ yrs RCT, double blind All languages Patients with symptomatic asthma, treated on current dose of inhaled steroids Search: 1985-1999 (September 1999 last search) MEDLINE, EMBASE, GlaxoWellcome databases	3685	Treatment with salmeterol plus inhaled steroids versus increased dose inhaled steroids (double+ dose) Mean difference (95% CI) in lung function	<u>3 months</u>	<u>6 months</u>	<u>P value</u> <u>3 months</u>	<u>P value</u> <u>6 months</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>9 studies included</li> <li>No evidence of heterogeneity between studies for PEFR and FEV<sub>1</sub> and exacerbation analysis. For symptoms and rescue comparison <math>P &lt; .10</math> in all cases. Comparison of 95% CI under fixed and random effects model cited by authors as small and clinically unimportant (data not provided)</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company supported meta-analysis</li> </ul>
				AM PEFR L/min	22.4 (15,30)	27.7 (19,38)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				AM FEV <sub>1</sub> L	0.10 (0.04, 0.16)	0.08 (0.02, 0.14)	$P < .001$	$P < .01$	
				Mean % difference (95% CI)					
				Days without symptoms	11% (8,15)	15% (11,19)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Nights without symptoms	5% (2,8)	6% (3,9)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Days without rescue treatment	16% (13,20)	19% (14,24)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Nights without rescue treatment	9% (5,12)	9% (5,13)	$P < .001$	$P < .001$	
				Difference (95% CI) in mean numbers of patients with exacerbation:					
				Any exacerbation	2.73 (0.43, 5.04)		$P = .02$		
				Moderate/severe exacerbation	2.42 (0.24, 4.60)		$P = .03$		

NEW ZEALAND HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT: ASTHMA THERAPEUTICS  
STERIODS - CHRONIC ASTHMA



Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Condemni et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Fluticasone mdi 88 $\mu$ g bd + Salmeterol mdi 42 $\mu$ g bd V  Fluticasone mdi 220 $\mu$ g bd  2-4 weeks pre-trial: Fluticasone 88 $\mu$ g bd and as needed salbutamol  Treatment 24 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12-75 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-65%PV or 65-85%PV plus one or more of: ≥4 puffs salbutamol daily, 2 days AM/PM PEFR variance ≥20%, 2+ nights asthma awakenings, 2+ days symptom score ≥2  <u>Exclusion:</u> Current tobacco use Hospital asthma admission previous month	437	24 weeks (cf. baseline) (se) (Change)	<u>FP plus SLM</u>	<u>FP</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 37 and female 62%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 2.12L in FP + SLM group; mean age 37 and female 60%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 2.14L in FP group</li> <li>No detail on randomisation methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Study drop out rate 9% in FP + SLM group 14% in FP group</li> </ul>
				AM PEFR L/min	+46.5(3.5)	+23.8(3.2)	P<.001	
				FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.43 (0.04)	0.33 (0.03)	P=.013	
				Symptom free days %	+26%	+10%	P<.001	
				Rescue salbutamol use (Mean no. puffs daily)	-2.51(0.17)	-1.55(0.15)	P<.001	

Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Kavuru et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Fluticasone 100 $\mu$ g plus salmeterol 50 $\mu$ g bd Diskus inh V Salmeterol 50 $\mu$ g bd Diskus inh V Fluticasone 100 $\mu$ g bd Diskus inh V Placebo inh bd  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12-70 yrs Patients with FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-85%PV and $\pm$ 15% of the screening FEV <sub>1</sub> Either ICS previous 12 weeks and dose (either BDP 252-420 $\mu$ g daily,TAA 600-1000 $\mu$ g daily, FP 176 $\mu$ g daily) previous 4 weeks OR SLM 1+ weeks prior  <u>Exclusion:</u> ICS group and 12+ puffs salbutamol daily for 3+ days or SLM group 6+ puffs daily or 3+ days 3+ nighttime awakenings previous 7 days req salbutamol Smoker previous 12 months >10 pack years smoker	356	12 weeks (cf. baseline) FEV <sub>1</sub> (L) mean change (se) Asthma symptom score mean change (se) % days no symptoms mean change (se) Adverse event related to treatment (% patients)	<u>FP plus SLM</u>  0.51(0.05) -0.7(0.11) 22.6(4.59) 3%	<u>SLM</u>  0.11(0.06) -0.1(0.1) 8.0(3.29) 35%	<u>P Value</u>  $P \leq .013$ $P \leq .013$ $P \leq .013$ N/A
				(cf. baseline) FEV <sub>1</sub> (L) mean change (se) Asthma symptom score mean change (se) % days no symptoms mean change (se) Adverse event related to treatment (% patients)	<u>FP plus SLM</u>  0.51(0.05) -0.7(0.11) 22.6(4.59) 3%	<u>FP</u>  0.28(0.05) -0.2(0.09) 7.2(4.09) 11%	$P < .001$ $P \leq .025$ $P \leq .025$ N/A
				(cf. baseline) FEV <sub>1</sub> (L) mean change (se) Asthma symptom score mean change (se) % days no symptoms mean change (se) Adverse event related to treatment (% patients)	<u>FP plus SLM</u>  0.51(0.05) -0.7(0.11) 22.6(4.59) 3%	<u>Placebo</u>  0.01(0.07) 0.4(0.10) -3.8(3.01) 49%	$P \leq .027$ $P \leq .013$ $P \leq .013$ N/A

- Mean age 38 and female 41%, no completing study 83% in FP + SLM group; mean age 37 and female 49%, completion rate 56% in SLM group; mean age 39 and female 48%, completion rate 74% in FP group; mean age 35 and female 49%, completion rate 34% in placebo group
- Post-randomization, 21 patients from one site excluded because of poor practice standards. ITT analysis excludes these, small difference (non-quantified) in results if included.
- Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial



Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Jenkins et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 9 countries	Salmeterol disk inh 50 $\mu$ g + Fluticasone disk inh 250 $\mu$ g + placebo turb inh bd V Budesonide turb inh 800 $\mu$ g + placebo disk inh bd  24 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 14-80 yrs 4+ weeks pre-study: FEV <sub>1</sub> or PEFR $\geq$ 50-85%PV 15+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> or mean AM PEFR $\leq$ 85% after $\beta$ -agonist Salbutamol 2+ times daily 2+ daytime symptom score on 4+/7 days  <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma requiring hospitalization previous 4 weeks Smoker $\geq$ 10 pack years smoker	353	24 weeks adjusted mean over treatment period (se)  AM PEFR L/min PM  Median % symptom-free days  Adverse events related to treatment (% patients)	<u>FP plus SLM</u>  406 (3.67) 416 (3.14)  60%  14%	<u>BDP</u>  380 (3.81) 398 (3.25)  34%  19%	<u>P value</u>  $P < .001$ $P < .001$  $P \leq .001$  N/A	<u>NNI</u>       <u>NNH</u>  4  20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 45 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> (mean% PV) 68 in FP + SLM group; mean age 48 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> (mean% PV) 72 in BDP group</li> <li>International based study (9 countries)</li> <li>Little detail on randomisation, blinding and concealment methodology</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

NEW ZEALAND HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT: ASTHMA THERAPEUTICS  
STEROIDS - CHRONIC ASTHMA









Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Nathan et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol mdi 42 $\mu$ g bd V Beclomethasone mdi 84 $\mu$ g Qid V Placebo mdi bd  Methacholine challenge (MCh) To FEV <sub>1</sub> PC <sub>20</sub> or MCh 75 (mg/ml) in neb  26 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 65-90%PV 12+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> within 30 min of 180 $\mu$ g salbutamol mdi Daily/as needed $\beta$ -agonists with no I/OCS previous 6 months  <u>Exclusion:</u> Decline in FEV <sub>1</sub> of $\geq$ 15% after saline inhalation Asthma requiring hospitalization previous 4 weeks	386	26 weeks mean increase (se) over treatment period FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)  Salbutamol free nights (mean % increase)  Mean increase PD <sub>20</sub> MCh (se) Week 14 Week 26	<u>SLM</u>      0.28 (0.04)  23%  1.39 (0.24) 1.29 (0.26)	<u>BEC</u>      0.23 (0.04)  23%  1.57 (0.26) 1.42 (0.24)	<u>Placebo</u>      0.08 (0.04)  9%  0.16 (0.22) 0.24 (0.29)	<u>P value of placebo</u>      P $\leq$ .014  P $\leq$ .014  P<.001 P $\leq$ .033	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 31 and female 54%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 79%PV, AM PEFR(L/min) 405 in SLM group; mean age 30 and female 57%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 78%PV, PEFR(L/min) 394 in BDP group; mean age 29 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 81%PV, PEFR(L/min) 417 in placebo group</li> <li>Significantly higher baseline AM PEFR in placebo group</li> <li>ITT analysis but 81/368 (22%) withdrawals. Across treatment arms 23% SLM, 18% BEC, 22% PLC groups</li> <li>Data for some primary outcomes not shown</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>



Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Pauwels et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 9 countries	Run-in period: Budesonide inh 800 $\mu$ g bd terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh as needed  Treatment: Budesonide inh 100 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 100 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd V Budesonide inh 400 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 400 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd  terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh rescue medication  Run-in: 4 weeks Treatment: 52 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs Patients with FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 50%PV and 15+% increase from baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> after inhalation of 1 mg terbutaline  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients taking 2000+ $\mu$ g daily beclomethasone or 1600+ $\mu$ g daily mdi or 800+ $\mu$ g daily budesonide inh 3+ courses OCS or asthma requiring hospitalization previous 6 months	852	52 weeks  Yearly rate of asthma exacerbations (no/patient/yr) Severe Mild  Episode free days (mean % of year)  Asthma symptom score Day Night Rescue medication during day no of inhalations  Yearly rate of asthma exacerbations (no/patient/yr) Severe Mild  Episode free days (mean % of year)  Asthma symptom score Day Night Rescue medication during day (no of inhalations)	<u>Low dose</u> BDP + placebo  0.91 35.4  41.7% 0.57 0.37 0.91   0.57 0.31 0.91    P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<u>Low dose</u> BDP + FRM  0.67 21.3  51.1% 0.46 0.31 0.57   P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<u>High dose</u> BDP + placebo  0.46 22.3  45.7% 0.53 0.38 0.82   P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<u>High dose</u> BDP+ FRM  0.34 13.4  54.8% 0.33 0.20 0.44   P Value Higher vs lower dose of BDP P<.001 P<.001  P=.16  P=.01 P=.01 P<.001	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 42 and female 49%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76 in low BDP + placebo group; mean age 41 and female 50%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76 in low BUD + FRM group; mean age 44 and female 52%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76 in high BDP + placebo group; mean age 42 and female 53%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 76%PV high BUD + FRM group</li> <li>International based study (9 countries)</li> <li>Post-randomisation, 158 patients did not complete study, 44 of these did not fulfil the entry criteria and were incorrectly randomised. Whether ITT analysis not clearly defined</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Juniper et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: 5 countries	Run-in period: Budesonide inh 800 $\mu$ g bd terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh as needed  Treatment: Budesonide inh 100 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 100 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd V Budesonide inh 400 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd V Budesonide 400 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd  Terbutaline 250 $\mu$ g inh rescue medication  Run-in: 4 weeks Treatment: 52 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs Patients with FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 50%PV and 15+% increase from baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> after inhalation of 1 mg terbutaline IGS previous 3+ months with patients taking <2000 $\mu$ g daily beclomethasone or <1600 $\mu$ g daily mdi or <800 $\mu$ g daily budesonide inh	470	52 weeks  AQLQ total score change	<u>High dose BDP+ FRM</u>  0.21	<u>P Value Cf. other groups</u>  P=.028	<u>NNI</u> (provided Result)  11.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 42 and female 51% in low BDP + placebo group; mean age 42 and female 48% in low BDP + FRM group; mean age 44 and female 51% in high BDP + placebo group; mean age 44 and female 59% in high BDP + FRM group</li> <li>International based study (9 countries)</li> <li>Earlier study acknowledgement to (Pauwels et al. 1997) This study's primary outcome measure is asthma quality of life assessment using AQLQ. Clinical results previously reported in earlier study</li> <li>Post-randomisation, 114/470 (24%) of patients did not complete study after 52 weeks. 4/470 patients did not fill in AQLQ at baseline are excluded from analysis</li> <li>Insufficient data detail for analysis of AQLQ results</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Kips et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1-	Run-in period: Budesonide inh 800 $\mu$ g bd terbutaline 0.25 mg inh as needed  Budesonide 100 $\mu$ g inh bd + formoterol inh 12 $\mu$ g bd V Budesonide inh 400 $\mu$ g bd + placebo inh bd  Run-in: 4 weeks Treatment: 52 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs Patients with FEV <sub>1</sub> $\geq$ 50%PV and 15+% increase from baseline FEV <sub>1</sub> after inhalation of 1 mg terbutaline  <u>Exclusion:</u> Patients taking 2000+ $\mu$ g daily beclomethasone or 1600+ $\mu$ g daily mdi or 800+ $\mu$ g daily budesonide inh or 800+ $\mu$ g daily fluticasone inh 3+ course OCS or asthma requiring hospitalization previous 6 months	60	52 weeks	<u>BDP + FRM</u>	<u>BDP + placebo</u>	<u>P value</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 35 and female 59%, ICS <math>\mu</math>g daily 676, FEV<sub>1</sub> L start run-in 2.87, end run-in 2.93 in BDP + FRM group; mean age 38 and female 61%, ICS <math>\mu</math>g daily 706.5, FEV<sub>1</sub> L start run-in 2.52, end run-in 2.71 in BDP + placebo group</li> <li>Differences in baseline FEV<sub>1</sub> (lower in BDP+ placebo group), ICS usage higher here also. Not significant according to study</li> <li>International based study (3 countries)</li> <li>Primary efficacy outcome markers of airway inflammation in induced sputum. Only secondary outcomes appraised. Lung function data detail not reported</li> <li>Whether ITT analysis not clearly defined. No information on study drop out rates during treatment</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
				Yearly rate of asthma exacerbations (no/patient/yr)				
				Severe (se)	0.29 (0.14)	0.47 (0.24)	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Mild (se)	18.3 (6.92)	14.6 (5.42)	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Episode free days (mean % of year) (se)	41.3% (7.0)	30.4% (6.0)	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Mean AM PEFR L/min	N/A	N/A	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Mean PM L/min	N/A	N/A	<i>P&lt;.05</i>	



Table 18: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Crompton et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 3 European countries	Bambuterol po 10 mg nocte (1 week) 20 mg nocte (5 weeks) + placebo mdi V  Salmeterol mdi 50 µg bd + placebo  Run-in: 2 weeks  Treatment: 6 weeks	Inclusion: Age 18+ yrs 4+ weeks prior: ICS BDP/BEC 800-2000 µg daily other than with turbuhaler or FP/BUD 400-2000 µg daily via turbuhaler or OCS such as prednisone at ≤ 20 mg daily ≥1 nocturnal/early morning awakening req rescue medication ≥15% decr in overnight PEFr on 3/7 days preceding entry  Exclusion: Asthma requiring hospitalization Previous 4 weeks	135	6 weeks Median AM PEFr L/min Baseline L/min Treatment period L/min Change from baseline  Median change (cf. baseline) Evening PEFr L/min Percent overnight fall in PEFr % Percent nights an awakening No. puffs rescue medication during day No. puffs rescue medication during night Asthma symptoms, day night	<u>BMB</u>                  	<u>SLM</u>                  	<u>P value</u>                  	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 41 and female 60%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV in BMB group; mean age 41 and female 56%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 68%PV in SLM group</li> <li>International based study (3 countries)</li> <li>Of the 135 patients randomized 118 completed study. Of the total, 126 patients were considered valid and are included in the analysis. Not strict ITT analysis</li> <li>Treatment endpoints are calculated during weeks 3 to 6 Both median and mean values calculated and appropriate statistical measures used</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 19: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Malmstrom et al. 1999)	Beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 15 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV	895		<u>BEC</u>	<u>MNI</u>	<u>95%CI (diff)</u>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Median age 35 and female 61%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Baseline differences in gender mix between interventions which were of uncertain significance</li> <li>Unclear whether ITT analysis was used</li> </ul>
RCT	V	Nonsmoker		% Δ am FEV <sub>1</sub>	13.1	7.4	3, 8.5		
Grade 1+	Montelukast po 10 mg nocte	<u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations		% Δ daytime symptom score	-0.62	-0.41	-0.33, -0.09		
Country: Multinational	V Placebo  12 weeks			% Δ nocturnal awakenings (n/wk)	-2.4	-1.7	-1.08, -0.32		
				Asthma attacks (% patients)	10.1	15.6	<u>P value</u> P<0.05	18	
(Bleecker et al. 2000)	Fluticasone inh 88 µg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV	451		<u>FP</u>	<u>ZAF</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 41 and female 50%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 68%PV</li> <li>Supported by fluticasone producers</li> </ul>
RCT	V	<u>Exclusion:</u>		Δ am FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.42	0.2	P<0.001		
Grade 1+	Zafirlukast po 20 mg bd	History of life threatening asthma		Δ symptom free days (%)	28.5	15.6	P<0.001	8	
Country: United States	12 weeks	Use of tobacco in past year or >10 pack-years total		Δ rescue free days (%)	40.4	24.2	P<0.001	6	
		Resp infection within 2 weeks of screening Pharmaceutical limitations		Δ nights with no awakenings (%)	21.2	8.0	P<0.001	8	

Table 19: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus leukotriene antagonists) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Westbroek and Pasma 2000)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: Netherlands	Fluticasone inh 100 µg bd V Zafirlukast po 20 mg bd  2 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV Non smoker <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection or asthma admission within a month of screening Pharmaceutical limitations	30	PC <sub>20</sub> histamine (mg/ml) AM PEFR (L/min)	<u>EP</u> 1.61 409	<u>ZAF</u> 0.99 391	<u>95%CI (diff)</u> 0.05, 1.50 -0.08, 35.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 45 and female 70%</li> <li>Financial support from producers of fluticasone</li> <li>No comparison of asthma severity at baseline</li> <li>Adequate concealment could not be determined</li> </ul>
(Laviolette et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 18 countries	Montelukast po 10 mg nocte + beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd V Beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd V Montelukast po 10 mg nocte V Placebo	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥15 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV Non-smoker <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection within 3 weeks of screening Pharmaceutical limitations	642	% Δ am FEV <sub>1</sub> Δ daytime asthma symptom score Δ night awakenings (n/week) Asthma attacks (% patients)	<u>BEC/MNT</u> 5.08 -0.13 -1.04 6.2	<u>BEC</u> 0.72 -0.02 -0.45 12.0	<u>Pvalue</u> <i>P</i> < 0.001 <i>P</i> = 0.04 <i>P</i> = 0.01 <i>P</i> = 0.06	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 39 and female 51%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 72%PV</li> <li>Other study comparisons not presented in full</li> <li>Baseline differences in placebo group from other interventions</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 20: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus short-acting beta-agonist) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Hancox et al. 1999b)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: New Zealand	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Placebo  6 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 9-64 yrs <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or ex cigarette smoker (>5 pack years) Pharmaceutical limitations	61	Δ AM PEFR (cf. BDP) 95%CI Δ am PEFR (cf. TER) 95%CI Night awakening (%) P value Rescue medication use (puffs/day) P value	<u>BDP/TEB</u> 14 5,23 27 17,37 1.9 n.s. 0.3 n.s.	<u>BDP</u>    3.4 n.s. 0.5 n.s.	<u>TEB</u>    4.0 n.s. 0.5 n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 27 and female 69%</li> <li>Participants able to tolerate the withdrawal of inh steroid</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company involved in supplying inhalers and supporting the lead author</li> <li>No baseline comparison post-randomisation</li> </ul>
(Aldridge et al. 2000)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: New Zealand	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Placebo  6 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-64 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or ex-cigarette smoker (>5 pack years) Pharmaceutical limitations	34	AM PEFR (L/min)  Daytime wheeze (% days present)  Nighttime wheeze (% days present)  Rescue med use (Median % days used)  <sup>1</sup> Combined versus BDP, <sup>2</sup> combined versus TEB, <sup>3</sup> BDP versus TEB	<u>BDP/TEB</u> 491 P = .0011 P < .0012 4 n.s. <sup>1</sup> P = .02 <sup>2</sup> 3 n.s. <sup>1</sup> P = .003 <sup>2</sup> 0 P = .05 <sup>1</sup> n.s. <sup>2</sup>	<u>BDP</u> 469 P = 0.043 9 n.s. <sup>3</sup> 4 n.s. <sup>3</sup> 0 n.s. <sup>3</sup>	<u>TEB</u> 450  18  12  0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 39 and female 47%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 90%PV</li> <li>No baseline comparison post-randomisation</li> <li>82% of participants randomised were analysed</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company involved in supplying inhalers and supporting the lead author</li> <li>Selected from participants in (Hancox et al. 1999b)</li> </ul>

Table 20: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus short-acting beta-agonist) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Hancox et al. 1999a)  CO  Grade 1-  Country: New Zealand	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Terbutaline inh 1000 µg Qid V Placebo  6 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-64 yrs  <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or ex-cigarette smoker (>5 pack years) Pharmaceutical limitations	34	% fall FEV <sub>1</sub> post methacholine (95%CI)	<u>BDP/TEB</u> 25.9 (22.2, 28.2)	<u>BDP</u> 26.1 (22.3, 28.3)	<u>TEB</u> 26.9 (24.4, 28.5)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Female 47%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 90%PV</li> <li>No baseline comparison post-randomisation</li> <li>76% of participants randomised were analysed</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company involved in supplying inhalers and supporting the lead author</li> <li>Selected from participants in (Hancox et al. 1999b)</li> </ul>

Table 21: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (cromoglycate versus inhaled steroid) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Hoshino et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Japan	Ketotifen po 1 mg bd V Disodium cromoglycate (DSCG) inh 2 mg Qid V Beclomethasone inh 100 µg Qid  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-50 yrs 20+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> or PEFr Daily/as needed β-agonists with no I/OCS previous 6 months  <u>Exclusion:</u> FEV <sub>1</sub> PV < 50% Smoker I/OCS or DSCG in previous 4 months	32	12 weeks  Asthma symptoms  Median FEV <sub>1</sub> (%PV) Before After  Median PEFr(L/min) Before After	<u>Ketotifen</u>   72.0 80.0 <i>n.s.</i>  450 500 <i>n.s.</i>	<u>DSCG</u>   63.2 72.5 <i>P&lt;.05</i>  350 450 <i>P&lt;.01</i>	<u>BDP</u>   66.0 74.3 <i>P&lt;.05</i>  425 475 <i>P&lt;.01</i>	<u>P value</u>   DSCG v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.01</i> BDP v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.05</i>  DSCG v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.05</i> BDP v Ketotifen <i>P&lt;.05</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N=13, mean age 27 and female 46%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 72%PV, PEFr(l/min) 450 in ketotifen group; N=9, age 26 and female 33%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 63%PV, PEFr(l/min) 350 in DSCG group; N=9, age 30 and female 30%, FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV, PEFr(l/min) 425 in BEC group</li> <li>Small numbers in study treatment arms. Baseline differences in FEV<sub>1</sub> PV% and PEF</li> <li>The study also included analysis of fiberoptic bronchoscopy and immunohistochemistry data</li> </ul>

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Raphael et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Fluticasone inh 88 µg bd, 220 µg bd V Beclomethasone inh 168 µg bd, 336 µg bd  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 45 – 80%PV Inh steroids 6 mths prior to study <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	399	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)  Δ Night awakenings  Δ % days with no symptoms	<u>FP 88</u> 0.31 <i>P</i> = .048 (cf. Beclo168) -0.03 <i>n.s.</i> (cf. Beclo168) 14.0 <i>P</i> = .03 (cf. Beclo168)	<u>BEC 168</u> 0.18  -0.03  4.9	<u>FP 220</u> 0.36 <i>P</i> = .034 (cf. Beclo336) -0.12 <i>n.s.</i> (cf. Beclo336) 8.7 <i>P</i> = .03 (cf. Beclo336)	<u>BEC 336</u> 0.21  -0.07  4.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 39 and female 59%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV</li> <li>Financial support from the producers of both drugs</li> </ul>
(Pauwels et al. 1998)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: Belgium	Fluticasone inh 0.5 BEC dose V Beclomethasone inh 1 mg, 1.5 mg, 2 mg dose daily <sup>1</sup>  6 months on each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-75 years FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 40%PV PO steroids for <6 weeks during past year <u>Exclusion:</u> Change in asthma medication, asthma hospitalisation or resp infection in past month Pharmaceutical limitations	340	Serum cortisol (µg%) Serum osteocalcin (ng/ml) Δ lumbar spine density (%) QoL score	<u>FP</u> 13.3 1.72 1% 1.22	<u>BEC</u> 13.3 1.54 0% 1.20	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i> <i>P</i> < .001 <i>P</i> = 0.05 <i>P</i> < 0.05		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 46 and female 42%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 80%PV</li> <li>Bone density measured in 61% of randomised population</li> <li>Difference in duration of inhaled steroid group between the two study ord groups</li> <li>Supported by the produce of fluticasone</li> <li><sup>1</sup>Dose set by response to ru in meds</li> </ul>

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Egan et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United Kingdom	Fluticasone inh 500 µg bd V  Beclomethasone inh 1000 µg bd  2 years	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-50 years (Males), 18-40 years (Female)  Regular beclomethasone or budesonide treatment at 1000-2000 µg daily	33	<u>FP</u>  Vertebral trabecular bone mineral density (mg/cm3) PEFR (L/min)	<u>BEC</u>  161 431	<u>Adjusted diff (95%CI)</u> 14 (1-26)  N/A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 35 and female 52%</li><li>• Mean inhaled steroid dose 1390 µg daily</li><li>• 73% completed the study</li><li>• Mineral density assessed by dual energy CT scan</li><li>• No statistical analysis of difference in PEFR</li><li>• Study funded by fluticasone producer</li></ul>	



Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Malo et al. 1999)  CO  Grade 1-  Country: Canada	Fluticasone inh (0.5 BEC dose) V Beclomethasone inh  4 mths each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age >18 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 15% increase post bronchodilator <u>Exclusion:</u> No po steroids continuously for >1 year in the past 5 Tobacco use within 1 year Pharmaceutical limitations	69		<u>FP</u>	<u>BEC</u>	<u>Pvalue</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 48 and female 57%</li> <li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 76%PV</li> <li>• No baseline comparison post-randomisation</li> <li>• Concealment method not described</li> <li>• ITT analysis not clear</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company producing fluticasone funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
(Heinig et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: 4 countries	Fluticasone d-inh 2000 µg daily V Budesonide turbuhaler 2000 µg daily  24 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-75 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 15% increase post bronchodilator <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	395		<u>FP</u>	<u>BDP</u>	<u>Pvalue</u> <u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 48 and female 49%</li> <li>• Supported financially by producers of fluticasone</li> <li>• Methods used to measure outcomes poorly described</li> </ul>
				Asthma exacerbation (%)	13	12	$P = 0.4$	
				≥1 night awakening (%)	22	14	$P = 0.3$	
				Cortisol change post cortrosyn (µmol/dL)	422	357	$P = .005$	
				Skin bruising score	1.24	1.64	$P = .04$	
				Serum osteocalcin (ng/ml)	3.5	2.8	$P = .003$	
				Symptom free days (%)	31.5	22.8	$P = 0.02$	11
				Days free from rescue medication use (%)	42.7	33.7	$P = 0.02$	11
				Participants with no asthma exacerbation (%)	60	68	$n.s.$	

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments	
(Ringdal et al. 2000)  CO  Grade 1-  Country: Sweden, Norway	Fluticasone inh 800 µg bd  V  Budesonide inh 800 µg bd   2 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-75 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection within 4 weeks of visit 1 Pharmaceutical limitations	48	AUC serum cortisol (nmol/L)	<u>FP</u> 783	<u>BDP</u> 791	<u>P value</u> n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 50 and female 29%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 77%PV</li><li>• No information concerning differences between groups at baseline</li><li>• Staff employed by the pharmaceutical company producing fluticasone included in the study authors</li></ul>	
(Steinmetz 1997)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Germany	Fluticasone inh 250 µg bd  V  Budesonide inh 600 µg bd   6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Indication for treatment with inh steroid but no use of steroids within 3 weeks of study commencement	457	Δ AM PEFR (L/min) Days without asthma symptoms Patients with adverse events judged as due to study medication	<u>FP</u> 45 40  2	<u>BDP</u> 34 34  6	<u>P value</u> P < 0.01 P < 0.05  n.s.	<u>NNT</u> 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 47 and female 51%</li><li>• Unblinded study</li><li>• No mention of pharmaceutical company involvement but the funding source was not stated</li></ul>
(Berkowitz et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone mdi 168 µg bd  V  Triamcinolone mdi with extender 400 µg bd   8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-90%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection within 30 days Admission to ICU for asthma or admissions for severe asthma exacerbation in the past Pharmaceutical limitations	339	Patients having adverse events (%) Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>BEC</u> 50.0 0.27	<u>TAA</u> 57.4 0.22	<u>P value</u> n.s.  n.s.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 38 and female 63%</li><li>• Baseline difference in age with older mean age in the triamcinolone group</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Bronsky et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone inh 336 µg daily V Triamcinolone inh 800 µg daily V Placebo  8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-90%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoking history Resp infection within 30 days Recurent admission for severe asthma exacerbation Pharmaceutical limitations	328	% Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> Mean Δ asthma score	<u>BEC</u> 11.95 -1.37	<u>TAA</u> 7.04 -0.58	<u>P value</u> P = 0.08 P = 0.03		<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 37 and female 53%</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li><li>• 75% of participants had outcomes measured at 8 weeks.</li></ul>
(Bateman et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Six countries	Triamcinolone HFA inh 450 µg bd V Beclomethasone CFC inh 500 µg bd  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥18 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 60-90%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> History of life threatening asthma Recent hospitalisation or acute exacerbation requiring po steroid Current smoker Pharmaceutical limitations	284	% Δ AM PEFR % Δ FEV <sub>1</sub>  Δ rescue med use (puffs/day) Δ Night awakening	<u>TAA</u> 5.6 5.4  -0.67 -0.16	<u>BEC</u> 3.8 4.5  -0.11 -0.14	<u>Diff</u> 1.8 0.9  <u>P value</u> P=0.04 P=0.83	<u>95%CI</u> -2.5, 6.1 -3.1, 4.9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 43 and female 53%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 76%PV</li><li>• Unblinded study</li><li>• Note difference in propellants</li><li>• Triamcinolone producer funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Condemni et al. 1997)	Fluticasone d-inh 250 µg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u>	291	<u>FP</u>	<u>TAA</u>	<u>P value</u>	<u>NNI</u>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 36 and female 51%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 67%PV</li> <li>Pharmaceutical producers of fluticasone funded and supported trial</li> <li>Lack of consistency between rescue free days and symptom free days</li> </ul>
RCT	V	Age ≥ 12 yrs		Δ AM FEV <sub>1</sub>	0.27	0.07	$P < 0.05$		
	Triamcinolone inh 200 µg Qid	FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV		Δ salbutamol use (puffs/day)	-0.9	-0.2	$P < 0.05$		
Grade 1+	V	One documented emergency care visit within the past year for asthma exacerbation		Δ rescue free days (%)	14	1	$P < 0.05$	8	
	Placebo	<u>Exclusion:</u>		Δ night awakening	-0.03	-0.01	$n.s.$		
Country:		Pharmaceutical limitations		Δ symptom free days (%)	14	12	$n.s.$		
United States	24 weeks			oropharyngeal candidiasis (%)	8	3	$n.s.$		



Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Foresi et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Italy	Budesonide inh 100 µg bd +200 µg bd following exacerbation V Budesonide inh 100 µg bd +placebo following exacerbation V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd +placebo following exacerbation  6 months	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-90%PV Daily inh β-agonist use <u>Exclusion:</u> Current and ex smokers Pharmaceutical limitations	209	Participants with ≥1 exacerbation (%)  Participants with ≥1 exacerbation (%)  Participants with ≥1 exacerbation (%)	<u>BDP 400 + Placebo</u> 16.9  <u>BDP 400 + Placebo</u> 16.9  <u>BDP 100 + BDP200</u> 18.7	<u>Bud 100 + Placebo</u> 32.4  <u>BDP 100 + BDP200</u> 18.7  <u>BDP 100 + Placebo</u> 32.4	<u>P value</u>  		

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Miyamoto et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Japan	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Budesonide inh 200 µg bd V Budesonide inh 100 µg bd V Placebo  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age adult PEFR 50-80%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Steroid use within 1 month Resp infection within 4 weeks	267	Δ PEFR (L/min)  Physician assessment of significant improvement	<u>BDP 800</u> 71  76%	<u>BDP 400</u> 53  73%	<u>BDP 200</u> 45  57%	<u>P value</u> P < .05 (800 V 200) P < .001 (dose response)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 51 and female 47%</li><li>• Baseline differences in gender distribution, treatment scores and asthma scores (P = 0.05-0.10)</li><li>• Lack of clarity in some outcome measurements</li><li>• Some of the study authors were employed by the producer of budesonide</li></ul>
(Herjavec et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Hungary and Spain	Budesonide inh 400 µg qd V Budesonide inh 200 µg bd  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 17-67 years PEFR ≥ 70%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Asthma exacerbation within 2 months Resp infection within 4 weeks Pharmaceutical limitations	181	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)  Δ β-agonist use (puffs/day)	<u>qd</u> 0.03  0.46	<u>bd</u> 0.06  0.38	<u>Diff (95%CI)</u> -0.03 (-0.11, 0.06) 0.08 (-0.13, 0.28)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 31 and female 59%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 93%PV</li><li>• 80% power to detect a difference of 22 L/min in PEFR (α = 0.05)</li><li>• Symptom scores higher in bd treatment group at baseline</li></ul>	

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Tukiainen et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Finland	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Budesonide inh 100 µg bd  3 months	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 18 yrs Newly detected asthma PC <sub>20</sub> histamine ≤ 8 mg/ml <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	101	<u>BDP 200</u> Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (%PV) Δ asthma symptom score (day) Δ asthma symptom score (night) Δ β-agonist inhalation (day) Δ β-agonist inhalation (night)	<u>BDP 800</u> 1.7 -0.49 -0.20 -0.62 -0.22	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 39 and female 65%</li> <li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 87%PV</li> <li>• Baseline difference in gender distribution between the two study groups (Female %: Bud 200 – 58, Bud 800 – 72)</li> <li>• Study material and equipment supplied by the producers of budesonide</li> <li>• Study had 90% power to detect a one dose step difference in histamine PC<sub>20</sub></li> </ul>



Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(van der Molen et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Netherlands	One month: Budesonide inh 400 µg bd  V Budesonide inh 100 µg bd  Both followed by Budesonide inh 200 µg daily  Total duration 13 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-50 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV  Required ≥ 3 doses bronchodilator per week for the month before enrolment  <u>Exclusion:</u> >20 pack-years tobacco Asthma exacerbation within 2 months of enrolment Pharmaceutical limitations	84	Δ AM PEFR at 4 weeks (L/min)	<u>BDP400</u> 27	<u>BDP100</u> 38	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 32 and female 56%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 84%PV</li> <li>Numerical values for PEFR and symptom score not presented at 13 weeks but no statistically significant difference between groups</li> <li>Unclear whether the drop outs (25%) were included in the analysis therefore the potential for low study power exists</li> <li>Financial support from budesonide producer</li> </ul>
(Busse et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Budesonide inh 800 µg bd  V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd  V Budesonide inh 200 µg bd  V Budesonide inh 100 µg bd  V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-75%PV Used inhaled steroid for the past 6 months  <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	473	Withdrawal due to exacerbation (%)	<u>100bd</u> 20	<u>200bd</u> 11	<u>400bd</u> 8  <u>800bd</u> 7 ( <i>P</i> <.01 cf. 100bd). NNT 8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 44 and female 54%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV</li> <li>Financial support from the producers of budesonide</li> <li>Unclear if ITT analysis was performed</li> </ul>
				Day symptom score	-0.19	-0.24	-0.31	-0.24
				Rescue med use (puffs/day)	0.72	-1.47	-1.48	-1.50
				Stimulated cortisol levels (nmol/L)	-3	+6	-7	-7.5

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Chisholm et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Netherlands	Budesonide inh 200 µg qd V Budesonide inh 100 µg bd  8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 years Postbronchilator FEV <sub>1</sub> >70%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Acute asthma exacerbation or lower resp infection within 2 months Pharmaceutical limitations	76	<u>qd</u> Δ AM PEFR (L/min) Δ rescue med use (day) Δ rescue med use (night) Δ symptom score (day) Δ symptom score (night)	<u>bd</u> 1.7 -0.21 -0.01 -0.07 -0.1	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 41 and female 59%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 86%PV</li><li>• Study aimed to determine equivalence of the two dosing regimens. The power of the study was unclear.</li><li>• Patients were monitored by their own GP</li><li>• Funding and support was provided by the pharmaceutical company producing budesonide</li></ul>	
(Campbell et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United Kingdom and Ireland	Budesonide 400 µg bd for 6 wks followed by 400 µg nocte for 12 wks V Budesonide 400 µg nocte for 18 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 years Asthma symps in 2 of past 7 days (prior to study entry) <u>Exclusion:</u> PEFR < 60%PV Pharmaceutical limitations	682	<u>BDP bd initially</u> Δ cough score (6 wks) Δ cough score (18 wks) Δ wheeze score (6 wks) Δ wheeze score (18 wks) Δ SOB score (6 wks) Δ SOB score (18 wks) Δ sleep disturbance (n/wk) (6 wks) Δ sleep disturbance (n/wk) (18 wks)	<u>BDP nocte</u> -0.42 -0.49 -0.48 -0.59 -0.55 -0.71 -0.86 -1.06	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 33 and female 56%</li><li>• Double blind for the first 6 weeks followed by open design</li><li>• Higher drop out rate than expected (10% expected, 33% actual) resulted in not meeting the 80% power target</li><li>• Sponsored by budesonide producers</li></ul>	

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Mahajan et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Fluticasone inh 250 µg bd V Fluticasone inh 100 µg bd V Fluticasone inh 50 µg bd V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	342	Living with asthma score (low = improved control)	<u>FP100</u> 0.98	<u>FP250</u> 1.10	<u>FP50</u> 1.12	<u>P value</u> $P < 0.05$ (F100 V F250 + F100 V F50)
				Night awakenings (n/week)	0.2	0.4	0.2	<i>n.s.</i>
								<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 35 and female 39%</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company producing fluticasone funded and supported trial</li> <li>Inconsistent dose response relationship in the results produces uncertainty about the study</li> </ul>
(Nathan et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Fluticasone inh 500 µg daily V Fluticasone inh 200 µg daily V Fluticasone inh 100 µg daily V Placebo	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-50 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV Required ≥ 3 doses bronchodilator per week for the month before enrolment <u>Exclusion:</u> >20 pack-years tobacco Asthma exacerbation within 2 months of enrolment Pharmaceutical limitations	330	Δ AM FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>FP 500</u> 0.30	<u>FP 200</u> 0.27	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 37 and female 42%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 63%PV</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company producing fluticasone funded and supported trial</li> <li>Other comparisons with fluticasone 100 µg daily dosage also presented in paper but no significant differences in the presented outcomes</li> </ul>
				Δ asthma symptom score	-0.25	-0.20	<i>n.s.</i>	
				Δ salbutamol use (puffs/day)	-0.90	-0.19	$P \leq 0.05$	
				Δ night awakenings (n/night)	-0.03	-0.05	<i>n.s.</i>	

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Westbroek et al. 1999)	Fluticasone neb 2 mg bd	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 17 yrs	301	Median reduction po steroid dose (%)	<u>2 mg bd</u> 66.7	<u>0.5 mg bd</u> 50.0	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Female 45%</li> <li>85% on inhaled steroid</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Higher withdrawal rate in 0.5 mg group</li> </ul>
RCT	V Fluticasone neb 0.5 mg bd	Continuous po steroid for 3 mths before study		AM PEFR (L/min)	281	291	<i>n.s.</i>		
Grade 1+	V Placebo	<u>Exclusion:</u> Changes in asthma medication, resp infection requiring antibiotics or hospital admission for resp disease in past 4 weeks		Nights not awoken (%)	87	74	<i>P</i> = 0.05	7	
Country: 19 countries	12 weeks								

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Boulet et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: 8 countries	Fluticasone inh 500 µg mane V  Fluticasone inh 250 µg bd  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 60-90%PV Previously received beclomethasone 400-1200 µg daily (or equivalent) No changes in asthma medication in past 4 weeks <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection or ED therapy in past 4 weeks	443	Δ AM PEFR (L/min)	<u>bd</u> 16.7	<u>Mane</u> 0.7	<u>90%CI</u> -20.5, -11.1 <sup>1</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 36 and female 55%</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li><li>• Patients excluded from one centre due to poor data quality</li><li>• ITT analysis not used</li><li>• <sup>1</sup> Outcome not equivalent</li></ul>
				Δ PM PEFR (L/min)	10.4	4.9	-9.7, -1.3	
				Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.08	0	-0.14, -0.01	
				Δ day symptom score	-0.26	-0.08	<u>P value</u> P = 0.025	
				Δ night symptom score	-0.07	0.13	P < 0.001	
				Δ day rescue med use	-0.25	-0.10	n.s.	
				Δ night rescue med use	-0.11	0.05	P = 0.003	
(Boulet et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: 8 countries	Fluticasone inh 200 µg mane V  Fluticasone inh 100 µg bd  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 70-90%PV Previously received beclomethasone up to 500 µg daily (or equivalent) No changes in asthma medication in past 4 weeks <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection or ED therapy in past 4 weeks	461	Δ AM PEFR (L/min)	<u>bd</u> 18.7	<u>Mane</u> 10.5	<u>90%CI</u> -13.6, - 2.8 <sup>2</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 38 and female 55%</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li><li>• ITT analysis not used</li><li>• <sup>2</sup> Outcomes equivalent between dose regimens by authors pre set criteria although the null hypothesis (no statistically significant difference in outcome) was rejected</li><li>• Same study as one above.</li></ul>
				Δ PM PEFR (L/min)	13.2	9.7	-8.3, -1.6	
				Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.12	0.07	-0.12, -0.02	
				Δ day symptom score	-0.23	-0.25	<u>P value</u> n.s.	
				Δ night symptom score	-0.09	-0.03	n.s.	
				Δ day rescue med use	-0.23	-0.23	n.s.	
				Δ night rescue med use	-0.17	-0.06	n.s.	

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Busse et al. 1999b)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone inh 800 µg daily V Beclomethasone inh 400 µg daily V Beclomethasone inh 100 µg daily  (Both HFA and CFC propellants)  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age > 18 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 45-75%PV (between run in and randomisation) <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoking within the previous 12 months or > 15 pack-years smoking Upper resp infection within 4 weeks or lower resp infection within 6 weeks	323		<u>BEC 800</u>	<u>BEC 400</u>	<u>BEC 100</u>  <u>P value (trend)</u>          <u>P &lt; 0.05</u>          <u>P &lt; 0.05</u>          <u>n.s.</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Female 61%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 53%PV</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company producing beclomethasone (HFA propellant) funded and supported trial</li> <li>Results refer to HFA propellant only. There were similar differences between doses in the CFC propellant group as those presented for HFA propellant.</li> </ul>
(Hampel et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States and France	Beclomethasone inh HFA 100 µg bd V Beclomethasone inh HFA 50 µg bd V Placebo  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 65-85%PV No steroids for 3 months Nonsmoker <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	270	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (%PV)  Δ nights without sleep disturbance (%)  Δ daily β-agonist use (n/day)	<u>100bd</u> 8.6  25.2  -1.04	<u>50bd</u> 6.7  21.4  -1.19	<u>P value</u> <u>n.s.</u>  <u>n.s.</u>  <u>n.s.</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 34 and female 57%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 76%PV</li> <li>Concealment methods not described</li> <li>Labelled as blind but no information on single or double</li> <li>Financial support from producers of beclomethasone</li> <li>90% power to detect a clinically significant difference in outcome between placebo and active doses</li> </ul>

Table 22: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus inhaled steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(ZuWallack et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Flunisolide inh 500 µg bd V Flunisolide inh 1000 µg mane V Flunisolide inh 1000 µg nocte V Flunisolide inh 500 µg mane  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 6-70 years FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 80%PV  Regular use of inhaled steroids and clinically stable after 4 weeks flunisolide 500 µg bd  <u>Exclusion:</u> Non smoking ≥ 1 year and < 10 pack-years total	366	Δ daytime symptoms	<u>500bd</u> 0.00	<u>1000mane</u> 0.06	<u>1000nocte</u> -0.04	<u>500mane</u> 0.21 P=.0002 (bd) P=.002 (mane) P=.0001 (nocte) 0.15 P=.003 (bd) P=.02 (mane) P=.002 (nocte) -4.5 P=.03 (bd) P>.05 (mane) P=.01 (nocte)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 30 and female 55%</li><li>• Funded by the pharmaceutical producers of flunisolide</li><li>• Method of randomisation unclear</li></ul>
(Pincus et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United States	Triamcinolone inh 200 µg Qid V Triamcinolone inh 800 µg at 5.30PM V Triamcinolone inh 800 µg at 8 AM  4 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 20-68 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Smoking within 5 years Upper resp infection within 4 weeks Pharmaceutical limitations	60	% Δ FEV <sub>1</sub>  Δ AM PEFR (L/min)  Δ β-agonist use (puffs/day) Δ night awakening	<u>Qid</u> 21.1  46  -2.3 -3.0	<u>5.30pm</u> 15.2  13  -1.3 -2.0	<u>8.00am</u> 14.7  -2  -1.6 -1.0	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>  P = 0.005 (Qid v 8) P = 0.05 (5.30 v 8) <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 33 and female 46%</li><li>• No description of concealment or blinding</li><li>• Unclear whether ITT analysis was used</li><li>• Funded by pharmaceutical company</li></ul>
(Lipworth 1999)  SR  Grade 1-	Adverse effects of inhaled steroids. Comparison between steroids	<u>Inclusion:</u> RCTs Route of drug administartion documented	21 studies	Urinary cortisol suppression (slope gradient) 95%CI cf. fluticasone	<u>FP</u> 63.2	<u>BEC</u> 32.5  (1.0, 60.6)	<u>TAA</u> 17.3  (3.7, 88.1)	<u>BDP</u> 14.7  (20.9, 76.1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No demographic details</li><li>• Limited database search</li><li>• No assessment of study quality</li></ul>

Table 23: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus oral steroids) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Meijer et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Netherlands	Fluticasone D-inh 2000 µg daily V Fluticasone D-inh 500 µg daily V Prednisolone po 30 mg daily  2 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-56 yrs PC <sub>20</sub> methacholine ≤ 8 mg/ml <u>Exclusion:</u> Exacerbation of asthma requiring prednisolone Pharmaceutical limitations	120	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> %PV Serum cortisol (nmol/L)   Rescue med (n/day)	<u>FP 2000</u> 6.5 -155  0.0	<u>FP 500</u> 6.3 0.0  0.0	<u>Pred</u> 4.4 -118  0.57	<u>P value</u> P = .13 P = .005 (Pred + Flu2000 v Flu500) P ≤ .05 (Pred V both Flu doses)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Median age 27 and female 66%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 80%PV</li> <li>Fluticasone 2000 is a high dose</li> <li>Financial support from fluticasone producer</li> <li>Randomisation methodology provided in detail</li> </ul>
(Li et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United States	Fluticasone inh 220 µg bd V Fluticasone inh 88 µg bd V Triamcinolone inh 400 µg Qid V Triamcinolone inh 200 µg Qid V Prednisone po 10 mg daily V Placebo  4 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-50 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 50%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	128	%Δ 8 hour cortisol (AUC)	<u>FP 88/220</u> -2.6%/-5.7%	<u>TAA 200/400</u> -6.4%/-13.0%	<u>Pred</u> -32.5%	<u>P value</u> P ≤ .001 (FP + TAA V PRED)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 31 and female 30%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 86%PV</li> <li>ITT analysis not used</li> <li>All aspects of the study (including financial support) assisted by fluticasone producer</li> </ul>



Table 23. Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus oral steroids) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes	Comments
(Aaronson et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United States	Budesonide inh 1600 µg bd V Budesonide inh 800 µg bd V Budesonide inh 400 µg bd V Prednisone po 10 mg daily V Placebo  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 65%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Hospitalisation for asthma in past 4 weeks Resp infection in past 4 weeks Corticosteroid therapy within 6 months	64	<div> <div>Δ postcosyntropin plasma cortisol (nmol/L) (P values compared with prednisone)</div> <div> <div>BDP1600 -90</div> <div>BDP800 -137</div> <div>BDP3200 -249</div> <div>Pred -292</div> </div> <div> <div>P=0.03</div> <div>P=0.08</div> <div>n.s.</div> </div> </div>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 31 and female 23%</li> <li>ITT analysis not used</li> <li>Budesonide turbuhaler producer funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

## Acute asthma

Table 24: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (steroids) in acute asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Rowe et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Canada	Budesonide inh 1600 µg daily + Prednisone po 50 mg daily V Prednisone po 50 mg daily  3 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-60 yrs PEFR < 80%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Oral or inhaled steroid within 1 week of ED presentation	188	Relapse within 21 days (%) QoL score FEV <sub>1</sub> %PV β-agonist activation (%) Night awakening (mean)  Hoarseness (%) Sore throat (%)	<u>BDP + Pred</u> 12.8 5.9 79 2.4 6.2  25 22	<u>Pred</u> 24.5 5.2 79 4.2 5.4  46 41	<u>P value</u> <i>P</i> = .05 <i>P</i> = .001 <i>n.s.</i> <i>P</i> = .01 <i>P</i> = .001  <i>P</i> = .02 <i>P</i> = .02	<u>NNI</u> 9        <u>NNH</u> 5 5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 28 and female 61%</li> <li>Mean PEFR 50%PV</li> <li>Inhalers supplied by company producing budesonide</li> <li>Baseline difference in sex, age and severity (the latter favouring prednisone alone)</li> </ul>
(Rodrigo and Rodrigo 1999)  SR  Grade 1+	IV steroid V po steroid <sup>1</sup>  High/mod dose steroid V Low dose steroid <sup>2</sup>	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age > 18 yrs RCT English language	157 <sup>1</sup> 369 <sup>2</sup>	Pulm fn (favouring po over IV treatment)  Pulm fn (favouring medium and high doses over low dose steroid)	<u>Effect size</u> -0.14  0.12	<u>95%CI</u> -0.8, 0.31  -0.18, 0.32	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 32</li> <li>Hydrocortisone equiv range (mg/kg/day) 4.2-83.3. Low dose &lt; 13 mg/kg/day</li> <li>Study identification inadequate – limited databases, English language only, no attempt to identify unpublished data.</li> <li>Study quality assessed using an instrument that did not consider blinding or use of ITT analysis.</li> </ul>		
(Nana et al. 1998a)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Thailand	Budesonide inh 1600 µg bd V Prednisolone po starting 40 mg then daily 30, 25, 20, 15, 10, 5 mg.  1 week	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-50 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 20-50%PV on acute presentation	81	% Δ FEV <sub>1</sub>	<u>BDP</u> 17.3	<u>Pred</u> 17.6	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 38 and female 63%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 64%PV</li> <li>FEV<sub>1</sub> %PV lower in budesonide group</li> <li>ITT analysis not used since treatment withdrawals (4%) were not analysed</li> <li>Study power not stated</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>	

Table 24. Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (steroids) in acute asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention Comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(FitzGerald et al. 2000)	Budesonide inh 600 µg Qid V	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 15-70 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV post bronchodilator Discharged from ED	185		<u>BDP</u>	<u>Pred</u>	<u>95%CI (Diff)</u>
RCT	Prednisone po 40 mg mane	<u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations		Relapse rate (%)	10	14	-7.5, 11
Grade 1+	7-10 days			FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	3.06	3.00	n.s.
Country: Canada							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 28 and female 57%</li> <li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 75%PV</li> <li>• Budesonide producer funded and supported trial</li> <li>• Despite authors claiming therapeutic equivalence the 95%CI was not entirely within the preset ± 10% criteria for relapse</li> </ul>

## Theophylline

### Chronic asthma

Table 25: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus theophylline) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention Comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Pollard et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol mdi (42 $\mu$ g bd) V Theophylline po (Individual dose titrated slo-bd) V Placebo Inh and po  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 12+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV 15+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> with salbutamol inh  <u>Exclusion:</u> Other bronchodilators	484	12 weeks Mean change AM PEFR(cf. baseline) (L/min)	<u>SLM</u> +10.3	<u>THP</u> -4.5	<u>P value</u> $P \leq .02$	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 31 and female 52% in salmeterol group; mean age 30 and 54% in theophylline group</li> <li>54% patients on concurrent ICS therapy</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Combined results of two identical trials</li> </ul>
				Mean change (cf. baseline) Nighttime awakenings/wk Asthma symptom score Salbutamol use puffs/day  Drug related adverse event	-0.7 -0.11 -1.1 9%	-0.1 0.01 0.06 19%	$P < .02$ $P < .02$ $P < .02$ $P < .05$	

Table 25: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (long-acting  $\beta$ -agonists versus theophylline) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention Comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Nutini et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Italy	Salmeterol inh 50 $\mu$ g bd V Theophylline po 50 dose titrated bd  12 weeks (drug efficacy) 52 weeks (drug safety)	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18+ yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV 15+% increase in FEV <sub>1</sub> with 200 $\mu$ g salbutamol inh  <u>Exclusion:</u> Variable smoking history Asthma requiring emergency care – past month	112	12 weeks Symptom free Days Nights  Days with no rescue medication use Days Nights  QOL index score  Adverse drug events	<u>SLM</u>  65.7% 65.7%  68.7% 70.1%  9.7 9	<u>THP</u>  56.8% 60.2%  57.2% 63.0%  8.6 18	<u>P value</u>  $P<.005$ $P<.01$  $P<.001$ $P<.001$  N/A N/A	<u>NNI</u>  11 18  9 14	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 46 and female 44%, mean FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) 2.21 in SLM group; mean age 48 and 32% and mean FEV<sub>1</sub> (L) 2.10 in THP group</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>Open study design</li> <li>Intention to treat analysis</li> </ul>

Table 26: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus theophylline) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Reed et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone inh 84 µg Qid V Theophylline  1 year	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 6-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> >50%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> >5 pack years tobacco smoking Resp infection in past 3 weeks Pharmaceutical limitations	747	Moderately severe or worse symptoms <sup>1</sup>	<u>BEC</u> 25.8%	<u>IHP</u> 30.5%	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<u>NNI</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Female 51%</li> <li>45% previously taken theophylline, 4% beclomethasone</li> <li>75% study completion rate</li> <li>Theophylline dose determined by response</li> <li>Study supported by pharmaceutical company producing beclomethasone</li> <li>No baseline data on mean age in two study groups</li> <li><sup>1</sup>For any day in the 12th month. There were significant differences in earlier months that favoured beclomethasone.</li> </ul>
(Ukena et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Germany, Hungary, Austria	Beclomethasone inh 200 µg bd + Theophylline V Beclomethasone inh 400 µg bd  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV Asthma not controlled on beclomethasone 400 µg daily or equivalent <u>Exclusion:</u> Severe asthma attack or lower resp infection within 1 month Current smoker Pharmaceutical limitations	133	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>Combined</u> 0.26	<u>BEC</u> 0.19	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Median age 48 and female 44%</li> <li>FEV<sub>1</sub> 75%PV</li> <li>Data not presented but no significant difference in rescue med use between groups</li> <li>ITT analysis not presented in paper but results similar to per protocol analysis presented</li> <li>Producers of theophylline funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

Table 26: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (inhaled steroids versus theophylline) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Evans et al. 1997)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United Kingdom and Sweden	Budesonide inh 400 µg bd + theophylline po 250 mg (if < 80 kg) or 375 mg (if ≥ 80 kg) bd  V  Budesonide inh 800 µg bd  3 months	<u>Inclusion:</u> FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV  <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations  Asthma exacerbation within 3 weeks of run in	62		<u>THP + BDP</u>	<u>BDP</u>	<u>P value</u>
				Improvement in FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	0.21	0.11	P = 0.03
				Improvement in FVC (L)	0.26	0.18	P = 0.03
				Day time symptom scores	N/A	N/A	P = 0.26
				Night time symptom scores	N/A	N/A	P = 0.59
				Daytime rescue med use	N/A	N/A	P = 0.57
				Nighttime rescue med use	N/A	N/A	P = 0.97

- Mean age 39 and female 60%
- Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 75%PV
- Supported by pharmaceutical company that produces theophylline

## Inhaler devices

### Chronic asthma

Table 27: Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Nelson et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol dry powder inh 216 µg Qid V Salbutamol mdi 180 µg Qid V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs Nonsmoker <u>Exclusion:</u> No changes in current asthma meds, no hospital admissions or ED visits for asthma within 4 weeks	283	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>DPI</u> 2.27	<u>mdi</u> 2.43	<u>Pvalue</u> n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 34 and female 55%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 64%PV</li><li>• Text stated no significant difference in asthma exacerbation, use of rescue med and symptom score (Specific data not provided)</li><li>• Unclear whether ITT analysis was used</li><li>• Study power not defined</li><li>• Grant provided by the producers of the dry powder inhaler</li></ul>
(Seppala et al. 1998)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: Germany	Salbutamol dry powder inh (Taifun™) V Salbutamol mdi + spacer  Single dose each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 20-69 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 35-70%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	36	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> (%)	<u>DPI</u> 47.2	<u>mdi</u> 44.7	<u>90%CI</u> (DPI, mdi ratio) 95%, 120%	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 44 and female 41%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 58%PV</li><li>• Results reported on 90% of randomised population</li><li>• No data on equivalence at baseline</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company involved at authorship level</li></ul>



Table 27: Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Geoffroy et al. 1999)  CO  Grade 1-  Country: United States	Salbutamol Spiros™ dry inh 180 µg Qid  V Spiros™ dry inh 90 µg Qid  V mdi 180 µg Qid  V mdi 90 µg Qid  V Placebo  1 day each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-70%PV Within ± 20% ideal body weight <u>Exclusion:</u> Use of tobacco products within 6 months	60	FEV <sub>1</sub> max (L) Time to FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 15% baseline (min) Duration when FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 15% baseline (min)	<u>mdi 180</u> 2.91 47.6  234.6	<u>DPI 180</u> 2.92 56.1  221.2	<u>mdi 90</u> 2.82 59.6  174.9	<u>DPI 80</u> 2.82 38.1  212.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 30 and female 45%</li> <li>• No significant differences between treatment results presented</li> <li>• 73% of randomised population included in analysis</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company producing Spiros™ inhaler funded and supported trial</li> <li>• No baseline comparison data presented post-randomisation</li> <li>• Power of study not clear</li> </ul>

Table 27. Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Lofdahl et al. 1997)  CO  Grade 1-  Country: Sweden	Salbutamol turbuhaler (TBH) 200 µg daily V Salbutamol turbuhaler (TBH) 50 µg daily V Salbutamol mdi 400 µg daily V Salbutamol mdi 100 µg daily V Placebo  Single dose each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-70 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 35%PV	50	FEV <sub>1</sub> ratio %  95%CI	<u>TBH50/mdi 100</u> 102.0  99.7, 104.3	<u>TBH200/mdi 400</u> 99.0  96.8, 101.3	<u>TBH200/TBH50</u> 102.8  100.5, 105.2	<u>mdi400/mdi100</u> 105.9  103.6, 108.3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 46 and female 46%</li> <li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV</li> <li>• No baseline data post-randomisation</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company involvement at authorship level</li> <li>• Study power not documented</li> </ul>

Table 27: Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes			Comments
(Vink-van Wijngaarden et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Netherlands	Beclomethasone rotahaler 400 µg bd V Beclomethasone cyclohaler 400 µg bd  16 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-60 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> > 50%PV Stabilised on 800 µg BEC daily for at least 6 months <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection within 6 weeks Asthma exacerbation within 2 months Pharmaceutical limitations	182	AM PEFR (L/min)	Diff (CYC – ROT) 5	90%CI -20, 31	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 41 and female 41%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 82%PV</li> <li>No significant difference in rescue med use or symp score (Specific data not provided)</li> <li>Unclear if ITT analysis was used</li> </ul>
(Galant et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Fluticasone inh 500 µg bd Diskus V Diskhaler V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> PO or parenteral steroids within 4 weeks of the study	229	Δ AM FEV <sub>1</sub> (L) Δ salbutamol use (puffs/day) Δ night awakenings (n/week) Δ symptom score	<u>DU</u> 0.52 -1.54 -0.03 -0.20	<u>DH</u> 0.40 -1.41 0.00 -0.10  <u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 33 and female 45%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 67%PV</li> <li>Data excluded from two sites since it didn't meet quality standards</li> <li>Power to detect a difference in FEV<sub>1</sub> of 0.25 L &lt; 80% due to withdrawal of the 2 study sites. Inadequate power to comment on equivalence.</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial.</li> </ul>

Table 27. Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Pieters et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: Netherlands	Fluticasone 250 µg bd Diskus/ Accuhaler (D/A) V Diskhaler (DH)  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-79 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-90%PV Required between 400 and 1000 µg steroid per day at study start <u>Exclusion:</u> Pharmaceutical limitations	364	<u>D/A</u> Δ AM PEFR (L/min) Median symptom score Median % rescue free days Median % rescue free nights	<u>DH</u> 19 0 63 93	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 49 and female 43%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 69%PV</li><li>• ITT not used since noncompliant patients were not included in analysis</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company producing both interventions involved at authorship level</li><li>• Study power not stated</li></ul>	
(Wolfe et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salmeterol diskus 50 µg bd V Salmeterol mdi 42 µg bd V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-85%PV Less than 10 pack-years tobacco use and non smoker for 1 year and not exposed to secondary smoke for ≥ 4 hours/day <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp or middle ear infection within 6 weeks of entry	498	<u>mdi</u> Δ days without rescue med use (%) Δ nights without awakening (%) Δ days without symptoms (%)	<u>DU</u> 29 12 19	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 34 and female 53%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 68%PV</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li><li>• Statistical power not stipulated so unable to assess equivalence</li></ul>	

## Acute asthma

Table 28: Summary of studies investigating inhaler devices in acute asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Nana et al. 1998b)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Thailand	Salbutamol turbuhaler V mdi (turbuhaler doses half mdi doses. Eight doses over 90 mins)	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 16-50 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 20-50%PV Attending ED	86	Δ FEV <sub>1</sub> at 55 mins (L)	<u>TBH</u> 0.47	<u>mdi</u> 0.46	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mean age 38 and female 63%</li> <li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 37%PV</li> <li>• Pharmaceutical company involved in all study aspects</li> <li>• Primary endpoint was measured at half way point in the dosing schedule</li> <li>• 80% power to detect a difference in the increase in FEV<sub>1</sub> that was at least 62% of the standard deviation at the 5% significance level</li> </ul>
				Symptom score	8	6	<i>n.s.</i>	

## Propellants

### Chronic asthma

Table 29: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Gross et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone HFA inh 400 µg daily V Beclomethasone CFC inh 400 µg daily V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 60%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or recent smoker History of life threatening asthma Pharmaceutical limitations	347	Δ AM PEFR (l/min)	<u>HFA</u> -5.3	<u>CFC</u> -14.0	<u>P value</u> n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 34 and female 53%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 67%PV</li><li>• PO steroid given for 7-12 days before randomisation</li><li>• Symptom score, rescue medication use and Δ AM PEFR defined as equivalent outcome between propellants.</li><li>• Partial concealment only with HFA and corresponding placebo requiring half the puffs of CFC &amp; its corresponding placebo – potential bias based on compliance</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li></ul>
(Juniper and Buist 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Beclomethasone HFA inh 400 µg daily V Beclomethasone CFC inh 400 µg daily V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 60%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or recent smoker History of life threatening asthma Pharmaceutical limitations	347	Asthma Quality of life: Overall Activity limitations Symptoms Emotions Environment	<u>HFA</u> 5.66 5.86 5.50 5.62 5.62	<u>CFC</u> 5.55 5.70 5.44 5.52 5.51	<u>P value</u> n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s. n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Same study as (Gross et al. 1999) and same limitations</li><li>• No assessment of equivalence made</li></ul>

Table 29: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes					Comments
(Dahl et al. 1997)  CO  Grade 1+  Country: Denmark, Norway	Beclomethasone 200-600 µg daily HFA V CFC  4 weeks each	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 18 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 40%PV Currently taking ≤ 600 µg daily beclomethasone <u>Exclusion:</u> Upper resp infection within 2 weeks and lower resp infection within 4 weeks	68		<u>HFA</u>	<u>CFC</u>	<u>90%CI for HFA</u>	<u>Equiv level</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 49</li> <li>pm results were similar to the ar results presented (all met equivalence criteria)</li> <li>No baseline data post-randomisation presented</li> <li>Equivalence criteria were liber</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company func and supported trial</li> </ul>
(Milanowski et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United Kingdom, Ireland, Poland	Beclomethasone inh 100 µg Qid HFA V CFC  6 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age >12 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV post bronchodilator <u>Exclusion:</u> Recent hospitalisation for asthma exacerbation Recent therapy for URTI	119	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>HFA</u> 2.6	<u>CFC</u> 2.5	<u>90%CI diff</u> -0.14, 0.35		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 39 and female 44%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 67%PV</li> <li>90% power to detect a differen in FEV<sub>1</sub> between groups of &gt;0.2 = 0.05)</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company func and supported trial</li> </ul>
(Milanowski et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United Kingdom, Ireland, Poland	Beclomethasone inh 500 µg Qid HFA V CFC  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age >12 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV post bronchodilator Taking 800-2000 µg daily BEC-CFC <u>Exclusion:</u> Recent hospitalisation for asthma exacerbation Recent therapy for URTI	119	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>HFA</u> 2.3	<u>CFC</u> 2.4	<u>90%CI diff</u> -0.34, 0.5		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 44 and female 55%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 70%PV</li> <li>90% power to detect a differen in FEV<sub>1</sub> between groups of &gt;0.2 5% significance level</li> <li>Same study as above</li> </ul>
				Proportion patients with cough (%)	29.8	45.8	<u>P value</u> P = 0.11		
				Proportion patients with wheeze (%)	36.2	39.6	P = 0.73		
				Any adverse event (%)	58	60	n.s.		
				Proportion patients with cough (%)	36.2	48.9	<u>P value</u> P = 0.21		
				Proportion patients with wheeze (%)	40.4	46.8	P = 0.53		
				Any adverse event (%)	73	86	n.s.		

Table 29: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Harrison et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1-  Country: United States	Beclomethasone inh HFA 800, 400, 200 µg daily V CFC 800 µg daily V Placebo  2 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 60%PV No systemic steroids in past 3 months Non-smoker	43	% Δ 24 hour urinary free cortisol	<u>HFA800</u> -37.3	<u>CFC800</u> -47.4	<u>P value</u> n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No demographic details provided</li> <li>No baseline comparison</li> <li>Producers of HFA preparation funded and supported trial</li> <li>Statistical comparison between HFA and CFC preparations limited to the 800 µg dose</li> </ul>
(Davies et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United Kingdom	Beclomethasone HFA 800 µg daily V Beclomethasone CFC 1500 µg daily  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 years PEFR 50-85%PV Inadequate control on 400-800 µg daily inh steroid <u>Exclusion:</u> Resp infection within 4 weeks of study start	233	Δ PEFR (L/min)  Wheeze Cough SOB Chest tightness	<u>HFA</u> -22.3  <u>Mean diff (HFA and CFC)</u> 0.05 0.04 -0.05 -0.00	<u>CFC</u> -21.9  <u>90%CI</u> -0.12, 0.22 -0.15, 0.23 -0.24, 0.13 -0.19, 0.18	<u>P value for equivalence</u> P < .001	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 40 and male 56%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 66%PV</li> <li>Producer of the HFA preparation funded and supported trial</li> <li>89% of study participants randomised were analysed</li> </ul>
(Tonnel et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: France	Fluticasone inh 250 µg bd HFA V CFC  4 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 18 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-90%PV Required 400-1000 µg daily beclomethasone or equivalent <u>Exclusion:</u> Required antibiotics, hospitalised or received po/parenteral steroids in 4 weeks preceding study	380	Adjusted mean AM PEFR Median days with no rescue med. use (%) Adverse events (%)	<u>HFA</u> 399 83 26	<u>CFC</u> 400 83 27	<u>Adj diff</u> -1  <u>90%CI</u> -7,5 <sup>1</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 43 and female 49%</li> <li>Funded by pharmaceutical company producing fluticasone</li> <li><sup>1</sup>Met criteria for therapeutic equivalence</li> <li>Method of randomisation unclear</li> </ul>



NEW ZEALAND HEALTH TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT: ASTHMA THERAPEUTICS  
PROPELLANTS - CHRONIC ASTHMA

Table 29: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments	
(Welch et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Triamcinolone HFA V CFC V Placebo  Doses 150, 300, 600 µg daily for HFA and CFC  8 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 18 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-80%PV at randomisation <u>Exclusion:</u> Current smoker or past history of ≥ 10 pack-years tobacco History of life threatening asthma Upper resp infection within 30 days	514		<u>Δ AM PEFr</u>	<u>Δ symptom score</u>	<u>Δ nights awake</u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 39 and female 61%</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 65%PV</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li><li>• 90% power to detect 10% difference in FEV<sub>1</sub> (compared v placebo)</li></ul>	
				HFA150	9.2	-1.52	-0.27		
				HFA300	36.4	-2.03	-0.58		
				HFA600	44.9	-2.57	-0.75		
				CFC150	24.3	-1.27	-0.37		
				CFC300	23.4	-2.01	-0.43		
				CFC600	33.7	-2.34	-0.57		
					<i>n.s. but not equivalent</i>	<i>n.s. but not equivalent</i>	Therapeutic equivalence		
(Jacobson et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Triamcinolone HFA 450, 900, 1800 µg daily V  Triamcinolone CFC 450, 900 µg daily V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 18 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> History of life threatening asthma Recent hospitalisation for asthma exacerbation Upper resp infection	538	% Δ FEV <sub>1</sub>	<u>HFA450</u> 22.2	<u>HFA900</u> 23.1	<u>CFC450</u> 11.5	<u>CFC900</u> 18.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 40</li><li>• Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 61%PV</li><li>• All presented results had non-significant differences between CFC and HFA propellant (which extended to HFA1800 µg dose)</li><li>• Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li><li>• <sup>1</sup>Therapeutic equivalence</li></ul>
				Symp score	-1.7	-2.0	-2.0	-1.9	
				Night awakening (n/night) <sup>1</sup>	-0.4	-0.5	-0.4	-0.6	
				Any adverse event (%)	65.3	71.1	69.4	66.7	

Table 29: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Baumgarten et al. 2000)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: Germany	Salbutamol inh 100 µg PRN  HFA  V  CFC   4 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 18 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-100%PV Average of at least 1 actuation per day and not more than 12 actuations over 2 days in the last 7 days of run-in <u>Exclusion:</u> Changes in regular asthma medication or a deterioration in or exacerbation of asthma 4 weeks prior to start of run-in phase Pharmaceutical limitations	423	Median salbutamol use (puffs/4 weeks)	<u>HFA</u> 110	<u>CFC</u> 111	<u>P value</u> n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 48 and female 49%</li> <li>Patient maintained on usual regular treatment</li> <li>Some FEV<sub>1</sub> /PEFR measurement may have been bronchodilator influenced</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
(Bleecker et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol HFA 180 µg Qid  V Salbutamol CFC 180 µg Qid  V Placebo   12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV Able to withhold inh bronchodilators for ≥ 8 hours <u>Exclusion:</u> Recent resp infection Oral steroid use within 4 weeks of study start Smoking history within 2 years	565	Responders (%)	<u>HFA</u> 73	<u>CFC</u> 74	<u>90%CI diff</u> -0.07, 0.07 <sup>1</sup>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 36 and female 59%</li> <li>Pharmaceutical producer of HI preparation funded and supported trial</li> <li><sup>1</sup>Met criteria for equivalence</li> <li>Responder if FEV<sub>1</sub> exceeded baseline by at least 15% within minutes post dose at that visit</li> </ul>

Table 29. Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Tinkelman et al. 1998)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Salbutamol HFA 180 µg Qid V Salbutamol CFC 180 µg Qid V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 years FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV Able to withhold inh bronchodilators for ≥ 8 hours <u>Exclusion:</u> Recent resp infection Oral steroid use within 4 weeks of study start Smoking history within 2 years	565	Increased asthma symptoms within 15 mins of drug use (%)	<u>HFA</u> 2	<u>CFC</u> 2	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Same study as (Bleecker et al. 1998)</li><li>• Mean age 36 and female 59%</li><li>• Pharmaceutical producer of HI preparation funded and supported trial</li></ul>
(Furukawa et al. 1999)  RCT  Grade 1+  Country: United States	Cromolyn Sodium HFA inh 2 mg Qid V Cromolyn Sodium CFC inh 2 mg Qid V Placebo  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> ≥ 60%PV <u>Exclusion:</u> Current or recent smoker History of life threatening asthma Pharmaceutical limitations	280	Δ symptom score n (%)  Δ PEFR (l/min)  Δ rescue med use (%)  FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)  Physician rated the intervention as moderately or very effective (%)  Patient rated the intervention as moderately or very effective (%)  Adverse event reported (%)	<u>CFC</u> 0.63 (-22)  5.7  -13  3.00  63  73  74	<u>HFA</u> 0.83 (-22)  21.9  -27  2.80  56  77  76	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i>  <i>P</i> = .04  <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Mean age 29 and female 55%</li><li>• FEV<sub>1</sub> 74%PV</li><li>• Study had 90% power to detect difference in the symptom score 0.3 at the 5% significance level</li><li>• Producers of HFA preparation funded and supported trial</li></ul>

Table 29. Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Blumenthal et al. 1998)	Cromolyn sodium inh 2 mg Qid	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age ≥ 12 years	366	FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>HFA</u> 2.95	<u>CFC</u> 2.80	<u>P value</u> n.s.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 31 and female 53%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 75%PV</li> <li>90% power to detect a 0.3 pair difference in symptom score (5 significance level)</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>
RCT	HFA	FEV <sub>1</sub> 50-90%PV		Δ symp score	-0.71	-0.74	n.s.	
Grade 1+	V	<u>Exclusion:</u>		Δ rescue med use (%)	-33%	-38%	n.s.	
Country: United States	CFC	Current or recent smoker						
	V	History of life threatening asthma exacerbations						
	Placebo	Pharmaceutical limitations						
	12 weeks							

Table 29: Summary of studies investigating the effect of pharmaceuticals (CFC V HFA propellant) in chronic asthma (*continued*)

Study source, design and evidence grading	Intervention comparison and study duration	Criteria for Inclusion/ Exclusion	N	Results/outcomes				Comments
(Goldberg et al. 2000)  RCT Grade 1+  Country: Germany	Fenoterol inh 200 µg Qid HFA V CFC  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV Current non-smoker with a history of ≤ 10 pack-years <u>Exclusion:</u> Upper resp infection, or hospitalisation due to exacerbation of asthma 4 weeks prior to start of run-in phase Pharmaceutical limitations	290	Adverse events (%)  FEV <sub>1</sub> (L)	<u>HFA</u> 29.9  2.2	<u>CFC</u> 28.0  2.1	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 41 and female 46%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 62%PV</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> <li>81% of randomised population analysed at endpoint</li> </ul>
(Bronsky et al. 1999)  RCT Grade 1-  Country: United States	Salbutamol inh 2 puffs bd + PRN CFC V HFA  12 weeks	<u>Inclusion:</u> Age 18-65 yrs FEV <sub>1</sub> 40-80%PV 12 month history of asthma requiring β-agonist for symptom relief	51	Peak % Δ from predose FEV <sub>1</sub>  Adverse events (%)  Δ pulse  Δ BP	<u>CFC</u> 35.8  88   	<u>HFA</u> 36.1  100   	<u>P value</u> <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i>  <i>n.s.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mean age 37 years and female 57%</li> <li>Mean FEV<sub>1</sub> 61%PV</li> <li>No information on blinding, statistical power or ITT analysis</li> <li>Salbutamol dosage not stated</li> <li>Pharmaceutical company funded and supported trial</li> </ul>

# References

- Aaronson, D., Kaiser, H., Dockhorn, R., Findlay, S., Korenblat, P., Thorsson, L., & Kallen, A. (1998). Effects of budesonide by means of the turbuhaler on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in asthmatic subjects - a dose-response study. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 101, 312-319.
- Aldridge, R. E., Hancox, R. J., Robin Taylor, D., Cowan, J. O., Winn, M. C., Frampton, C. M., & Town, I. G. (2000). Effects of terbutaline and budesonide on sputum cells and bronchial hyperresponsiveness in asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 161, 1459-1464.
- Aubier, M., Pieters, W. R., Schlosser, N. J., & Steinmetz, K. O. (1999). Salmeterol/fluticasone propionate (50/500 microg) in combination in a Diskus inhaler (Seretide) is effective and safe in the treatment of steroid-dependent asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 93, 876-884.
- Ayres, J. G., Millar, A. B., & Sykes, A. P. (2000). Clinical efficacy and safety of fluticasone propionate 1 mg twice daily administered via a HFA 134a pressurized metered dose inhaler to patients with severe asthma. U.K. study group. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, S42-50.
- Baraniuk, J., Murray, J. J., Nathan, R. A., Berger, W. E., Johnson, M., Edwards, L. D., Srebro, S., & Rickard, K. A. (1999). Fluticasone alone or in combination with salmeterol vs triamcinolone in asthma. *Chest*, 116, 625-632.
- Barnes, N. C., Hallett, C., & Harris, T. A. J. (1998). Clinical experience with fluticasone propionate in asthma: A meta-analysis of efficacy and systemic activity compared with budesonide and beclomethasone dipropionate at half the microgram dose or less. *Respiratory Medicine*, 92, 95-104.
- Bateman, E. D., Adler, L., Chyrek-Borowska, S., Theman, K., Rogeaux, Y., Schultze-Werninghaus, G., Nel, A. M., et al. (2000). Inhaled triamcinolone acetone HFA 450 mug twice daily compared with beclomethasone dipropionate CFC 500 mug twice daily in adults with moderate persistent asthma. *Clinical Drug Investigation*, 20, 9-17.
- Bateman, E. D., Britton, M., Carrillo, J., Almeida, J., & Wixon, C. (1998). Salmeterol/fluticasone combination inhaler. A new, effective and well tolerated treatment for asthma. *Clinical Drug Investigation*, 16, 193-201.
- Baumgarten, C. R., Dorow, P., Weber, H. H., Gebhardt, R., Kettner, J., & Sykes, A. P. (2000). Equivalence of as-required salbutamol propelled by propellants 11 and 12 or HFA 134a in mild to moderate asthmatics. German Study Group. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, S17-21.
- Berkowitz, R., Rachelefsky, G., Harris, A. G., & Chen, R. D. (1998). A comparison of triamcinolone acetone mdi with a built-in tube extender and beclomethasone dipropionate mdi in adult asthmatics. *Chest*, 114, 757-765.
- Besbes-Ouanes, L., Nouira, S., Elatrous, S., Knani, J., Boussarsar, M., & Abroug, F. (2000). Continuous versus intermittent nebulization of salbutamol in acute severe asthma: A randomized, controlled trial. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 36, 198-203.
- Bleecker, E. R., Tinkelman, D. G., Ramsdell, J., Ekholm, B. P., Klinger, N. M., Colice, G. L., & Slade, H. B. (1998). Proventil HFA provides bronchodilation comparable to ventolin over 12 weeks of regular use in asthmatics. *Chest*, 113, 283-289.
- Bleecker, E. R., Welch, M. J., Weinstein, S. E., Kalberg, C., Johnson, M., Edwards, L., & Rickard, K. A. (2000). Low-dose inhaled fluticasone propionate versus oral zafirlukast in the treatment of persistent asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 105, 1123-1229.
- Blumenthal, M. N., Casale, T. B., Fink, J. N., Uryniak, T., & Casty, F. E. (1998). Evaluation of a non-chlorofluorocarbon formulation of cromolyn sodium (Intal) metered-dose inhaler versus the

chlorofluorocarbon formulation in the treatment of adult patients with asthma: A controlled trial. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 101, 7-13.

- Boulet, L. P., Cowie, R. L., Dal Negro, R., Brett, W., Gold, M., Marques, A., Thorburn, W. S., et al. (2000). Comparison of once- with twice-daily dosing of fluticasone propionate in mild and moderate asthma. *Canadian Respiratory Journal*, 7, 239-247.
- Boulet, L. P., Laviolette, M., Boucher, S., Knight, A., Hebert, J., & Chapman, K. R. (1997). A twelve-week comparison of salmeterol and salbutamol in the treatment of mild-to-moderate asthma - a canadian multicenter study. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 99, 13-21.
- Bousquet, J., Scheinmann, P., Guinneeapain, M. T., Perrin-Fayolle, M., Sauvaget, J., Tonnel, A. B., Pauli, G., Caillaud, D., Dubost, R., Leynadier, F., Vervloet, D., Herman, D., Galvain, S., & Andre, C. (1999). Sublingual-swallow immunotherapy (SLIT) in patients with asthma due to house-dust mites: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Allergy*, 54, 249-60.
- Bradding, P., Rushby, I., Scullion, J., & Morgan, L. (1999). As-required versus regular nebulized salbutamol for the treatment of acute severe asthma. *European Respiratory Journal*, 13, 290-294.
- Bronsky, E., Ekholm, B. P., Klinger, N. M., & Colice, G. L. (1999). Switching patients with asthma from chlorofluorocarbon (CFC) albuterol to hydrofluoroalkane-134a (HFA) albuterol. *Journal of Asthma*, 36, 107-114.
- Bronsky, E., Korenblat, P., Harris, A. G., & Chen, R. D. (1998). Comparative clinical study of inhaled beclomethasone dipropionate and triamcinolone acetonide in persistent asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 80, 295-302.
- Busse, W., Nelson, H., Wolfe, J., Kalberg, C., Yancey, S. W., & Rickard, K. A. (1999a). Comparison of inhaled salmeterol and oral zafirlukast in patients with asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 103, 1075-1080.
- Busse, W. W., Brazinsky, S., Jacobson, K., Stricker, W., Schmitt, K., Vanden Burgt, J., Donnell, D., Hannon, S., & Colice, G. L. et al. (1999b). Efficacy response of inhaled beclomethasone dipropionate in asthma is proportional to dose and is improved by formulation with a new propellant. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 104, 1215-1222.
- Busse, W. W., Chervinsky, P., Condemi, J., Lumry, W. R., Petty, T. L., Rennard, S., & Townley, R. G. (1998). Budesonide delivered by turbuhaler is effective in a dose-dependent fashion when used in the treatment of adult patients with chronic asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 101, 457-463.
- Campbell, L. M., Anderson, T. J., Parashchak, M. R., Burke, C. M., Watson, S. A., & Turbitt, M. L. (1999). A comparison of the efficacy of long-acting beta 2-agonists: eformoterol via Turbuhaler and salmeterol via pressurized metered dose inhaler or Accuhaler, in mild to moderate asthmatics. Force Research Group [corrected and republished with original paging, article originally printed in Respir Med 1999 Apr;93(4):236-44]. *Respiratory Medicine*, 93, 236-244.
- Campbell, L. M., Gooding, T. N., Aitchison, W. R. C., Smith, N., & Powell, J. A. (1998). Initial loading (400 mug twice daily) versus static (400 mug nocte) dose budesonide for asthma management. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 52, 361-364,366-368,370.
- Chisholm, S. L., Dekker, F. W., Neven, A. K., & Petri, H. (1998). Once-daily budesonide in mild asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 92, 421-425.
- Condemi, J. J., Chervinsky, P., Goldstein, M. F., Ford, L. B., Berger, W. E., Ayars, G. H., Rogenes, P. R., et al. (1997). Fluticasone propionate powder administered through Diskhaler versus triamcinolone acetonide aerosol administered through metered-dose inhaler in patients with persistent asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 100, 467-474.
- Condemi, J. J., Goldstein, S., Kalberg, C., Yancey, S., Emmett, A., & Rickard, K. (1999). The addition of salmeterol to fluticasone propionate versus increasing the dose of fluticasone propionate in patients



with persistent asthma. Salmeterol Study Group. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 82, 383-389.

- Crompton, G. K., Ayres, J. C., Basran, C., Schiraldi, G., Brusasco, V., Eivindson, A., Jamieson, A. H., et al. (1999). Comparison of oral bambuterol and inhaled salmeterol in patients with symptomatic asthma and using inhaled corticosteroids. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 159, 824-828.
- Dahl, R., Ringdal, N., Ward, S. M., Stampone, P., & Donnell, D. (1997). Equivalence of asthma control with new CFC-free formulation HFA-134a beclomethasone dipropionate and CFC-beclomethasone dipropionate [published erratum appears in Br J Clin Pract 1997 Mar;51(2):124]. *British Journal of Clinical Practice*, 51, 11-15.
- Davies, R. J., Stampone, P., & O'Connor, B. J. (1998). Hydrofluoroalkane-134a beclomethasone dipropionate extrafine aerosol provides equivalent asthma control to chlorofluorocarbon beclomethasone dipropionate at approximately half the total daily dose. *Respiratory Medicine*, 92, 23-31.
- Dockhorn, R. J., Baumgartner, R. A., Leff, J. A., Noonan, M., Vandormael, K., Stricker, W., Weinland, D. E., et al. (2000). Comparison of the effects of intravenous and oral montelukast on airway function: a double blind, placebo controlled, three period, crossover study in asthmatic patients. *Thorax*, 55, 260-265.
- Edelman, J. M., Turpin, J. A., Bronsky, E. A., Grossman, J., Kemp, J. P., Ghannam, A. F., DeLucca, P. T., et al. (2000). Oral Montelukast compared with inhaled salmeterol to prevent exercise-induced bronchoconstriction - A randomized, double-blind trial. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 132, 97-104.
- Egan, J. J., Maden, C., Kalra, S., Adams, J. E., Eastell, R., & Woodcock, A. A. (1999). A randomized, double-blind study comparing the effects of beclomethasone and fluticasone on bone density over two years. *European Respiratory Journal*, 13, 1267-1275.
- Ekstrom, T., Ringdal, N., Sobradillo, V., Runnerstrom, E., & Soliman, S. (1998a). Low-dose formoterol Turbuhaler(TM) (Oxis(TM)) b.i.d., a 3-month placebo-controlled comparison with terbutaline (q.i.d.). *Respiratory Medicine*, 92, 1040-1045.
- Ekstrom, T., Ringdal, N., Tukiainen, P., Runnerstrom, E., & Soliman, S. (1998b). A 3-month comparison of formoterol with terbutaline via turbuhaler. A placebo-controlled study. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 81, 225-230.
- Emerman, C. L., Cydulka, R. K., & McFadden, E. R. (1999). Comparison of 2.5 vs 7.5 mg of inhaled albuterol in the treatment of acute asthma [see comments]. *Chest*, 115, 92-96.
- Evans, D. J., Taylor, D. A., Zetterstrom, O., Chung, K. F., O'Connor, B. J., & Barnes, P. J. (1997). A comparison of low-dose inhaled budesonide plus theophylline and high-dose inhaled budesonide for moderate asthma. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 337, 1412-1418.
- FitzGerald, J. M., Grunfeld, A., Pare, P. D., Levy, R. D., Newhouse, M. T., Hodder, R., & Chapman, K. R. (1997). The clinical efficacy of combination nebulized anticholinergic and adrenergic bronchodilators vs nebulized adrenergic bronchodilator alone in acute asthma. Canadian Combivent Study Group. *Chest*, 111, 311-315.
- FitzGerald, J. M., Shragge, D., Haddon, J., Jennings, B., Lee, J., Bai, T., Pare, P., et al. (2000). A randomized, controlled trial of high dose, inhaled budesonide versus oral prednisone in patients discharged from the emergency department following an acute asthma exacerbation. *Canadian Respiratory Journal*, 7, 61-67.
- Foresi, A., Morelli, M. C., & Catena, E. (2000). Low-dose budesonide with the addition of an increased dose during exacerbations is effective in long-term asthma control. *Chest*, 117, 440-446.
- Furukawa, C., Atkinson, D., Forster, T. J., Nazzario, K., Simpson, B., Uryniak, T., & Casty, F. E. (1999). Controlled trial of two formulations of cromolyn sodium in the treatment of asthmatic patients > or = 12 years of age. Intal Study Group. *Chest*, 116, 65-72.

- Galant, S. P., van Bavel, J., Finn, A., Gross, G., Pleskow, W., Brown, A., Hamedani, A. G., et al. (1999). Diskus and diskhaler: efficacy and safety of fluticasone propionate via two dry powder inhalers in subjects with mild-to-moderate persistent asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 82, 273-280.
- Garrett, J. E., Town, G. I., Rodwell, P., & Kelly, A. M. (1997). Nebulized salbutamol with and without ipratropium bromide in the treatment of acute asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 100, 165-170.
- Geoffroy, P., Lalonde, R. L., Ahrens, R., Clarke, W., Hill, M. R., Vaughan, L. M., & Grossman, J. (1999). Clinical comparability of albuterol delivered by the breath-actuated inhaler (Spiros) and albuterol by MDI in patients with asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 82, 377-382.
- Goldberg, J., Bohning, W., Schmidt, P., & Freund, E. (2000). Fenoterol hydrobromide delivered via HFA-MDI or CFC-MDI in patients with asthma: a safety and efficacy comparison. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, 948-953.
- Gross, G., Thompson, P. J., Chervinsky, P., & Vanden Burgt, J. (1999). Hydrofluoroalkane-134a beclomethasone dipropionate, 400 microg, is as effective as chlorofluorocarbon beclomethasone dipropionate, 800 microg, for the treatment of moderate asthma. *Chest*, 115, 343-351.
- Hampel, F., Lisberg, E., & Guerin, J. C. (2000). Effectiveness of low doses (50 and 100 microg b.i.d) of beclomethasone dipropionate delivered as a CFC-free extrafine aerosol in adults with mild to moderate asthma. Study Group. *Journal of Asthma*, 37, 389-398.
- Hancox, R. J., Aldridge, R. E., Cowan, J. O., Flannery, E. M., Herbison, G. P., McLachlan, C. R., Town, G. I., et al. (1999a). Tolerance to beta-agonists during acute bronchoconstriction. *European Respiratory Journal*, 14, 283-287.
- Hancox, R. J., Cowan, J. O., Flannery, E. M., Herbison, G. P., McLachlan, C. R., Wong, C. S., & Taylor, D. R. (1999b). Randomised trial of an inhaled beta2 agonist, inhaled corticosteroid and their combination in the treatment of asthma. *Thorax*, 54, 482-487.
- Harrison, L. I., Colice, G. L., Donnell, D., Soria, I., & Dockhorn, R. (1999). Adrenal effects and pharmacokinetics of CFC-free beclomethasone dipropionate: a 14-day dose-response study. *Journal of Pharmacy & Pharmacology*, 51, 263-269.
- Heinig, J. H., Boulet, L. P., Croonenborghs, L., & Mollers, M. J. (1999). The effect of high-dose fluticasone propionate and budesonide on lung function and asthma exacerbations in patients with severe asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 93, 613-620.
- Herjavec, I., Blomqvist, P., & Serrano, A. (1999). Efficacy of once- and twice-daily administration of budesonide via Turbuhaler as initial therapy in patients with mild persistent asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 93, 230-235.
- Hoffman, L., & Smithline, H. (1997). Comparison of Circulaire to conventional small volume nebulizer for the treatment of bronchospasm in the emergency department. *Respiratory Care*, 42, 1170-1174.
- Hoshino, M., Nakamura, Y., Sim, J. J., & Tomioka, H. (1998). A comparative study of the effects of ketotifen, disodium cromoglycate, and beclomethasone dipropionate on bronchial mucosa and asthma symptoms in patients with atopic asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 92, 942-950.
- Jacobson, K., Chervinsky, P., Noonan, M., Kane, R. E., Banerji, D., & Uryniak, T. (1999). Placebo-controlled, comparative study of the efficacy and safety of triamcinolone acetonide inhalation aerosol with the non-CFC propellant HFA-134a in patients with asthma. Azmacort HFA Clinical Study Group. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 83, 327-333.
- Jenkins, C., Woolcock, A. J., Saarelainen, P., Lundback, B., & James, M. H. (2000). Salmeterol/fluticasone propionate combination therapy 50/250 microg twice daily is more effective than budesonide 800 microg twice daily in treating moderate to severe asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, 715-723.

- Juniper, E. F., & Buist, A. S. (1999). Health-related quality of life in moderate asthma: 400 microg hydrofluoroalkane beclomethasone dipropionate vs 800 microg chlorofluorocarbon beclomethasone dipropionate. The Study Group. *Chest*, 116, 1297-1303.
- Juniper, E. F., Svensson, K., O'Byrne, P. M., Barnes, P. J., Bauer, C. A., Lofdahl, C. G., Postma, D. S., et al. (1999). Asthma quality of life during 1 year of treatment with budesonide with or without formoterol. *European Respiratory Journal*, 14, 1038-1043.
- Kamei, T., Fujita, J., Okada, H., Nakamura, H., Kishimoto, T., Momoi, A., Kawaji, K., Yoshinouchi, T., Ueda, N., et al. (1999). Comparison between fenoterol and fenoterol plus oxitropium bromide delivered by metered-dose inhaler with InspirEase to relieve acute asthma attack. *Journal of Asthma*, 36, 67-75.
- Karpel, J. P., Aldrich, T. K., Prezant, D. J., Guguchev, K., Gaitan-Salas, A., & Pathiparti, R. (1997). Emergency treatment of acute asthma with albuterol metered-dose inhaler plus holding chamber: how often should treatments be administered?. *Chest*, 112, 348-356.
- Kavuru, M., Melamed, J., Gross, G., Laforce, C., House, K., Prillaman, B., Baitinger, L., et al. (2000). Salmeterol and fluticasone propionate combined in a new powder inhalation device for the treatment of asthma: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 105, 1108-1116.
- Kelsen, S. G., Church, N. L., Gillman, S. A., Lanier, B. Q., Emmett, A. H., Rickard, K. A., & Anderson, W. H. (1999). Salmeterol added to inhaled corticosteroid therapy is superior to doubling the dose of inhaled corticosteroids: a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Asthma*, 36, 703-715.
- Kemp, J., Wanderer, A. A., Ramsdell, J., Southern, D. L., Weiss, S., Aaronson, D., & Grossman, J. (1999). Rapid onset of control with budesonide Turbuhaler in patients with mild-to-moderate asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 82, 463-471.
- Kemp, J., Wolfe, J., Grady, J., LaForce, C., Stahl, E., Arledge, T., & Liddle, R. (1998). Salmeterol powder compared with albuterol aerosol as maintenance therapy for asthma in adolescent and adult patients. *Clinical Therapeutics*, 20, 270-282.
- Kips, J. C., O'Connor, B. J., Inman, M. D., Svensson, K., Pauwels, R. A., & O'Byrne, P. M. (2000). A long-term study of the antiinflammatory effect of low-dose budesonide plus formoterol versus high-dose budesonide in asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 161, 996-1001.
- Laviolette, M., Malmstrom, K., Lu, S., Chervinsky, P., Pujet, J. C., Peszek, I., Zhang, J., et al. (1999). Montelukast added to inhaled beclomethasone in treatment of asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 160, 1862-1868.
- Li, J. T., Goldstein, M. F., Gross, G. N., Noonan, M. J., Weisberg, S., Edwards, L., Reed, K. D., et al. (1999). Effects of fluticasone propionate, triamcinolone acetonide, prednisone, and placebo on the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 103, 622-629.
- Lin, R. Y., Pesola, G. R., Bakalchuk, L., Morgan, J. P., Heyl, G. T., Freyberg, C. W., Cataquet, D., et al. (1998). Superiority of ipratropium plus albuterol over albuterol alone in the emergency department management of adult asthma - a randomized clinical trial. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 31, 208-213.
- Lipworth, B., Tan, S., Devlin, M., Aiken, T., Baker, R., & Hendrick, D. (1998). Effects of treatment with formoterol on bronchoprotection against methacholine. *American Journal of Medicine*, 104, 431-438.
- Lipworth, B. J. (1999). Systemic adverse effects of inhaled corticosteroid therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 159, 941-955.
- Lipworth, B. J., Hall, I. P., Aziz, I., Tan, K. S., & Wheatley, A. (1999). Beta2-adrenoceptor polymorphism and bronchoprotective sensitivity with regular short- and long-acting beta2-agonist therapy. *Clinical Science*, 96, 253-259.

- Lofdahl, C. G., Andersson, L., Bondesson, E., Carlsson, L. G., Friberg, K., Hedner, J., Hornblad, Y., et al. (1997). Differences in bronchodilating potency of salbutamol in Turbuhaler as compared with a pressurized metered-dose inhaler formulation in patients with reversible airway obstruction. *European Respiratory Journal*, 10, 2474-2478.
- Mahajan, P., Okamoto, L. J., Schaberg, A., Kellerman, D., & Schoenwetter, W. F. (1997). Impact of fluticasone propionate powder on health-related quality of life in patients with moderate asthma. *Journal of Asthma*, 34, 227-234.
- Malmstrom, K., Rodriguez-Gomez, G., Guerra, J., Villaran, C., Pineiro, A., Wei, L. X., Seidenberg, B. C., et al. (1999). Oral montelukast, inhaled beclomethasone, and placebo for chronic asthma. A randomized, controlled trial. Montelukast/Beclomethasone Study Group. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 130, 487-495.
- Malo, J. L., Cartier, A., Ghezzi, H., Mark, S., Brown, J., Laviolette, M., & Boulet, L. P. (1999). Skin bruising, adrenal function and markers of bone metabolism in asthmatics using inhaled beclomethasone and fluticasone. *European Respiratory Journal*, 13, 993-998.
- Martin, R. J., Kraft, M., Beaucher, W. N., Kiechel, F., Sublett, J. L., LaVallee, N., & Shilstone, J. (1999). Comparative study of extended release albuterol sulfate and long-acting inhaled salmeterol xinafoate in the treatment of nocturnal asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 83, 121-126.
- McFadden, E. R., Casale, T. B., Edwards, T. B., Kemp, J. P., Metzger, W. J., Nelson, H. S., Storms, W. W., et al. (1999). Administration of budesonide once daily by means of turbuhaler to subjects with stable asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 104, 46-52.
- Meijer, R. J., Kerstjens, H. A., Arends, L. R., Kauffman, H. F., Koeter, G. H., & Postma, D. S. (1999). Effects of inhaled fluticasone and oral prednisolone on clinical and inflammatory parameters in patients with asthma. *Thorax*, 54, 894-899.
- Milanowski, J., Qualtrough, J., & Perrin, V. L. (1999). Inhaled beclomethasone (BDP) with non-CFC propellant (HFA 134a) is equivalent to BDP-CFC for the treatment of asthma [see comments]. *Respiratory Medicine*, 93, 245-251.
- Miyamoto, T., Takahashi, T., Nakajima, S., Makino, S., Yamakido, M., Mano, K., Nakashima, M., et al. (2000). A double-blind, placebo-controlled dose-response study with budesonide Turbuhaler in Japanese asthma patients. *Respirology*, 5, 247-256.
- Murray, J. J., Church, N. L., Anderson, W. H., Bernstein, D. I., Wenzel, S. E., Emmett, A., & Rickard, K. A. (1999). Concurrent use of salmeterol with inhaled corticosteroids is more effective than inhaled corticosteroid dose increases. *Allergy & Asthma Proceedings*, 20, 173-180.
- Nana, A., Youngchaiyud, P., Charoenratanakul, S., Boe, J., Lofdahl, C. G., Selroos, O., & Stahl, E. (1998a). High-dose inhaled budesonide may substitute for oral therapy after an acute asthma attack. *Journal of Asthma*, 35, 647-655.
- Nana, A., Youngchaiyud, P., Maranetra, N., Boe, J., Lofdahl, C. G., Selroos, O., & Stahl, E. (1998b). Beta 2-agonists administered by a dry powder inhaler can be used in acute asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 92, 167-172.
- Nathan, R. A., Li, J. T., Finn, A., Jones, R., Payne, J. E., Wolford, J. P., & Harding, S. M. (2000). A dose-ranging study of fluticasone propionate administered once daily via multidose powder inhaler to patients with moderate asthma. *Chest*, 118, 296-302.
- Nathan, R. A., Pinnas, J. L., Schwartz, H. J., Grossman, J., Yancey, S. W., Emmett, A. H., & Rickard, K. A. (1999). A six-month, placebo-controlled comparison of the safety and efficacy of salmeterol or beclomethasone for persistent asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 82, 521-529.
- Nelson, H., Kemp, J. P., Bieler, S., Vaughan, L. M., & Hill, M. R. (1999). Comparative efficacy and safety of albuterol sulfate Spiros inhaler and albuterol metered-dose inhaler in asthma. *Chest*, 115, 329-335.

- Newhouse, M., Knight, A., Wang, S., & Newman, K. (2000). Comparison of efficacy and safety between flunisolide/AeroChamber and budesonide/turbuhaler in patients with moderate asthma. AER-MD-04 Study Group. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 84, 313-319.
- Nouira, S., Marghli, S., Elatrous, S., Knani, J., Boussarsar, M., Besbes, L., & Abroug, F. (1999). Nebulized salbutamol in acute severe asthma: Comparison of two initial doses. *Clinical Intensive Care*, 10, 227-231.
- Nutini, S., Martini, T., & Righi, R. (1998). Long-term treatment of asthmatic patients with salmeterol vs slow-release theophylline. *Respiratory Medicine*, 92, 683-690.
- Pauwels, R. A., Lofdahl, C. G., Postma, D. S., Tattersfield, A. E., O'Byrne, P., Barnes, P. J., & Ullman, A. (1997). Effect of inhaled formoterol and budesonide on exacerbations of asthma. Formoterol and Corticosteroids Establishing Therapy (FACET) International Study Group [see comments] [published erratum appears in N Engl J Med 1998 Jan 8;338(2):139]. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 337, 1405-1411.
- Pauwels, R. A., Yernault, J. C., Demedts, M. G., & Geusens, P. (1998). Safety and efficacy of fluticasone and beclomethasone in moderate to severe asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 157, 827-832.
- Pearlman, D. S., Stricker, W., Weinstein, S., Gross, G., Chervinsky, P., Woodring, A., Prillaman, B., et al. (1999). Inhaled salmeterol and fluticasone: A study comparing monotherapy and combination therapy in asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 82, 257-265.
- Perruchoud, A. P., Lundback, B., Yigla, M., & Sykes, A. P. (2000). Clinical efficacy and safety of fluticasone propionate 1 mg per day administered via a HFA 134a pressurized metered dose inhaler to patients with moderate to severe asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, S35-S41.
- Pieters, W. R., Stallaert, R., Prins, J., Greefhorst, A. P. M., Bosman, H. G., Van Uffelen, R., Schreurs, A. J. M., et al. (1998). A study on the clinical equivalence and patient preference of fluticasone propionate 250 mug twice daily via the Diskus(TM) Accuhaler(TM) inhaler or the Diskhaler(TM) inhaler in adult asthmatic patients. *Journal of Asthma*, 35, 337-345.
- Pincus, D. J., Humeston, T. R., & Martin, R. J. (1997). Further studies on the chronotherapy of asthma with inhaled steroids: the effect of dosage timing on drug efficacy. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 100, 771-774.
- Pollard, S. J., Spector, S. L., Yancey, S. W., Cox, F. M., & Emmett, A. (1997). Salmeterol versus theophylline in the treatment of asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 78, 457-464.
- Raphael, G. D., Lanier, R. Q., Baker, J., Edwards, L., Rickard, K., & Lincourt, W. R. (1999). A comparison of multiple doses of fluticasone propionate and beclomethasone dipropionate in subjects with persistent asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 103, 796-803.
- Reed, C. E., Offord, K. P., Nelson, H. S., Li, J. T., & Tinkelman, D. G. (1998). Aerosol beclomethasone dipropionate spray compared with theophylline as primary treatment for chronic mild-to-moderate asthma. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 101, 14-23.
- Richter, B., Bender, R., & Berger, M. (2000). Effects of on-demand beta2-agonist inhalation in moderate-to-severe asthma. A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Internal Medicine*, 247, 657-666.
- Ringdal, N., Lundback, B., Alton, M., Rak, S., Eivindson, A., Bratten, G., & Kjaersgaard, P. (2000). Comparable effects of inhaled fluticasone propionate and budesonide on the HPA-axis in adult asthmatic patients. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, 482-489.
- Rodrigo, G., & Rodrigo, C. (1999). Corticosteroids in the emergency department therapy of acute adult asthma: An evidence-based evaluation. *Chest*, 116, 285-295.

- Rodrigo, G., Rodrigo, C., & Burschtin, O. (1999). A meta-analysis of the effects of ipratropium bromide in adults with acute asthma. *American Journal of Medicine*, 107, 363-370.
- Rodrigo, G. J., & Rodrigo, C. (2000). First-line therapy for adult patients with acute asthma receiving a multiple-dose protocol of ipratropium bromide plus albuterol in the emergency department. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 161, 1862-1868.
- Rowe, B. H., Bota, G. W., Fabris, L., Therrien, S. A., Milner, R. A., & Jacono, J. (1999). Inhaled budesonide in addition to oral corticosteroids to prevent asthma relapse following discharge from the emergency department: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 281, 2119-2126.
- Seberova, E., & Andersson, A. (2000). Oxis (formoterol given by Turbuhaler) showed as rapid an onset of action as salbutamol given by a pMDI. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, 607-611.
- Seppala, O. P., Kari, E., Elo, J., Loyttyniemi, E., & Kunkel, G. (1998). Comparison of the bronchodilating efficacies of a novel salbutamol metered dose powder inhaler and a pressurised metered dose aerosol with a spacer. *Arzneimittel-Forschung*, 48, 919-923.
- Shrewsbury, S., Pyke, S., & Britton, M. (2000). Meta-analysis of increased dose of inhaled steroid or addition of salmeterol in symptomatic asthma (MIASMA). *BMJ*, 320, 1368-1373.
- Steinmetz, K. O. (1997). Comparative efficacy and safety of fluticasone propionate MDI versus budesonide powder inhalation in the treatment of moderate asthma. *Atemwegs- und Lungenkrankheiten*, 23, 730-735.
- Stoodley, R. G., Aaron, S. D., & Dales, R. E. (1999). The role of ipratropium bromide in the emergency management of acute asthma exacerbation: A metaanalysis of randomized clinical trials. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 34, 8-18.
- Tattersfield, A. E. (2001). Comparison of formoterol and terbutaline for as-needed treatment of asthma: a randomised trial. *Lancet*, 357, 257-261.
- Tinkelman, D. G., Bleecker, E. R., Ramsdell, J., Ekholm, B. P., Klinger, N. M., Colice, G. L., & Slade, H. B. (1998). Proventil HFA and ventolin have similar safety profiles during regular use. *Chest*, 113, 290-296.
- Tonnel, A. B., Bons, J., Legendre, M., Prud'Homme, A., Bugnas, B., Evano-Celli, I., & Stuart, A. M. (2000). Clinical efficacy and safety of fluticasone propionate 250 microg twice daily administered via a HFA 134a pressurized metered dose inhaler to patients with mild to moderate asthma. French study group. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, S29-34.
- Tukiainen, H., Taivainen, A., Majander, R., Poussa, T., Svahn, T., Puolijoki, H., & Terho, E. O. (2000). Comparison of high and low dose of the inhaled steroid, budesonide, as an initial treatment in newly detected asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, 678-683.
- Ukena, D., Harnest, U., Sakalauskas, R., Magyar, P., Vetter, N., Steffen, H., Leichtl, S., et al. (1997). Comparison of addition of theophylline to inhaled steroid with doubling of the dose of inhaled steroid in asthma. *European Respiratory Journal*, 10, 2754-2760.
- van der Molen, T., Meyboom-de Jong, B., Mulder, H. H., & Postma, D. S. (1998). Starting with a higher dose of inhaled corticosteroids in primary care asthma treatment. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 158, 121-125.
- van Noord, J. A., Schreurs, A. J. M., Mol, S. J. M., & Mulder, P. G. H. (1999). Addition of salmeterol versus doubling the dose of fluticasone propionate in patients with mild to moderate asthma. *Thorax*, 54, 207-212.

- Vermetten, F., Boermans, A. J. M., Luiten, W., Mulder, P. G. H., & Vermue, N. A. (1999). Comparison of salmeterol with beclomethasone in adult patients with mild persistent asthma who are already on low-dose inhaled steroids. *Journal of Asthma*, 36, 97-106.
- Villaran, C., O'Neill, S. J., Helbling, A., Van Noord, J. A., Lee, T. H., Chuchalin, A. G., Langley, S. J., et al. (1999). Montelukast versus salmeterol in patients with asthma and exercise-induced bronchoconstriction. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 104, 547-553.
- Vink-van Wijngaarden, T., Blom-Ross, M. E., Lansdorp, D., Goedhart, D. M., Eelhart, J., Guelen, P. J., & de Vos, D. (1998). Clinical efficacy and safety of beclomethasone dipropionate inhalation capsules inhaled by Cyclohaler compared with Becotide Rotacaps inhaled by Rotahaler. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, 36, 510-515.
- Wallaert, B., Brun, P., Ostinelli, J., Murciano, D., Champel, F., Blaive, B., Montane, F., et al. (1999). A comparison of two long-acting beta-agonists, oral bambuterol and inhaled salmeterol, in the treatment of moderate to severe asthmatic patients with nocturnal symptoms. *Respiratory Medicine*, 93, 33-38.
- Weber, E. J., Levitt, M. A., Covington, J. K., & Gambrioli, E. (1999). Effect of continuously nebulized ipratropium bromide plus albuterol on emergency department length of stay and hospital admission rates in patients with acute bronchospasm - A randomized, controlled trial. *Chest*, 115, 937-944.
- Weersink, E. J., Douma, R. R., Postma, D. S., & Koeter, G. H. (1997). Fluticasone propionate, salmeterol xinafoate, and their combination in the treatment of nocturnal asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine*, 155, 1241-1246.
- Welch, M., Bernstein, D., Gross, G., Kane, R. E., Banerji, D., Aaronson, D., Offenberg, H., et al. (1999). A controlled trial of chlorofluorocarbon-free triamcinolone acetonide inhalation aerosol in the treatment of adult patients with persistent asthma. *Chest*, 116, 1304-1312.
- Westbroek, J., & Pasma, H. R. (2000). Effects of 2 weeks of treatment with fluticasone propionate 100 mcg b.d. by comparison with zafirlukast 20 mg b.d. on bronchial hyper-responsiveness in patients with mild to moderate asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 94, 112-118.
- Westbroek, J., Saarelainen, S., Laher, M., O'Brien, J., Barnacle, H., & Efthimiou, J. (1999). Oral steroid-sparing effect of two doses of nebulized fluticasone propionate and placebo in patients with severe chronic asthma. *Respiratory Medicine*, 93, 689-699.
- Wolfe, J., Kreitzer, S., Chervinsky, P., Lawrence, M., Wang, Y., Reilly, D., Davis, S., et al. (2000). Comparison of powder and aerosol formulations of salmeterol in the treatment of asthma. *Annals of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology*, 84, 334-340.
- ZuWallack, R. L., Rosen, J. P., Cohen, L., Rachelefsky, G. S., Gong, H., Jr., Goldsobel, A. B., Kaliner, M. A., et al. (1997). The effectiveness of once-daily dosing of inhaled flunisolide in maintaining asthma control. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology*, 99, 278-285.

# Appendix 1

## SEARCH STRATEGIES FOR ASTHMA THERAPEUTICS

---

### *Medline One*

- 1 exp asthma/dt (2876)
- 2 randomized controlled trials/ or randomized controlled trial.pt. (44090)
- 3 controlled clinical trials/ or controlled clinical trial.pt. (9382)
- 4 2 or 3 (53209)
- 5 1 and 4 (798)
- 6 (child: or pediatric: or paediatric:).ti. (43867)
- 7 5 not 6 (643)
- 8 (letter or review of reported cases).pt. (96620)
- 9 news.pt. (14510)
- 10 case report/ (143192)
- 11 or/8-10 (227583)
- 12 7 not 11 (631)
- 13 meta-analysis/ or meta-analysis.pt. (3589)
- 14 guidelines/ or practice guidelines/ or guideline.pt. (12819)
- 15 13 or 14 (16300)
- 16 1 and 15 (142)
- 17 (systematic: adj (review or overview)).mp. (1121)
- 18 1 and 17 (8)
- 19 16 or 18 (147)
- 20 12 not 19 (613)
- 21 from 20 keep 1-200 (200)
- 22 from 20 keep 201-400 (200)
- 23 from 20 keep 401-600 (200)
- 24 from 20 keep 601-613 (13)
- 25 exp anti-asthmatic agents/ (22855)
- 26 exp Anti-Asthmatic Agents/ad, ae, ct, tu (6097)
- 27 4 and 26 (1536)
- 28 exp asthma/ (9422)
- 29 27 and 28 (698)
- 30 limit 29 to human (697)
- 31 30 not 11 (687)
- 32 31 not 12 (181)
- 33 from 32 keep 1-181 (181)
- 34 Bronchodilator Agents/ad, ae, po, ct, tu, to (1504)
- 35 28 and 34 (814)
- 36 35 and 4 (321)
- 37 36 not 11 (319)
- 38 limit 37 to human (319)
- 39 38 not 20 (93)
- 40 39 or 33 (189)
- 41 from 40 keep 1-189 (189)
- 42 26 or 34 (6110)
- 43 13 or 14 or 17 (17031)
- 44 42 and 43 (179)
- 45 19 or 20 or 41 (939)
- 46 44 not 45 (77)
- 47 from 46 keep 1-77 (77)



## Medline Two

- 1 exp ASTHMA/ci, nu, dh, dt, px, rt, rh, su, th (4549)
- 2 limit 1 to (clinical trial or clinical trial, phase iv or controlled clinical trial or meta analysis or multicenter study or randomized controlled trial) (1051)
- 3 child:.ti. (36346)
- 4 2 not 3 (856)
- 5 animal/ (437966)
- 6 human/ (1090130)
- 7 5 and 6 (137484)
- 8 4 and (6 or 7) (855)
- 9 research/ (7508)
- 10 Clinical Protocols/ (1880)
- 11 feasibility studies/ (3750)
- 12 reproducibility of results/ (27183)
- 13 research design/ (5737)
- 14 double-blind method/ (13842)
- 15 patient selection/ (5489)
- 16 random allocation/ (5354)
- 17 sample size/ (703)
- 18 or/9-17 (68066)
- 19 epidemiologic research design/ (185)
- 20 crossover studies/ (4948)
- 21 matched pair analysis/ (540)
- 22 sample size/ (703)
- 23 sensitivity.mp. and "specificity"/ (12423)
- 24 Single-Blind Method/ (2297)
- 25 or/19-24 (20555)
- 26 clinical trials/ (9996)
- 27 Clinical Trials, Phase IV/ (20)
- 28 controlled clinical trials/ (855)
- 29 multicenter trials/ (1496)
- 30 randomized controlled trials/ (7360)
- 31 or/26-30 (18323)
- 32 placebos/ (2285)
- 33 comparative study/ (148426)
- 34 "Outcome Assessment (Health Care)"/ (5040)
- 35 treatment outcome/ (55175)
- 36 medical futility/ (300)
- 37 treatment failure/ (3137)
- 38 or/32-37 (201054)
- 39 random:.mp. (67238)
- 40 single-blind:.mp. (2622)
- 41 double-blind:.mp. (15487)
- 42 triple-blind:.mp. (17)
- 43 double-dummy:.mp. (217)
- 44 mask:.mp. (5078)
- 45 sham.mp. (5564)
- 46 placebo:.mp. (15900)
- 47 control: trial:.mp. (7404)
- 48 efficacy.mp. (40563)
- 49 effectiveness.mp. (19336)
- 50 or/39-49 (129476)
- 51 8 and 25 and 38 (110)
- 52 8 and (25 or 31 or 38 or 50) (748)
- 53 52 not 51 (638)
- 54 8 and 18 (450)
- 55 8 and 25 (218)
- 56 8 and 31 (14)
- 57 8 and 38 (420)

- 58 8 and 50 (685)
- 59 55 not 54 (52)
- 60 56 not 55 (14)
- 61 from 54 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)

## ***Embase***

- 1 exp ASTHMA/pc, rt, dm, rh, dt, su, th (15382)
- 2 Major Clinical Study/ (503543)
- 3 Clinical Study/ (1085)
- 4 Clinical Article/ (546908)
- 5 Controlled Study/ (1080347)
- 6 PREVENTION/ (9035)
- 7 THERAPY/ (9171)
- 8 or/2-7 (1794449)
- 9 1 and 8 (6810)
- 10 limit 9 to yr=1997-2001 (2459)
- 11 (child: or pediatric: or infant:).ti. (141191)
- 12 10 not 11 (1985)
- 13 animal/ (6599)
- 14 human/ (2803280)
- 15 13 and 14 (1153)
- 16 12 and (14 or 15) (1897)
- 17 Controlled Study/ (1080347)
- 18 Case Control Study/ (5166)
- 19 randomized controlled trial/ (49059)
- 20 or/17-19 (1085585)
- 21 drug comparison/ (1788)
- 22 Clinical Trial/ (184432)
- 23 multicenter study/ (17496)
- 24 Phase 4 Clinical Trial/ (251)
- 25 or/21-24 (186669)
- 26 Major Clinical Study/ (503543)
- 27 medical research/ (20276)
- 28 clinical research/ (6842)
- 29 drug research/ (6605)
- 30 or/27-29 (32559)
- 31 Evidence Based Medicine/ (3327)
- 32 meta-analysis/ (10677)
- 33 outcomes research/ (7617)
- 34 or/31-33 (20997)
- 35 RANDOMIZATION/ (2624)
- 36 crossover procedure/ (10029)
- 37 double blind procedure/ (32575)
- 38 single blind procedure/ (2880)
- 39 placebo/ (24698)
- 40 or/35-39 (55833)
- 41 triple-blind.mp. (42)
- 42 double-dummy.mp. (646)
- 43 mask:.mp. (14340)
- 44 sham.mp. (15088)
- 45 placebo:.mp. (56007)
- 46 control: trial:.mp. (59723)
- 47 efficacy.mp. (186786)
- 48 effectiveness.mp. (62386)
- 49 or/41-48 (324858)
- 50 16 and (20 or 21 or 25 or 26 or 30 or 34 or 40 or 49) (1723)
- 51 limit 50 to yr=1997-2001 (1723)
- 52 16 and (20 or 21 or 25 or 26 or 30 or 34 or 40) (1669)

- 53 limit 52 to yr=1997-2001 (1669)
- 54 16 and 20 (1229)
- 55 16 and 21 (0)
- 56 16 and 25 (986)
- 57 16 and 26 (881)
- 58 16 and 30 (4)
- 59 16 and 34 (69)
- 60 16 and 40 (669)
- 61 16 and 49 (1010)
- 62 or/54-61 (1723)
- 63 exp asthma/dt (13531)
- 64 63 and 8 (6057)
- 65 64 not 11 (4972)
- 66 65 and (14 or 15) (4807)
- 67 66 and (20 or 21 or 25 of 26.mp. or 30 or 34 or 40 or 49) (3437)
- 68 limit 67 to yr=1997-2001 (1313)
- 69 from 51 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)

## ***Embase Two***

- 1 exp ASTHMA/pc, rt, dm, rh, dt, su, th (15382)
- 2 randomized controlled trial/ (49059)
- 3 Evidence Based Medicine/ (3327)
- 4 meta-analysis/ (10677)
- 5 RANDOMIZATION/ (2624)
- 6 double blind procedure/ (32575)
- 7 single blind procedure/ (2880)
- 8 placebo/ (24698)
- 9 triple-blind.mp. (42)
- 10 double-dummy.mp. (646)
- 11 mask:.mp. (14340)
- 12 sham.mp. (15088)
- 13 placebo:.mp. (56007)
- 14 control: trial:.mp. (59723)
- 15 controlled clinical trial.mp. (1824)
- 16 (systematic: adj review:).mp. (1701)
- 17 (systematic: adj overview).mp. (106)
- 18 practice guideline/ (21230)
- 19 or/2-18 (161913)
- 20 1 and 19 (3232)
- 21 limit 20 to yr=1997-2000 (1661)
- 22 (child: or toddler or infant:).ti. (127485)
- 23 adult:.ti. (43216)
- 24 22 and 23 (3236)
- 25 22 not 24 (124249)
- 26 21 not 25 (1421)
- 27 ((rhinitis or eczema) not asthma).ti. (2997)
- 28 26 not 27 (1418)
- 29 (healthy volunteer: or healthy subject: or human volunteer:).ti. (5584)
- 30 28 not 29 (1415)
- 31 from 30 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 32 from 30 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 33 from 32 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 34 from 30 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 35 from 34 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 36 31 or 33 or 35 (306)
- 37 from 30 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 38 37 not 36 (444)
- 39 (paediatric: or pediatric: or rhinitis or chronic obstructive or copd or child:).ti,ab. (221742)

- 40 (child: or paediatric: or pediatric:).jw. (105360)
- 41 38 and (39 or 40) (45)
- 42 from 41 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 43 38 not 42 (430)
- 44 from 43 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)

### **Embase Three**

- 1 randomized controlled trial/ (49243)
- 2 meta-analysis/ (10712)
- 3 RANDOMIZATION/ (2628)
- 4 double blind procedure/ (32653)
- 5 single blind procedure/ (2890)
- 6 placebo/ (24762)
- 7 triple-blind.mp. (42)
- 8 double-dummy.mp. (647)
- 9 mask:.mp. (14369)
- 10 sham.mp. (15119)
- 11 placebo:.mp. (56121)
- 12 control: trial:.mp. (59936)
- 13 controlled clinical trial.mp. (1827)
- 14 (systematic: adj review:).mp. (1712)
- 15 (systematic: adj overview).mp. (106)
- 16 practice guideline/ (21364)
- 17 or/1-16 (160253)
- 18 asthma/dt (12762)
- 19 17 and 18 (2792)
- 20 limit 19 to yr=1997-2000 (1443)
- 21 letter:.ti. (26037)
- 22 case report/ (430369)
- 23 letter/ (200255)
- 24 or/21-23 (590898)
- 25 20 not 24 (1395)
- 26 (paediatric: or pediatric: or child:).jw. (105679)
- 27 25 not 26 (1288)
- 28 ((paediatric: or pediatric: or child:) and adult:).ti. (3231)
- 29 (paediatric: or pediatric: or child:).ti. (119959)
- 30 29 not 28 (116728)
- 31 27 not 30 (1133)
- 32 ((rhinitis or copd or chronic obstructive) and asthma).ti. (526)
- 33 (chronic obstructive or copd or rhinitis).ti. (6131)
- 34 33 not 32 (5605)
- 35 31 not 34 (1116)
- 36 limit 35 to yr=2000 (221)
- 37 limit 35 to yr=1999 (318)
- 38 limit 35 to yr=1998 (300)
- 39 limit 35 to yr=1997 (278)
- 40 40 vfrom 36 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 41 41 from 37 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 42 42 from 38 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)
- 43 43 from 39 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)

### **Cinahl**

- 1 exp ASTHMA/dt, th [Drug Therapy, Therapy] (1561)
- 2 Double-Blind Studies/ (1712)
- 3 Random Assignment/ (3234)
- 4 placebos/ (968)

- 5 random sample/ (4022)
- 6 simple random sample/ (151)
- 7 Stratified Random Sample/ (704)
- 8 systematic random sample/ (53)
- 9 random:.mp. (14963)
- 10 single-blind:.mp. (510)
- 11 double-blind:.mp. (2059)
- 12 triple-blind:.mp. (2)
- 13 double-dummy:.mp. (27)
- 14 mask:.mp. (737)
- 15 sham.mp. (203)
- 16 placebo:.mp. (2168)
- 17 (control: adj2 trial:).mp. (2586)
- 18 or/2-17 (17997)
- 19 1 and 18 (201)
- 20 limit 19 to yr=1997-2000 (145)
- 21 (child: or infant: or pediatric:).ti. (28390)
- 22 20 not 21 (120)
- 23 from 22 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)

### ***Current Contents***

asthma.mp.  
double blind:.ti,ab.  
meta-analy:.ti,ab.  
(systematic: adj (review or overview)).ti,ab.  
randomized controlled trial:.ti,ab.  
controlled clinical trial:.ti,ab.  
or/2-6  
1 and 7  
limit 8 to yr=1997-2000  
(child: or paediatric or pediatric).ti.  
9 not 10  
from 11 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)  
from 11 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)  
12 or 13  
from 11 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)  
from 11 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)  
14 or 15 or 16  
11 not 17  
(child: or infan: or pediatric: or paediatric:).ti,ab.  
18 and 19  
from 20 keep (SELECTED REFERENCES)  
18 not 21

### ***Combined Premedline/Medline/Embase/Current Contents Cinahl - broad New Zealand search***

- 1 exp asthma/ (52742)
- 2 asthma.mp. (82944)
- 3 1 or 2 (83257)
- 4 new zealand/ or new zealand.mp. (30727)
- 5 new zealand.in. (57602)
- 6 4 or 5 (77006)
- 7 3 and 6 (1343)
- 8 limit 7 to yr=1997-2000 (691)
- 9 remove duplicates from 8 (355)
- 10 (letter or news).pt. (652061)

- 11 9 not 10 (330)
- 12 case report/ or case report.mp. (647075)
- 13 11 not 12 (325)
- 14 (child: or infan: or pediatric: or paediatric:).ti. (365724)
- 15 13 not 14 (265)

### ***Cochrane Library Controlled Trials Register***

ASTHMA:ME  
 ASTHMA:TI  
 #1 OR #2  
 CHILD OR PEDIATRIC\* OR PAEDIATRIC\*:TI  
 #3 NOT #4  
 LIMIT TO YR 1997-2000

### ***Review databases: Cochrane Library Systematic Reviews & Protocols, DARE, HTA, NHS EED, Best Evidence***

Because these databases are small and of such high quality they were searched simply using the word *asthma* then sifted manually for relevant references

### ***Other databases and sources***

Other databases and sources without indexing were searched using combinations of the headings and textwords from the above strategies in simple, iterative searches such as:

Asthma AND guideline\*  
 Asthma NOT (pediatric OR paediatric OR child\* OR infan\* OR copd OR chronic obstructive OR rhinitis)

# Appendix 2

## EVIDENCE GRADING FORMATS

---

### ***Intervention***

Drug, dose, mode of delivery, duration of treatment.

Abbreviations:

BDP	Budesonide
BEC	Beclomethasone
BMB	Bambuterol
CIR	Circulaire™
CNV	Conventional nebuliser
CON	Continuous dose
CYC	Cyclohaler
D/A	Diskus/Accuhaler
DH	Diskhaler
DPI	Dry powder inhaler
DU	Diskus
FNS	Flunisolide
FP	Fluticasone
FRM	Formoterol
Int	Intermittent dose
IPR	Ipratropium
MNT	Montelukast
PRD	Prednisone
ROT	Rotahaler
SLB	Salbutamol
SLM	Salmeterol
TAA	Triamcinolone
TBH	Turbuhaler
TEB	Terbutaline
THP	Theophylline
ZAF	Zafirlukast

OCS	Oral corticosteroids
ICS	Inhaled corticosteroids
IGS	Inhaled glucocorticoids

### ***Mode of delivery***

Abbreviations:

CFC	chlorofluorocarbon propellant
D-inh	diskhaler
HFA	hydrofluoroalkane propellant
Iv	intravenous
Im	intramuscular
Inh	inhaler
MDI	metered dose inhaler
Po	oral
Neb	nebuliser

## ***Dose frequency***

Abbreviations:

qd	once daily
mane	once in morning
nocte	once at night
bd	twice daily
Qid	four times daily
q4h	every four hours
PRN	as required

## ***Criteria for inclusion and exclusion***

### ***Inclusion***

- Age range
- FEV<sub>1</sub> as a % of predictive value if available or change in FEV<sub>1</sub> as a percent change due to exercise challenge or ICS or other medication challenge where FEV<sub>1</sub> %pv not available.
- Smoking history

### ***Exclusion***

- Prior recent emergency care
- Other relevant and important morbidity

Abbreviations

%PV = % of predicted value

ED = Emergency department

FEV<sub>1</sub> = Forced expiratory volume in one second

ICU = Intensive care unit

PC<sub>20</sub> = challenge (usually methacholine or histamine) concentration that caused a 20% fall in FEV<sub>1</sub>

PEFR = Peak expiratory flow rate

RCT = randomised controlled trial

URTI = upper respiratory tract infection

## ***Results/outcomes***

Generally these will relate to POEMs

Drug category in left column relates to drug of efficacy in the trial

Abbreviations:

NNT = numbers needed to treat using the drug of efficacy to achieve one favourable outcome

NNH = numbers needed to harm using the drug of least efficacy to achieve one unfavourable outcome

*P* value = probability measure of uncertainty

## ***Comments***

Specifics regarding population demographics e.g. mean age, sex distribution, and ethnicity, mean FEV<sub>1</sub> % PV


Specific asthma subgroup e.g. exercise induced.

Important methodological issues, which might undermine study validity


Concurrent therapy



# Appendix 3

		<b>Methodology Checklist 1: Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses</b>
Study identification <i>Include author, title, reference, year of publication</i>		
Checklist completed by:		
<b>SECTION 1: INTERNAL VALIDITY</b>		
<i>Evaluation criterion</i>		<i>How well is this criterion addressed?</i>
1.1	Does the review address an appropriate and clearly focused question?	
1.2	<i>Does the review include a description of the methodology used?</i>	
1.3	<i>Was the literature search sufficiently rigorous to identify all relevant studies?</i>	
1.4	Was study quality assessed and taken into account?	
1.5	Does the review include all the potential benefits and harms of the intervention?	
1.6	Was it reasonable to combine the studies?	
1.7	Do the conclusions flow from the evidence reviewed?	

SECTION 2: OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE STUDY		
2.1	How well was the study done to minimise bias? <i>Code ++, +, or -</i>	
2.2	If coded as +, or – what is the likely direction in which bias might affect the study results?	
2.3	Are the results of this study directly applicable to the patient group targeted by this guideline?	
SECTION 3: DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY		
3.1	What types of study are included in the review? <i>Randomized Controlled Trials (RCT), Controlled Clinical Trials (CCT), Cohorts, Case Control Studies</i>	
3.2	What interventions are considered?	
3.3	What outcome measures are used? <i>i.e. benefits and harms</i>	
3.5	Are potential confounding factors considered? <i>This is particularly important where study types other than RCTs are included in the review.</i>	
3.6	What are the characteristics of the study population? <i>e.g. age, sex, disease characteristics of the population, disease prevalence.</i>	
3.7	What are the characteristics of the study setting? <i>e.g. rural, urban, hospital inpatient or outpatient, general practice, community.</i>	
SECTION 4: GENERAL NOTES AND COMMENTS		

		<b>Methodology Checklist 2: Randomised Controlled Trials</b>
Study identification <i>Include author, title, reference, year of publication</i>		
Checklist completed by:		
<b>SECTION 1: INTERNAL VALIDITY</b>		
<i>Evaluation criterion</i>		<i>How well is this criterion addressed?</i>
1.1	Does the study address an appropriate and clearly focused question?	
1.2	Was the assignment of subjects to treatment groups randomised?	
1.3	Were the treatment and control groups similar at the start of the trial?	
1.4	Was an adequate concealment method used?	
1.5	Were subjects and investigators kept 'blind' about treatment allocation?	
1.6	Are all relevant outcomes measured in a standard, valid and reliable way?	
1.7	Apart from the treatment under investigation, were the groups treated equally?	
1.8	What percentage of the individuals or clusters recruited into the study are included in the analysis? Statistical poweradequacy	
1.9	Were all the subjects analysed in the groups to which they were randomly allocated?	
1.10	Degree of pharmaceutical company involvement	

SECTION 2: OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF THE STUDY		
2.1	How well was the study done to minimise bias? <i>Code ++, +, or -</i>	
2.2	If coded as +, or – what is the likely direction in which bias might affect the study results?	
<b><i>If the study reports an evaluation or comparison of diagnostic tests, please complete a diagnostic studies checklist before completing the next section.</i></b>		
SECTION 3: DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY		
3.1	How many patients participated in the study? <i>Overall number, and in each arm of the study.</i>	
3.2	What was the scale and direction of the measured effect?	
3.3	What are the characteristics of the study population? <i>e.g. age, sex, disease characteristics of the population, disease prevalence.</i>	
3.4	Are there any specific issues raised by this study? <i>Make any general comments on the study results and their implications</i>	